International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7 (4.10) (2018) 785-789

International Journal of Engineering & Technology

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJET

Research paper

Various Separation Axioms on λ_g^δ-Closed Sets

Vaishnavy V[1*], Sivakamasundari K[2]

[1]*Research Scholar*
[2]*Professor*
[1,2]*Department of Mathematics,*
Avinashilingam Institute for Home Science and Higher Education for Women,
Coimbatore, India
**Corresponding author E-mail: vaishnavyviswanathan92@gmail.com*

Abstract

The idea behind this article is to introduce and study the notions of λ_g^δ-compactness, λ_g^δ-connectedness and $\lambda_g^\delta G_i$-axioms. These notions are characterized using various spaces and different types of continuity.

Keywords: *Regular open sets, δ-open sets, λ_g^δ-open sets, λ_g^δ-compactness, λ_g^δ-connectedness.*

1. Introduction

The conceptualization of δ-closed sets was made by Velicko[10] during 1968. Georgiou et al.[1] dealt with the idea of (Λ, δ)-closed sets amid 2004. The notation of the so called λ_g^δ-closed sets[4] was made known in the year 2016. This definition was a generalization of δ-closed sets. Consequently, many concepts related to λ_g^δ-closed sets are being studied[5][6][7][8][9]. This work consists of some interesting axioms like λ_g^δ-compactness, λ_g^δ-connectedness and $\lambda_g^\delta G_i$-axioms. These concepts are analyzed through various forms of continuity and separation spaces.

2. Some Fundamentals

Definition 2.1: Let (P, τ) be a topological space. Then a subset Z of (P, τ) is known as

(1) **regular closed**[3] if $Z = cl(int(Z))$.

(2) **δ-open**[10] if Z is the union of regular open sets. The collection of all δ-open sets in (P, τ) is denoted by $\delta O(P, \tau)$.

(3) **Λ_δ-set**[1] if $\Lambda_\delta(Z)=Z$, where $\Lambda_\delta(Z)=\cap\{O \in \delta O(P, \tau) \mid Z \subseteq O\}$.

(4) **(Λ, δ)-closed**[1]if $Z = T \cap C$, where T is a $\Lambda\delta$-set and C is a δ-closed set.

(5) **λ_g^δ-closed set**[4] if $cl(Z) \subseteq R$ whenever $Z \subseteq R$ and R is (Λ, δ)-open in P.

Definition 2.2 :[7] Let (P, τ) be a topological space. Then a subset Z is said to be a **λ_g^δ-neighborhood** of $p \in P$ iff $\exists$ a λ_g^δ-open set $Q \ni p \in Q \subseteq Z$.

Definition 2.3: A map $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ is called

(1) **λ_g^δ-continuous[5]** if the inverse image of every open set in (Q, σ) is λ_g^δ-open in (P, τ).

(2) **quasi λ_g^δ-continuous[9]** if the inverse image of every λ_g^δ-open set in (Q, σ) is open in (P, τ).

(3) **perfectly λ_g^δ-continuous[9]** if the inverse image of every λ_g^δ-open set in (Q, σ) is clopen in (P, τ).

(4) **contra λ_g^δ-continuous[9]** if the inverse image of every open set in (Q, σ) is λ_g^δ-closed in (P, τ).

(5) **totally λ_g^δ-continuous[9]** if the inverse image of every open subset of (Q, σ) is λ_g^δ-clopen in (P, τ).

(6) **strongly λ_g^δ-continuous[9]** if the inverse image of every subset of (Q, σ) is λ_g^δ-clopen in (P, τ).

(7) **λ_g^δ-irresolute[9]** if the inverse image of every λ_g^δ-open set in (Q, σ) is λ_g^δ-open in (P, τ).

Definition 2.4 :[6]A space (P, τ) is known as a **$\lambda_g^\delta T_\delta$-space** if every λ_g^δ-closed subset of (P, τ) is δ-closed in (P, τ).

