Stabilization of UAV using delta sigma modulator
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Abstract

The design development and control of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV’s) have stimulated great significance in the automatic control research for the past 2 decade. In specific, Quad rotor systems are promising platform in the area of UAV research, due to its simple in construction, maintenance, ability to hover, and their vertical takeoff and landing (VTOL) capability. The dynamics and control of quad rotor are highly non-linear and under actuated so it is consider as a test-rig to verify any new proposed nonlinear control algorithm. Different control algorithms were proposed and implemented to stabilize the UAV attitude, and altitude. Adaptive control and navigation algorithms also implemented in UAV platform to ensure the maneuvering against the internal and external disturbances. The proposed research paper explains the implementation of developed digital control algorithm Delta-sigma modulator (DSM) based controller for UAV to enhance the robustness.

Keywords: Use about five key words or phrases in alphabetical order, Separated by Semicolon.

1. Introduction

The unmanned aerial vehicles are used in multiple vehicle teams, mobile sensor networks, gathering the details for the various real time applications like traffic monitoring, military applications, real time data acquisition and much more the quad rotor UAV takes up the important role. Compared with existing helicopters, quad rotors are having simple in construction avoiding the complex mechanical linkage structures which lessens the weight of system. In addition, the individual four rotors are smaller in size compared with the main rotor on a helicopter which can also reduce the weight. The quad rotors can reach at different altitude levels in the closely clustered or dense environments. They have an Autopilot so there is no need of human pilot to control the aerial vehicle. The control of an quad rotor may be done by using radio frequency control from ground station or automatic control these control strategies being studied by many researchers. In [1], PID control is used in most of the places to control the robotics and even for industrial automation. The same scenario in UAV platform too. In [2], PID controller used in quad rotor for both its attitude and altitude control. It observed that it have better performance in pitch angle tracking. In [3], Position and orientation of a quad rotor was regulated by PID controller. Obtained performance shows good attitude stabilization. After PID controller LQR controller are also implemented in Quad rotor control. In [4], Runcharoon and Srichathrapimuk formulated a SMC based on Lyapunov stability theory. It’s tracking feature was effective with injected noise which showed good robustness. In [5], Fang and Gao formulated integrator back stepping control by adding integrator in algorithm which results increase in robustness of the general back stepping algorithm. In [6], Dao et al implemented a continuous time varying adaptive controller where known uncertainties in mass, moment of inertia and aerodynamic damping coefficient exist. In [7], Palunko et.al. implemented Adaptive control scheme for quad rotor using feedback linearization with dynamic changes in centre of gravity. In [8], an adaptive control technique based on rectilinear distance (L1) norm was used with a trade-off between control performance and robustness which was able to compensate for constant and moderate wind gusts. In [9], Bai et.al implemented a powerful controller for pitch, roll and yaw control system and these mechanism is based on compensation technique. In [10], Tony and Mackunis deals by using powerful control algorithm to reduce the various environmental disturbances to the system. In [11], optimal control algorithm implemented for tracking of both attitude and heading which gives effective performance. In [12], Falkenberg et.al. applied an optimization algorithm based on H∞ looping to a quad rotor but the result did not seem more efficient. In [13], Roza and Maggiore implemented linearization and input dynamic inversion to set a effective controller to take a control action in such a way that it is in terms to take an account of various parameters like attitude angle of a system and path parameters. In [14], quad rotor stabilization was implemented by an adaptive neural network scheme in the presence of a sinusoidal disturbance. In [15], a hybrid fuzzy controller with back stepping and sliding mode control applied to eliminate chattering effect of the sliding mode control algorithm successfully. In [16], a fuzzy model based controlled is proposed. The simulation results show that it is better than the conventional discretization methods. In [17], a controller is designed using uncertainty and disturbance estimation. In [18], a wireless camera is used to obtain the status of UAV, and provides feedback to a PD controller. The mechanism by which the DSM controller gives better results in that the cumulative error signal is always attempted to reduce to zero. In a general purpose delta sigma modulator the normal range
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of input signal is limited as .55 that is mean value of output of a delta sigma modulator throughout a sample period is almost equal to the analog value of the input signal that is only whenever the normal input signal value is lesser than .55. The reason is the second integrator output gets saturated i.e. exceeds the supply voltage, when the normalized input exceeds 0.55. In the proposed signal dependent DSM, signal dependent feedback is used in order to minimize the integrator outputs.

