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Abstract

Soft group was firstly introduced by Aktas and Cagman. The concept of modular and distributive soft lattices over the soft sets was offered by Faruk Karaaslan. In this paper, we discuss the modular and distributive lattices on soft group. We study several characterizations of modular and distributive soft lattice ordered soft group ($\ell$-soft group) and derived its properties.
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1. Introduction

A brief foundation to the distributive lattice theory with pseudocomplementation has been conferred by Gratzer [10]. Molodtsov [13] suggested a new concept of soft set theory, to overcome the difficulties that existing theories with uncertainty. Soft set theory has been emerged as a mathematical tool to solve complicated problems with uncertainties. Molodtsov described many directions for the application of soft sets. Then Maji et al. [12] proposed several operations and presented the application of soft set theory. The fundamentals of soft set theory was summarized by I.A. Onyeczili and T.M. Gwary [16]. Grzegorz Dymek and Andrzej Walendziak [11] initiated the notion of soft general algebra, soft subalgebra and also studied its properties. The concept of distributive and modular soft lattices was discussed by Faruk Karaaslan et al. [8]. The notion of soft group was conferred by Aktas and Cagman [1]. Xia Yin and Zuhua Liao [21] studied about the concept of soft groups. The lattice structure of the soft group was offered by Yingehao Shao and Keyun Qin [22]. M.I.Ali et al. [4] introduced the lattice ordered soft set and obtained some of its properties. R.Natarajan and J.Vimala [15] propounded distributive $l$-ideal in a commutative $l$-group. J.Arockia Reeta and J.Vimala [3, 19] investigated the distributive and modular properties on lattice ordered fuzzy soft group and also discussed its duality. The concept of lattice ordered soft group ($\ell$-soft group) was initiated and its properties were studied by L.Vijayalakshmi and J.Vimala [17]. E.K.R.Nagarajan and P.Geetha [14] defined the modular and distributive soft lattice and established its characterization theorems. Characteristics of modular and distributive semilattices were discussed by W.H. Cornish [7]. Fuzzy $l$-group and Lattices of Fuzzy $l$-ideal were studied by J.Vimala et al., [5, 6, 18]. Fuzzy soft cardinality in lattice ordered fuzzy soft group has been successfully applied in decision making problems by J.Vimala et al. [20].

In this paper, we initiate the notions of modular $\ell$-soft group and distributive $\ell$-soft group and also establish some results on modular $\ell$-soft group and distributive $\ell$-soft group with respect to soft homomorphism and soft group $\ell$-ideal on $\ell$-soft group.

This paper is arranged as follows: In sec 2, shortly reviews some basic definitions of soft set, soft group, lattice ordered soft set and $\ell$-soft group. In sec 3, we study the properties of modular $\ell$-soft group and distributive $\ell$-soft group on $\ell$-soft group.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions of lattices, soft sets and soft groups.

Let us first define a lattice in an algebraic way.

An algebraic lattice $\langle L, \land, \lor \rangle$ is a set $L$ with two binary operations meet and join, $\land$ and $\lor$ such that both operations are commutative and associative, and the absorption law holds. That is $\forall a, b, c \in L$,

1. $a \land b = b \land a$ (Commutativity)
2. $(a \land b) \land c = a \land (b \land c)$ (Associativity)
3. $a \land (a \lor b) = a \land (a \land b)$ (Absorption law)

In an algebraic lattice, both operations are idempotent.

That is, $\forall a \in L, a \land a = a \lor a = a$.

In an algebraic lattice $L$, for any $a, b \in L$, we have $a \lor b = a$ if $a \land b = b$.

A sublattice is a nonempty subset $L'$ of an algebraic lattice $L$, such that $L'$ is closed under join and meet.

A partial order is a relation $\leq$ on a set $S$ that is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive. That is $\forall a, b, c \in S$,

1. $a \geq a$
2. $a \geq b, b \geq a$ implies $a = b$
3. $a \geq b, b \geq c$ implies $a \geq c$

An order lattice is a poset $(L, \leq)$ such that for any $a, b \in L$, $\sup{\{a, b\}}$ and $\inf{\{a, b\}}$ exist.

Definition 2.1. [13] Let $U$ be an initial universe set and $E$ a set of parameters with respect to $U$. Let $P(U)$ denote the power set of $U$.
and $A \subseteq E$. For the soft group $A$ be a group, a pair $(F, A)$ is called a soft set over $U$ where $F$ is a mapping given by $F: A \rightarrow P(U)$. In other words, a soft set $(F, A)$ over $U$ is a parameterized family of subsets of $U$. For $e \in A$, $F(e)$ may be considered as the set of $e$-elements or $e$-approximate elements of the soft set $(F, A)$.

**Definition 2.2.** [13] Let $(F, A)$ and $(H, B)$ be two soft sets over a common universe $U$, we say that $(H, B)$ is said to be a soft subset of $(F, A)$ if

1. $A \subseteq B$ and 
2. $F(e) \subseteq H(e)$ for all $e \in A$.