3. λ_g^δ-Compactness

Definition 3.1 :A collection $\mathcal{A}$ of a topological space (P, τ) is said to cover P (or) to be a covering of P if the union of elements of $\mathcal{A}$

Copyright © 2018 Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

is equal to P. $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be a **λ_g^δ -open covering of P** if its elements are λ_g^δ-open sets of (P, τ).

Definition 3.2 : A non-empty collection $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ of λ_g^δ-open sets in (P, τ) is said to be an **λ_g^δ -open cover** of a subset B of (P, τ) if $B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$.

Definition 3.3 : A topological space (P, τ) is called **λ_g^δ -compact** if every λ_g^δ-open cover of P has a finite subcover.

Definition 3.4 : A subset B of a topological space (P, τ) is called **λ_g^δ -compact relative to P** if for every collection $\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ of λ_g^δ-open sets of (P, τ) $\ni B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ $\exists$ a finite subset I_0 of I $\ni B \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\}$.

Theorem 3.5 : Every λ_g^δ-closed subset of a λ_g^δ-compact space P is λ_g^δ-compact relative to P.

Proof : Let Z be a λ_g^δ-closed subset of a λ_g^δ-compact space P. Then P\Z is λ_g^δ-open in P. Let S = $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a λ_g^δ-open cover of Z in P. Then $S^* = S \cup \{P\backslash Z\}$is a λ_g^δ-open cover of P. Since P is λ_g^δ-compact, S^* has a finite subcover of P, say $P = V_{i1} \cup V_{i2} \cup ... \cup V_{im} \cup Z^c$, where $V_{ik} \in S$. But Z and P\Z are disjoint and hence $Z \subseteq V_{i1} \cup V_{i2} \cup ... \cup V_{im}$, where $V_{ik} \in S$. This implies that any λ_g^δ-open cover S of Z contains a finite sub-cover. Therefore Z is λ_g^δ-compact relative to P.

Theorem 3.6 : A surjective λ_g^δ -continuous image of a λ_g^δ-compact space is compact.

Proof : Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ be a surjective λ_g^δ-continuous function from a λ_g^δ-compact space P to Q. Let $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an open cover of Q. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-continuous, $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a λ_g^δ-open cover of P. Since P is λ_g^δ -compact, $\exists$ a finite subcover $\{\psi^{-1}(V_1), \psi^{-1}(V_2),..., \psi^{-1}(V_n)\}$ of $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Since ψ is surjective, $\{V_1, V_2,...,V_n\}$ is a finite open cover of Q. Hence (Q, σ) is compact.

Theorem 3.7 : A surjective, quasi λ_g^δ-continuous image of a compact space is λ_g^δ-compact.

Proof : Let ψ : (P, τ) $\longrightarrow$ (Q, σ) be a surjective, quasi λ_g^δ-continuous function and $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a λ_g^δ-open cover of Q. Since ψ is quasi λ_g^δ-continuous, $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is an open cover of P. Since P is compact, $\exists$ a finite open subcover $\{\psi^{-1}(V_1), \psi^{-1}(V_2),..., \psi^{-1}(V_n)\}$ of $\{\psi^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Since ψ is surjective, $\{V_1, V_2, ...,V_n\}$ is a finite λ_g^δ-open subcover of Q and hence Q is λ_g^δ-compact.

Corollary 3.8: A surjective, perfectly λ_g^δ-continuous image of a compact space is λ_g^δ-compact.

Proof : Since every perfectly λ_g^δ-continuous function is a quasi λ_g^δ-continuous function, the result follows.

Theorem 3.9: If $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ is λ_g^δ-irresolute and $B \subseteq P$ is λ_g^δ-compact relative to P then the image, $\psi(B)$ is λ_g^δ-compact relative to Q.

Proof : Let $\cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a λ_g^δ-open cover of $\psi(B)$ i.e., $\psi(B) \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I\} \Longrightarrow B \subseteq \cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Since B is λ_g^δ-compact relative to P, $\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$ has a finite subcover $\cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I_0\}$(say) $\ni B \subseteq \{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I_0\} \Longrightarrow \psi(B) \subseteq \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\} \Longrightarrow \cup\{Z_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ is a finite subcover of $\cup\{\psi^{-1}(Z_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Therefore $\psi(B)$ is λ_g^δ-compact relative to Q.