In this paper, Signal dependant Delta-sigma modulator is proposed and is used as the controller for the UAV. The proposed technique reduces the deviation error to 0.001%. In Fig.4 is shown the basic schematic diagram of UAV in which the encircled portion is the proposed modification and in Fig.5 is shown the block diagram representation of autopilot with proposed DSM controller.

2. Proposed technique

The II\textsuperscript{nd} order, single bit quantizer with unity feedback gain delta sigma modulator is shown in Fig. 1, and it is the general purpose delta sigma modulator where analog input signal value is x. Thus the sample and hold circuit is used to sample the analog signal with an equivalent sampling period and clock period of delta sigma modulator circuit $T_C$. $D$ is the delay module which is used to provide one clock pulse delay of delta sigma modulator and the quantizer module is $Q$ with the output range of +1 or -1. Whenever the normalized input signal of a single stage delta sigma modulator is greater than .55 then the system becomes unstable. Here $x(i)$ is the analog input signal and also sampled signal to the delta sigma modulator circuit during the sampling period of $i^{\text{th}}$ instant. The mean value of the quantizer during the period of $k^{\text{th}}$ instant and present period $T_{U}$ ($T_{U} > T_{C}$) is denoted as $y(k)$. The normal input signal to the delta sigma modulator of $k^{\text{th}}$ instant and EX-NOR ($k$) is the mean value of sampled value of input to the feedback gain. For a classic delta sigma modulator with unity feedback gain more than the unity feedback gain, $y(k)$ is equal to EX-NOR ($k$) during the period of $k^{\text{th}}$ instant.

$$
\Delta y = \frac{T_{E}}{n}
$$

The resolution $\Delta y$ is used to control the operating period of DSM such that the operating period is proportional to $|x|$. The resolution, $\Delta y$ is integrated when the R-S flip-flop is set. The integral value of $\Delta y$, $(\Delta y)\text{cum}$ is compared with $|x|$. When $(\Delta y)\text{cum}=|x|$, the SR flip-flop is reset (phase $\Phi$off) and the switches s1 to s7 are opened. DSM stops functioning and output is zero for the remaining sampling period since the quantizer output is clamped to analog ground through the switch s8. All the integrators, denoted as I1, I2, and I3 are reset to zero and cumulative addition of $\Delta y$ also stops. $\Delta y$ is selected such that $(\Delta y)\text{cum}$ is less than $|x|$ in a sampling period. During next positive transition of update cycle the DSM operating cycle is repeated.

3. Block diagram of proposed DSM II

The block diagram representation of the proposed delta sigma modulator II is shown in Fig.2. The sample and hold circuit I is used to samples the input signal at a sampling period $T_{U}$ and is denoted as $(x)T_{U}$ or simply by x. The normalized input signal $(\text{xnor} = x/n)$ can range from -1 to +1 where n is feedback gain constant. Thus the analog signal x is given to the delta sigma modulator as an input signal. The operating time period of a delta sigma modulator is also controlled by the input signal x. The delta sigma modulator circuit is operated by clock signal with the time period of $T_C$. The $n|x|$ is the feedback gain. The value of $n$ is selected to be greater than unity to satisfy the necessary condition for stability that the input x should be less than the feedback gain. Since the gain element is inserted in the feedback path, the average value of the output during each update period will be divided by the gain of the feedback path. In order to compensate the effect of signal dependant feedback gain, the operating time $T_o$ of the DSM circuit during each update period is varied proportional to $x$.