We write $(H, B) \subseteq (F, A)$, and $(H, B)$ is said to be a soft super set of $(F, A)$, if $(F, A)$ is a soft subset of $(H, B)$. We denote it by $(H, B) \supseteq (F, A)$.

**Definition 2.3.** [13] Two soft sets $(F, A)$ and $(H, B)$ over a common universe $U$ are said to be soft equal if $(F, A)$ is a soft subset of $(H, B)$ and $(H, B)$ is a soft subset of $(F, A)$.

**Definition 2.4.** [12] Let $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ be two soft sets over a common universe $U$. Then the union of $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ denoted $(F, A) \cup (G, B)$ is a soft set $(H, C)$, where $C = A \cup B$ and for all $e \in C$,

$$H(e) = \begin{cases} F(e), & \text{if } e \in A - B \\ G(e), & \text{if } e \in B - A \\ F(e) \cup G(e), & \text{if } e \in A \cap B \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.5.** [12] Let $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ be two soft sets over a common universe $U$. Then the intersection of $(F, A)$ and $(G, B)$ denoted $(F, A) \cap (G, B)$ is a soft set $(H, C)$, where $C = A \cap B$ and for all $e \in C$,

$$H(e) = F(e) \cap G(e)$$

**Definition 2.6.** [11] Let $G$ be a group and $E$ be a set of parameters. For $A \subseteq E$, the pair $(G, (F, A))$ is called a soft group over $G$ if and only if $F(a)$ is a subgroup of $G$ for all $a \in A$, where $F$ is a mapping of $A$ into the set of all subgroups of $G$.

**Definition 2.7.** [4] If $U$ is an initial universe, then $P(U)$ is a bounded lattice and the set of parameters $E$, is also a lattice with respect to certain binary operations (or) partial order and $A \subseteq E$. A soft set $(F, A)$ is called a lattice ordered soft set if for the mapping $F: A \rightarrow P(U), x \leq y$ implies $F(x) \subseteq F(y)$, for all $x, y \in A \subseteq E$.

**Definition 2.8.** [17] Let $G$ be a group and $P(G)$ be the power set of $G$. Let $E$ be the set of parameters(lattice), $A \subseteq E$. Then a soft set $(F, A)$ is said to be a lattice ordered soft group (l - soft group) if for the mapping $F: A \rightarrow P(G), x \leq y$ implies $F(x) \subseteq F(y)$, for all $x, y \in A \subseteq E$.

Note: Throughout this section, $F(a) \cap F(b)$ and $F(a) \cap F(b)$ are used for Sup $\{F(a), F(b)\}$ and $\inf \{F(a), F(b)\}$ respectively. Also $\cup$ denotes $\cup$, $\cap$ denotes $\cap$ and $\bot$ denotes the set of all $l$ - soft groups.

### 3. Modular $l$ - soft group and Distributive $l$ - soft group

**Definition 3.1.** (i) For any three elements of soft set $(F, A)$, the modular law is $\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cup \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F(a) \cap \left[ F(b) \cup F(a) \cup F(c) \right]$, if $F(a) \subseteq F(b)$ and $\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cap \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F(a) \cap \left[ F(b) \cup F(a) \cup F(c) \right]$.

(ii) The distributive law is $\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cup \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F(a) \cap \left[ F(b) \cup F(c) \right]$, and $F\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cap \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F\left[ F(b) \cup F(c) \right]$. An $l$ - soft group in which the modular law holds is a modular $l$ - soft group.

(iii) The distributive law is $\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cup \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F(a) \cap \left[ F(b) \cup F(c) \right]$, and $F\left[ F(a) \cap F(b) \right] \cup \left[ F(a) \cap F(c) \right] = F\left[ F(b) \cup F(c) \right]$. An $l$ - soft group in which the distributive law holds is a distributive $l$ - soft group.
Let $\Psi : (F, A) \to (G, B)$ be $\ell$ - soft group onto homomorphism and suppose $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group. Let $G(b_1), G(b_2), G(b_3) \in (G, B)$ with $G(b_1) \supseteq G(b_2) \supseteq G(b_3)$. Since $\Psi$ is $\ell$ - soft group onto homomorphism, there exists $F(a_1), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A)$ such that $\Psi(F(a_1)) = G(b_1), \Psi(F(a_2)) = G(b_2), \Psi(F(a_3)) = G(b_3)$ where $F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_2) \supseteq F(a_3)$.

Now $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group, $F(a_1), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A)$, we have

$$f(a_1) \cup [F(a_2) \cup F(a_3)] = (f(a_1) \cup F(a_2)) \cup F(a_3)$$

Now $G(b_1) \cup G(b_2) \cup G(b_3) = \Psi(F(a_1)) \cup \Psi(F(a_2)) \cup \Psi(F(a_3)) = \Psi(F(a_1)) \cup \Psi(F(a_2)) \cup F(a_3)$.

Therefore $(G, B)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group.