Theorem 3.10 : A topological space P is λ_g^δ-compact iff each family of λ_g^δ-closed subsets of P with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

Proof : Given a collection G of subsets of P, let $H = \{P\backslash G \mid G \in G\}$ be the collection of its complements. Then we have,

G is a collection of λ_g^δ-open sets iff H is a collection of λ_g^δ-closed sets.

The collection G covers P iff the intersection $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ of all elements of H is non-empty.

The finite sub-collection $\{G_1, G_2, ... , G_n\}$ of G covers P iff the intersection of the corresponding elements $H_i = P \setminus G_i$ of H is empty.

Statement (i) is obvious whereas (ii) and (iii) follow from DeMorgan's law: $P \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in J} A_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in J} (P \setminus A_\alpha)$. Now we prove the theorem by contra positive approach which is equivalent to the following:

Let G be any collection of λ_g^δ-open sets in P. If no finite subcollection of G covers P, then G does not cover P. Now applying (i) to (iii), we observe that this statement is equivalent to the following:

Given any collection H of λ_g^δ-closed sets, if every finite intersection of elements of H is non-empty then intersection of all elements of H is non-empty.

Definition 3.11 : A topological space (P, τ) is **λ_g^δ -Lindelof** if every λ_g^δ-open cover of P contains a countable subcover.

Theorem 3.12 : Every λ_g^δ-compact space is λ_g^δ-Lindelof.

Theorem 3.13 : A surjective, λ_g^δ -irresolute image of a λ_g^δ-Lindelof space is λ_g^δ-Lindelof.

Proof : Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ is a λ_g^δ-irresolute, surjection and P be a λ_g^δ-Lindelof space. Let $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an λ_g^δ-open cover of Q. Then $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a λ_g^δ-open cover of P. Since P is λ_g^δ-Lindelof, it has a countable subcover namely $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_1),..., \psi^{-1}(R_n),...\}$. Since ψ is surjective, $\{R_1, R_2,...,R_n,...\}$ is a countable subcover of Q. Hence Q is λ_g^δ-Lindelof.

Theorem 3.14 : A surjective λ_g^δ -continuous image of a λ_g^δ-Lindelof is Lindelof.

Proof : Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ be a surjective, λ_g^δ-continuous function from a λ_g^δ-Lindelof space P to Q. Let $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an open cover of Q. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-continuous, $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a λ_g^δ-open cover of P. Since P is λ_g^δ-Lindelof, $\exists$ a countable

subcover $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_2),..., \psi^{-1}(R_n),...\}$ of $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Since ψ is surjective, $\{R_1, R_2,...,R_n,...\}$ is a countable subcover of Q. Hence (Q, σ) is Lindelof.

Theorem 3.15 : A surjective, quasi λ_g^δ-continuous image of a Lindelof space is λ_g^δ-Lindelof.

Proof : Let $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ be a surjective, quasi λ_g^δ-continuous function and $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a λ_g^δ-open cover of Q. Since ψ is quasi λ_g^δ-continuous, $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is an open cover of P. Since P is Lindelof, $\exists$ a countable subcover $\{\psi^{-1}(R_1), \psi^{-1}(R_2),..., \psi^{-1}(R_n),...\}$ of $\{\psi^{-1}(R_i) \mid i \in I\}$. Since ψ is surjective, $\{R_1, R_2, ..., R_n,...\}$ is a countable subcover of Q and hence Q is λ_g^δ-Lindelof.

Corollary 3.16 : A surjective, perfectly λ_g^δ-continuous image of a compact space is λ_g^δ-compact.

Proof : The proof follows since every perfectly λ_g^δ-continuous function is a quasi λ_g^δ-continuous function.

