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Abstract

Performance as a multidimensional concept has become a very interesting variable to be studied especially in the viewpoint of positive psychology. This study aims to see the influence of Psychological Capital and Work Well Being as an element in the positive psychology of Job Performance. The research method used is a quantitative method with survey technique. The results showed that Psychological Capital had a positive and significant impact on Job Performance as well as Worr Well Being. These results are expected to contribute positively to human resource managers so that the measurement of performance can be done by considering the various multidimensional components that influence it.
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1. Introduction

Performance is a very interesting term and continues to serve as a research topic in various fields. Performance has a broad spectrum and is a continuum of human action [1]. Many studies have tried to see the performance from various angles both theoretically and practically so as to produce some interaction models that show factors that can influence job performance. This is important because performance is a factor that determines the sustainability of the company [2]. Performance is basically related to the actions performed by employees and is influenced by the personality it possesses [3]. The performance also refers to the overall behavior and activities that support the achievement of corporate goals [4]. So employees who have high performance is the employees who are able to provide the best work and quality results so as to have an impact on the operational effectiveness of the company [5].

As a multidimensional concept, performance is often not easy to explain in more detail when applied in work [6]. Performance is not only related to the work but also depends on the performance work process which is also a complex factor because it has various components that influence it [7]. One of them is psychological capital where psychological capital is closely related to job performance.

Psychological capital is considered as a latent factor that describes the hope, resilience, optimism, and efficacy. Some experts include psychological capital in the concept of positive psychology because psychological capital focuses on capacity building efforts of a person [8, 9]. Psychological capital is considered as a core factor in a person's performance because of the complexity of the aspects present in it capable of providing both cognitive and motivational effects that support each process to produce optimal performance [9]. In America, psychological capital is the most powerful factor and provides proven recommendations in performance programs.

Psychological capital significantly affects the positive work attitude of employees to perform the actions expected by the company through their performance [10]. Success in the workplace and the performance of a person in a behavioristic concept depends not only on a person's psychological capital. Behavioral studies find other equally important factors related to psychological health commonly known as well-being. Well-being also includes a concept in positive psychology that can describe the happiness and satisfaction of a person for his life [11, 12]. For the company, this concept is very important. Well-being can assist employees in optimizing the function in itself so that it will positively impact on the resulting performance [13]. By looking at the multidimensional concepts that exist in these three variables, the study attempts to examine the relationship between psychological capital, work well-being to job performance.

2. Literature review

2.1. Job performance

Job performance is a dynamic concept because it involves a variety of understanding of one's behavior. Job performance is formed by three main dimensions, namely task performance, contextual performance and adaptive performance [14]. Task performance is often referred to as core technical terminology which refers to the specific behaviors employed by employees in relation to the main tasks and responsibilities of the work they possess [6 14, 15].

Contextual performance is more related to other behaviors that support activities and maintain core tasks so they can be more efficient and effective at work [14]. In other words, task performance is more determined by role behavior while contextual performance is more determined by extra-role behavior [16].

While adaptive performance relates to the ability of employees to adapt to each change either individually, team or organization.
Adaptive performance is important because employees are still able to perform best in a variety of conditions that are not always favorable [17].

### 2.2. Psychological capital

The concept of psychological capital was developed by Fred Luthans. Psychological capital is a positive psychological condition that is owned by a person. Psychological capital has four basic dimensions, namely 1) confidence to make the necessary efforts to accomplish a challenging task called self-efficacy; 2) create a positive attribute to present and future success called optimism; 3) persevering toward goals so that they know when they are needed and when to direct oneself to keep the goals cited as hopes and capabilities of self-defense and resurrection for greater success [18, 19, 20] when faced with problems and difficulties called resilience [18].

### 2.3. Work wellbeing

The concept of wellbeing focuses on how a person evaluates himself/herself to various life experiences held in a positive perspective, both cognitively and affectively, to include various terms in which happiness, morality, and positive affect [11]. Longitudinal studies have found that well-being has a positive impact on health and age thereby increasing one's productivity [21].

The concept of well-being in work has a broader meaning. Work well-being is the psychological condition of the individual who is satisfied and comfortable with his life, more often having positive affection, autonomy, being able to accept himself and the environment as he is, feeling a clear life goal, feeling self-developing, and able to cope with stress at work.