**Definition 3.9.** Let $(F, A)$ be a $\ell$ - soft group. A soft subset $(I, B)$ of $(F, A)$ is called a soft group $\ell$ - ideal $sg \ell$-ideal, denoted by $(I, B) \subseteq_{\ell} (F, A)$, if the following are satisfied:

1. $B \subseteq A$ and for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_1 \cup b_2$ and $b_1 \cap b_2$ exist in $B$.
2. For all $(b_1), (I, B) \subseteq (F, A), (b_1) \cup (I, B)$ and $(I, B) \cap (I, B)$ exist in $B$.
3. $F(a) \in (F, A), I(b) \in (I, B)$ implies that $F(a) \cap I(b)$ exists in $(I, B)$.

**Definition 3.10.** Let $(F, A)$ be a $\ell$ - soft group. A soft subset $(I, B)$ of $(F, A)$ is called a soft group dual $\ell$ - ideal $sg$ dual $\ell$-ideal, denoted by $(I, B) \supseteq_{\ell} (F, A)$, if the following are satisfied:

1. $B \subseteq A$ and for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$, $b_1 \cup b_2$ and $b_1 \cap b_2$ exist in $B$.
2. For all $(b_1), (I, B) \subseteq (F, A), (b_1) \cup (I, B)$ and $(I, B) \cap (I, B)$ exist in $B$.
3. $F(a) \in (F, A), I(b) \in (I, B)$ implies that $F(a) \cup I(b)$ exists in $(I, B)$.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $(I, B) \subseteq_{\ell} (F, A)$. $I, B$ is a sg dual $\ell$ - ideal iff

1. $I(b_1), I(b_2) \subseteq (I, B)$ implies $I(b_1) \cap I(b_2) \subseteq (I, B)$
2. $I(b_1) \subseteq (I, B)$ and $I(b_1) \subseteq I(b_2) \subseteq (I, B)$

**Proposition 3.12.** An $\ell$ - soft group $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group iff $\mathfrak{J}_{sg}(L)$, the soft group $\ell$-ideal of $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group.

**Proposition 3.13.** An $\ell$ - soft group $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group iff for $F(a_1), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A)$, the three relations $F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_2), F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3) \Rightarrow F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3) \Rightarrow F(a_2)$.

**Proof.** Let $(F, A)$ be modular $\ell$ - soft group and suppose $F(a_1), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A)$ are such that $F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_2), F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$ and $F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$.

Then $F(a_1) \wedge F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3) \Rightarrow (\text{by absorption})$

$= F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

$= F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

Therefore, suppose the given conditions holds.

Let $F(a_1), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A), F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_2)$.

Now $[[F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2)] \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = [F(a_1) \wedge [F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)]] \wedge F(a_3) = [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2)] \wedge F(a_3)$

that is, $[F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

Similarly, $[F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)] = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

and $F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

that is, $[F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2)] \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

From (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv), we have

$\forall (a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = [F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)]] \wedge F(a_3)$

$\forall (a_1) \wedge [F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = [F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)]] \wedge F(a_3)$

$\forall (a_1) \wedge F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)] \wedge F(a_3) = [F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)]] \wedge F(a_3)$

Therefore $F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)]$

**Proposition 3.14.** $(F, A)$ is distributive $\ell$ - soft group iff $(F, A)$ satisfies soft median property . That is $[F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2)] \wedge F(a_3) \supseteq F(a_1) \wedge [F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] \supseteq F(a_2) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)] \supseteq F(a_3) \wedge [F(a_1) \wedge F(a_3)] \supseteq F(a_3) \wedge F(a_1)$.

Let $(F, A)$ be distributive $\ell$ - soft group.

Then $F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_1) \wedge [F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)] = F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$

Conversely, first to prove $(F, A)$ is modular $\ell$ - soft group.

Let $(F, A), F(a_2), F(a_3) \in (F, A), with F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_2)$. Then

$F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3) = F(a_1) \wedge F(a_2) \wedge F(a_3)$
\[ F(a_3) \] \( \text{(by absorption)} \)
\[ = [F(a_1) \lor F(a_2)] \land [F(a_1) \lor F(a_3)] \land [F(a_2) \lor F(a_3)] \]
\( \text{(since } F(a_1) \supseteq F(a_3) \text{)} \)
\[ = [F(a_1) \lor F(a_2)] \land [F(a_2) \lor F(a_3)] \land [F(a_1) \lor F(a_1)] \]
\[ = [F(a_1) \lor F(a_2)] \lor [F(a_2) \lor F(a_3)] \lor [F(a_1) \lor F(a_1)] \]
\[ = [F(a_1) \lor F(a_2)] \lor [F(a_2) \lor F(a_3)] \lor [F(a_1) \lor F(a_3)] \]
\( \text{Therefore } (F, A) \text{ is a self-soft group.} \)

4. Conclusion

In this work, we have investigated the modular \( \ell \)-soft group and distributive \( \ell \)-soft group of \( \ell \)-soft group and shown several related properties. From this, we could extend our work by applying \( \ell \)-soft group in different types of algebras such as heyting algebra, p-algebra, etc.
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