4. λ_g^δ-Compactness

Definition 4.1: A subset Z of a topological space (P, τ) is called **λ_g^δ-regular closed** if $Z = \lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta int(Z))$.

λ_g^δ-regular open if $Z = \lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z))$.

λ_g^δ-regular if it is both λ_g^δ-regular closed and λ_g^δ-regular open.

Definition 4.2 :[8] Let (P, τ) be a topological space. Then a subset Z of (P, τ) is known as **λ_g^δ-Frontier** (briefly, $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z)$) is defined as $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(Z) \setminus \lambda_g^\delta int(Z)$.

Theorem 4.3 : A subset Z of a topological space (P, τ) is λ_g^δ-regular iff $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \phi$.

Proof : ***Necessity :*** Let Z be λ_g^δ-regular then (i) $Z = \lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta int(Z))$ and (ii) $Z = \lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z))$. Now, (i) $\Longrightarrow \lambda_g^\delta cl(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta int(Z))) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta int(Z)) = Z$ and (ii) $\Longrightarrow \lambda_g^\delta int(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z))) = \lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z)) = Z$. Thus $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(Z) \setminus \lambda_g^\delta int(Z) = \phi$.

Sufficiency : Let $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \phi$. This implies $\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z) = \lambda_g^\delta int(Z)$ which means $\lambda_g^\delta int(Z) = Z = \lambda_g^\delta cl(Z)$. Thus we have $\lambda_g^\delta cl(\lambda_g^\delta int(Z)) = \lambda_g^\delta cl(Z) = Z$ and $\lambda_g^\delta int(\lambda_g^\delta cl(Z)) = \lambda_g^\delta int(Z) = Z$. Hence Z is λ_g^δ-regular.

Definition 4.4: A topological space (P, τ) is called **λ_g^δ-connected** if P cannot be expressed as a union of two disjoint, non-empty, λ_g^δ-open sets.

Theorem 4.5: For a topological space (P, τ), the following are equivalent:

P is λ_g^δ-connected.

P and ϕ are the only λ_g^δ-regular subsets of P.

Each λ_g^δ-continuous function of P into a discrete space Q with atleast two points is a constant function.

Every non-empty proper subset has a non-empty λ_g^δ-Frontier.

Proof :(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Let R be a λ_g^δ-regular subset of P. Then P\R is both λ_g^δ-open and λ_g^δ-closed in P. Since P is the disjoint union of λ_g^δ-open sets R and P\R, P is not λ_g^δ-connected which is a contradiction to (i) and hence one of these must be empty. That is

$R = \phi$ or $R = P$.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Suppose $P = Z \cup B$, where Z and B are non-empty, λ_g^δ-open sets. Then $Z = P \setminus B$ is λ_g^δ-closed. Then Z is a non-empty, proper subset that is λ_g^δ-regular. This is a contradiction to (ii). Hence P is λ_g^δ-connected.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Let $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ be a λ_g^δ-continuous function and Q be a discrete space with at least two points. Then for each $q \in Q$, $\{q\}$ is both open and closed. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-continuous, $\psi^{-1}\{q\}$ is λ_g^δ-open as well as λ_g^δ-closed in P and $P = \cup\{\psi^{-1}\{q\} \mid q \in Q\}$. By hypothesis $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \phi$ or P for each $q \in Q$. If $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = \phi$, for all $q \in Q$ then ψ will not be a function. If $\psi^{-1}\{q\} = P$, for a single point $q \in Q$ then there cannot exist another point $q_1 \in Q \ni \psi^{-1}\{q_1\} = P$. Hence $\exists$ only one $q \in Q \ni \psi^{-1}\{q\} = P$ and $\psi^{-1}\{q_1\} = \phi$, where $q_1 \in Q$ and $q_1 \neq q$. This proves that ψ is a constant function.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Let R be a λ_g^δ-regular subset in P. We wish to prove that the only λ_g^δ-regular subsets are ϕ and P. Suppose $R \neq \phi$ then we claim R = P. Let $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Define $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ by

$$\psi(p) = \begin{cases} q_1, & p \in U \\ q_2, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then for any open set S in Q,