Work well-being is supported by several dimensions that shape it. 1) Self-acceptance is the first dimension that shows a positive attitude so as to accept yourself as it is; 2) Autonomy, namely the ability to self-directed and self-regulating behavior; 3) environmental mastery, namely the ability of individuals to manipulate and control the environment both physically and mentally; 4) personal growth, namely the ability of a person in developing the potential possessed in a sustainable manner; 5) purpose in life, namely the ability to understand the purpose and direction of life and belief in the purpose; 6) good personal relationship with others who demonstrate the individual's ability to nurture warmth and relationship with others and general work-life satisfaction, that is, employees' satisfaction over their whole life [22, 23, 24]

### 3. Research method

This study uses quantitative methods with survey techniques using three research instruments, namely instruments: Job Performance, Psychological Capital, and Work Well Being. The Job Performance instrument consists of three dimensions: Task Performance, Contextual Performance, and Adaptive Performance. Instrument Psychological Capital consists of four dimensions of Self Efficacy, Hope, Optimism, and Resilience. While Work Well Being instruments consist of Self-acceptance, Autonomy, Environmental Mastery, Personal Growth, Purpose in Life, and Good Personal Relationship. The instrument has been tested to see its validity and reliability. Table 1 shows the results of model testing with Confirmatory Factor Analysis. While Table 2 shows the result of instrument reliability test.

### 4. Results

The results showed that both variables, namely Psychological Capital and Work Well Being positively and significantly influence Job Performance. In the first hypothesis test, Psychological Capital was positively associated with Work Well Being with a t-value of 5.49. While in the second hypothesis test shows that Work Well Being positively related to Job Performance with a t-value of 2.01. And in the third hypothesis test, Psychological Capital positively affects Job Performance with the t-value of 4.71. Hypothesis test results can be seen in table 3

### Table 1. Measurement model with CFA results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Standardized factor loadings</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PsyCap</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.95 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>0.90 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OP</td>
<td>0.95 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>0.89 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work well-being</td>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.68 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>0.93 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EM</td>
<td>0.82 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0.80 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>0.67 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.92 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance</td>
<td>TaskPer</td>
<td>0.91 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ContextPer</td>
<td>0.97 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AdaptPer</td>
<td>0.67 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Valid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Reliability testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Variance extracted</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PsyCap</td>
<td>0.96 &gt; 0.70</td>
<td>0.85 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work well-being</td>
<td>0.92 &gt; 0.70</td>
<td>0.62 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Performance</td>
<td>0.89 &gt; 0.70</td>
<td>0.74 &gt; 0.50</td>
<td>Reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Hypothesis testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1: Employee psychological capital is positively correlated with work well-being</td>
<td>5.49 &gt; 1.96</td>
<td>H1 accepted, supported by empirical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: Employee work well-being is positively correlated with job performance</td>
<td>2.01 &gt; 1.96</td>
<td>H2 accepted, supported by empirical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: Employee psychological capital is positively correlated with job performance</td>
<td>4.71 &lt; 1.96</td>
<td>H3 accepted, supported by empirical data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goodness of fit test (GOFI)

RMSEA = 0.062 , NFI = 0.94 , NNFI = 0.98 , CFI = 0.98 , IFI = 0.98

The description of the research model with reference to the research results can be seen in Figure 1 below.
5. Discussion and conclusion

The results have shown that Psychological Capital and Work Well Being positively have a significant impact on Job Performance. This is important because performance is the result of an action. So the job performance needs to be studied further both theoretically and practically so as to capture the various components that influence it [1]. This research finds the role of multidimensional between psychological capital variable and works well being toward performance so that it strengthens that job performance is a multidimensional concept which has many influential factors. But the most important job performance is determined by various positive conditions that have a person so that the concept of positive psychology into an indicator that needs to be considered in the management of human resources.

6. Limitation and future research

Some limitations in this study provide the basis for researchers to provide suggestions and improvements to similar research that will be done in the future. The limitation in this research is that the researcher does not conduct an objective measurement of performance where the questionnaire is only given to be filled directly by the employee. In the next research, it is better if the performance appraisal also involves superiors, colleagues, and users to be able to get a more objective picture of employee performances.
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