$$
T_o = k \cdot |x|
$$

Where k is the constant of proportionality. Substituting in equation (3.4) that when $|x|=|x_{\text{max}}|=n$, $T_o = T_{U}$ results in $k = \frac{T_{U}}{n}$. Substituting the value of k in equation (3.4), gives the value of $T_o$ as:

$$
T_o = \frac{T_{U}}{n} \cdot |x|
$$

TU and TC are selected such that $T_{U}>>T_{C}$. As in DSM1, the average values of outputs of the first integrator, second integrator, and quantizer during ith update period are denoted as $e$, $y_i$ and $y$ respectively and the average value of the quantizer error signal during ith update period is denoted as $c$. The same notation is followed in other proposed DSMs also. The output of single bit quantizer is +1 or -1 when the DSM is functioning. During a positive transition of update signal, the SR flip-flop is set. When the SR flip-flop is set (phase $\Phi$on), the switches s1 to s7 are closed (shown by thin dotted lines) and DSM starts functioning. The DSM output consists of a sequence of pulses of height +1 and -1. The resolution of DSM output, $\Delta y$ is given by:

$$
\Delta y = \frac{T_{E}}{T_{U}} \cdot n
$$

The resolution $\Delta y$ is used to control the operating period of DSM such that the operating period is proportional to $|x|$. The resolution, $\Delta y$ is integrated when the R-S flip-flop is set. The integral value of $\Delta y$, $(\Delta y)\text{cum}$ is compared with $|x|$. When $(\Delta y)\text{cum}=|x|$, the SR flip-flop is reset (phase $\Phi$off) and the switches s1 to s7 are opened. DSM stops functioning and output is zero for the remaining sampling period since the quantizer output is clamped to analog ground through the switch s8. All the integrators, denoted as I1, I2, and I3 are reset to zero and cumulative addition of $\Delta y$ also stops.
The time at which the states of different blocks are updated, is labeled on each block or on set of blocks (shown by thick dotted lines) in Fig. 3.2. During each sampling period, the bit stream at the output of quantizer gives the digital representation of input signal. The average value of bit stream at the output during each sampling period (y) is proportional to the analog input signal. The normalized value of y (ny) is equal to the normalized input signal (x/n). The timing chart of the proposed DSM2 is shown in Fig. 3.3. For each update period, TU (which is a constant), the DSM circuit operating time, TO (which is a variable proportional to |x|) will be in the range 0 ≤ TO ≤ TU. TU and TC are selected such that TU >> TC.

In the proposed modulator xnor can vary from -1 to +1 and the modulator is stable for the full range. The input signal is oversampled and DSM input is dc signal for each sampling period. For dc input if the DSM is operated with sufficiently low clock period the average value of the digital output will be a good approximation of the input. This leads to better SNR and power spectral density (PSD) for the complete range of input signal. Hence, the proposed DSM is suitable for industrial applications with control signal of low frequency.
It is difficult to fix the gain values of PID controller. The attempt is always to optimize overshoot/undershoot, settling time, rise time and steady state error. For dc signal, the DSM gives far better accuracy of 0.001%. The conventional DSM has limited range of input. If the normalized input is above 0.5, the DSM will become unstable. The proposed DSM can operate for the full range of input signal and never become unstable and retains the accuracy of 0.001% for dc signal.

During each sampling period, the bit stream at the output of quantizer gives the digital representation of input signal. The average value of bit stream at the output during each sampling period is proportional to the sampled analog value of the input signal. The normalized value of y (ny) is equal to the normalized input signal (x/n). The proposed modulator increases the input signal range to full scale. The upper bounds of the state variables never overload the quantizer and hence the proposed DSM is stable for the complete range of the input signal. The SNR and PSD are better than the conventional DSM in the overall range.

4. Results and discussion

![Fig. 5: Autopilot with Proposed DSM Controller.](image)

In this simulation, the altitude variations for a constant PWM signal from the remote controller with external random disturbances is studied. The constant PWM is converted to step input (0.67 V as an example) and is shown in Fig.6 (a). The external random disturbance signal is shown in Fig.6 (b). The second integrator output is shown in Fig.6(c) and is less than 10 V and so the operational amplifier which is used for the integrator will never be saturated. In Fig.6 (d) is shown the output of the quantizer of the DSM1. The output is a sequence of pulses of amplitude 1.1, confirming the normal operation of the circuit. There are no continuous ones or zeros in the expanded view which signifies instability.

Fig. 6(e) shows the demodulated signal of the quantizer output of DSM. The demodulated signal is the average value of pulses at the output of the quantizer during each update period. It can be seen that the demodulated signal reproduces analog input signal corresponding to the PWM and is not influenced by the external disturbance signal. In Fig. 6(f) is shown the error signal and the maximum absolute value of the error signal is 0.15 mV.
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