$$\psi^{-1}(V) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } S \text{ contains } q_1 \text{ only} \\ P \setminus R & \text{if } S \text{ conatins } q_2 \text{ only} \\ P & \text{if } S \text{ contains } q_1, q_2 \\ \varphi & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In all the cases, $\psi^{-1}(S)$ is λ_g^δ-open in P. Also, ψ is a non-constant, λ_g^δ-continuous function. This is a contradiction. Hence the only λ_g^δ-clopen subsets of P are ϕ and P.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) Let Z be a non-empty, proper subset of P. Suppose $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \phi$. Then Z is both λ_g^δ-open and λ_g^δ-closed which is a contradiction to (ii).

(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that Z is a non-empty, proper subset of P which is both λ_g^δ-closed and λ_g^δ-open. This implies Z is λ_g^δ-regular and hence by Theorem 4.3, $\lambda_g^\delta Fr(Z) = \phi$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 4.6 : A surjective, λ_g^δ-continuous image of a λ_g^δ-connected space is connected.

Proof : Let $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ be a surjective, λ_g^δ-continuous function. Suppose Q is not connected. Then $Q = Z \cup K$, where Z and K are two disjoint, non-empty, λ_g^δ-open subsets of Q. Since ψ is surjective & λ_g^δ-continuous, $P = \psi^{-1}(Z) \cup \psi^{-1}(K)$ where

$\psi^{-1}(Z)$ and $\psi^{-1}(K)$ are disjoint, non-empty and λ_g^δ-open sets in (P, τ). But this is a contradiction to the fact that P is λ_g^δ-connected. Hence Q is connected.

Theorem 4.7 : If $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ is a surjective, contra λ_g^δ-continuous function and P is λ_g^δ-connected then Q is connected.

Proof : Let S be a clopen subset of Q. Since ψ is contra λ_g^δ-continuous, $\psi^{-1}(S)$ is λ_g^δ-regular. As P is λ_g^δ-connected, $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$ or P. Since ψ is surjective, $S = \phi$ or Q. Hence Q is connected.

Theorem 4.8 : Let $\psi : (P, \tau) \longrightarrow (Q, \sigma)$ be a surjective, λ_g^δ-irresolute function. If P is λ_g^δ-connected then Q is λ_g^δ-connected.

Proof : Let S be a λ_g^δ-regular subset of Q. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-irresolute, $\psi^{-1}(S)$ is λ_g^δ-regular in P. As P is λ_g^δ-connected, $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$ or P. Since ψ is surjective, $S = \phi$ or Q. Hence Q is λ_g^δ-connected.

Theorem 4.9 : Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ be a λ_g^δ-open, λ_g^δ-closed (resp. δ-open, δ-closed) injection. If Q is λ_g^δ-connected then P is also λ_g^δ-connected.

Proof : Let Z be a λ_g^δ-regular set in P. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-open and λ_g^δ-closed, $\psi(Z)$ is λ_g^δ-regular in Q. Since Q is λ_g^δ-connected, $\psi(Z) = \phi$ or Q. Since ψ is an injection, $Z = \phi$ or P. Hence P is λ_g^δ-connected.

Theorem 4.10 : If $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ is a totally λ_g^δ-continuous function from a λ_g^δ-connected space P to Q then Q has the indiscrete topology.

Proof : Let S be open in Q. Since ψ is a totally λ_g^δ-continuous function, $\psi^{-1}(S)$ is λ_g^δ-regular in P. Since P is λ_g^δ-connected, $\psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$ or P. Since ψ is an injection, $S = \phi$ or Q. Hence Q has the indiscrete topology.

Theorem 4.11 : If $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ is a strongly λ_g^δ-continuous bijective function and Q is a topological space with atleast two points then P is not λ_g^δ-connected.

Proof : Let $q \in Q$. Then $\psi^{-1}(\{q\})$ is a non-empty proper subset of P which is λ_g^δ-regular, as ψ is strongly λ_g^δ-continuous. Therefore P is not λ_g^δ-connected.

Theorem 4.12 : If a topological space (P, τ) is almost weakly Hausdorff and connected then it is λ_g^δ-connected.

Proof : Suppose P is not λ_g^δ-connected. Then $P = Z \cup B$, where Z and B are non-empty, disjoint, λ_g^δ-open sets of P. Since P is almost weakly Hausdorff, Z and B are open in P[9]. This contradicts the connectedness of P. Hence P is λ_g^δ-connected.

Theorem 4.13: Every topological space which is both $\lambda_g^\delta T_\delta$ and connected is λ_g^δ-connected.

Proof : Obvious.

5. $\lambda_g^\delta G_i$ - Axioms (i = 1, 2)

Definition 5.1 : Let (P, τ) be a topological space. It is said to be a **$\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space** if for any point $p \in P$ and any connected subset M of P with $p \notin M$, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and $S \ni p \in R$, $M \subseteq S$, $R \cap M = \phi$ and $\{p\} \cap S = \phi$.

Example 5.2 : Let $P = \{x, y, z, d\}$ and $\tau = \{P, \phi, \{x\}\}$. Then (P, τ) is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space as for $z \in M$ and a connected set $M = \{x, y\}$ with $z \notin \{x, y\}$, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets $R = \{z\}$ and $S = \{x, y\} \ni z \in \{z\}$, $\{x, y\} \subseteq \{x, y\}$, $\{z\} \cap \{x, y\} = \phi$.

Theorem 5.3 : If every connected subset of P is λ_g^δ-closed then for any two disjoint connected subsets M and N of P, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and $S \ni M \subseteq R$, $N \subseteq S$, $R \cap N = \phi$ and $M \cap S = \phi$.

Proof : Let M and N be any two disjoint connected subsets of P. Then by hypothesis, M and N are λ_g^δ-closed. This implies P\M and P\N are λ_g^δ-open sets containing N and M respectively, as M and N are disjoint. Now let R = P\N and S = P\M. Then $N \cap R = S \cap M = \phi$.

Theorem 5.4 : If for any two disjoint connected subsets M and N of P, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and $S \ni M \subseteq R$, $N \subseteq S$, $R \cap N = \phi$ and $S \cap M = \phi$ then P is $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$.

Definition 5.5 : Let (P, τ) be a topological space and (Q, σ) be its subspace. Then a subset Z of Q is **λ_g^δ-open in Q** if Z can be written as $Z = Q \cap K$ where K is λ_g^δ-open in P.

Theorem 5.6 : Every δ-open subspace Q of a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space P is $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$.

Proof : Let Z be a connected subset in Q. Then Z is connected in P as well. Let $q \in Q \subseteq P \ni q \notin Z$. Then by hypothesis, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and $S \ni q \in R$, $Z \subseteq S$, $R \cap Z = \phi$ and $\{q\} \cap S = \phi$. By the definition of subspace topology, $Q \cap R$ and $Q \cap S$ are λ_g^δ-open sets in $Q \ni q \in Q \cap R$, $Z \subseteq Q \cap S$ and $(Q \cap R) \cap Z = \{q\} \cap (Q \cap S) = \phi$. Hence Q is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space.

Theorem 5.7 : A bijective, continuous and λ_g^δ-irresolute image of a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space.

Proof : Let $\psi : P \longrightarrow Q$ be a continuous function and M be a connected subset in $P \ni p \notin M$. then $\psi(M)$ is connected in Q. Since ψ is one to one and onto, $\psi(p) \notin \psi(M)$. Now since Q is $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and S in $Q \ni \psi(p) \in R$, $\psi(M) \subseteq S$ and $R \cap \psi(M) = \{\psi(p)\} \cap S = \phi$. Since ψ is λ_g^δ-irresolute, $\psi^{-1}(R)$ and $\psi^{-1}(S)$ are λ_g^δ-open sets in P with $p \in \psi^{-1}(R)$, $M \subseteq \psi^{-1}(S)$ and $\psi^{-1}(R) \cap M = \{p\} \cap \psi^{-1}(S) = \phi$. Hence P is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space.

Definition 5.8 : A topological space (P, τ) is called **$\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space** if for every connected set F and a point $p \notin F$, $\exists$ λ_g^δ-open sets R and $S \ni p \in R$, $F \subseteq S$ and $R \cap S = \phi$.

Example 5.9 : Let P and □ be defined as in Example 5.2. Then (P, □) is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space as for z ∈ F and a connected set F = {x, y} with z ∉ {x, y}, ∃ λ_g^δ-open sets R = {z} and S ={x, y} ∋ z ∈ {z}, {x, y} ⊆ {x, y}, {z} ⋂ {x, y} = ϕ.

Theorem 5.10 : Every $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space is a $\lambda_g^\delta T_2$-space.

Proof : Let (P, □) be a $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space and $p \neq q \in P$. Then p ∉ {q}, which is a connected set. By hypothesis, ∃ λ_g^δ-open sets R and S ∋ p ∈ R, {q} ⊆ S and R ⋂ S = ϕ. Therefore ∃ λ_g^δ-open sets R and S ∋ p ∈ R, q ∈ S. Hence (P, □) is a $\lambda_g^\delta T_2$-space.

Theorem 5.11 : A □-open subspace of a $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space is $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$.

Proof : Similar to Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.12 : If a topological space (P, □) is $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$ then for any point p ∈ P and any connected subset M not containing p, $\lambda_g^\delta cl(R) \bigcap M = \phi$, where R is a λ_g^δ-open neighborhood of p.

Proof : Let M be a connected subset of P ∋ p ∉ M. Since P is a $\lambda_g^\delta G_2$-space, ∃ disjoint, λ_g^δ-open sets R and S ∋ p ∈ R, M ⊆ S. This implies R ⊆ P\S and hence $\lambda_g^\delta cl(R) \subseteq \lambda_g^\delta cl(P\backslash S) = P\backslash S$, as P\S is λ_g^δ-closed. Further $\lambda_g^\delta cl(R) \bigcap M = \phi$, as M ⊆ S.

6. Conclusion

Some conditions for preserving λ_g^δ-compactness are derived. Results relating λ_g^δ-compactness with compactness are obtained. λ_g^δ-connectedness is related to connectedness through almost weakly Hausdorff space and $\lambda_g^\delta T_{\square}$-space, even though λ_g^δ-open sets and open sets are independent of each other. It is interesting to note that any surjective, λ_g^δ-irresolute image of a λ_g^δ-connected space is λ_g^δ-connected. The nature of $\lambda_g^\delta G_1$-space is preserved by a bijective, continuous and λ_g^δ-irresolute function.

References

[1] Georgiou, D. N., Jafari, S. and Noiri, T., Properties of (Λ, *δ*)-closed sets in topological spaces, Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, 7-B(2004), 745-756.

[2] Sivakamasundari, K., Some gG-axioms, Research Highlights, 21(2011), 227-231.

[3] Stone, M., Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,41(1937), 374-481.

[4] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., A New Generalization of *δ*-Closed Sets Using Two Different Operators, International Journal of Engineering Sciences and Research Technology, 5(9)(2016), 791-795.

[5] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari. K., Special properties and Continuity aspects on λ_g^δ-closed sets, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics , 13(1)(2017), 56-61.

[6] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Separation Axioms on λ_g^δ-Closed Sets, International Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, 6(6)(2017), 6-12.

[7] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., λ_g^δ-Neighborhood in Topological Spaces, International Journal of Engineering Technology Science and Research, 4(6)(2017), 344-347.

[8] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Some Concepts related to λ_g^δ-closed sets, Proceedings of National Conference on Mathematical Modelling NCMM 2017, 101-106.

[9] Vaishnavy, S. and Sivakamasundari, K., Continuity aspects on λ_g^δ-closed sets, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (Accepted).

[10] Velicko, N. S., H-closed topological spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 78(1968), 102-118.