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Abstract

The organizational code of ethic plays a big role as linkage between the organization’s mission, values and principles with the standards of professional conduct. Due to this, the objective of this research is to identify the ethical work behavior and depict a baseline to predict the organizational code of ethic. Both inductive and deductive method of inquiry was adopted, starting with qualitative grounded theory as antecedent variables were bushed in linguistic form. The developed conceptual model, which was demonstrated by 28 items, measuring 6 constructs which tested the behavioral side of the ethical practices, in quantitative phase. The proposed "Ethical work behavior model" was studied for generalizability by smart-PLS and test for [a] the loadings of studied items on their anticipated latent variables and also test [b] the proposed relationship among a set of dependent and independent constructs. Result of 168 distributed questioners in 30 different academic base organizations, indicates that both situational and individual leverage can explain and predict the ethical work behavior.
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1. Introduction

The code of ethics was on the top concern of both business and society. This scenario has made Heyler et al. [1] to assert the importance of the ethical issues in today’s world activities. Studying business disasters revealed the ethical dereliction as the main source of debates about the business success or failure [1]. Crane & Matten [2] have equally argued that, the issues of ethics should not be underrated if business organization planning to succeed and give value to their stakeholders. And therefore, business executives are required to maintain the ethical qualities in their self-concept and behavior while they are involving in issues such as leadership, business responsibilities for corporate layoffs, closures, and downsizing.

Previous literature mostly focused on relationship between dimension of ethics and the organizational performance; however, the cognitive influence of the code of ethics which deals with the real practice, such as ethical leadership has been left uncovered [3]. A few theories explained how ethical issues should be related in organizational practices. Previous approaches in study of decision making related to the organizational code of ethics typically emphasized either the role of individual characteristics or the role of situational features in behavior which are not bounded to ethical concern; however, it believes, the most important influences existed between individual and situational variables. Therefore, the gap considered by this research is the significance of the code of ethics addressed by individual’s cognitive response [4, 5].

This issue was associated with using both inductive and deductive approaches and proposed the “ethical behavior model” that gives credence to organizational ethical behavior through integration role of situational and individual cognitive response.

2. Ethical Decision-Making

What people ought to do, usually in terms of specific quality of behavior, accepted norm, responsibilities, and benefits to society are well defined as code of ethics [1]. Ethical decision-making is part of cognitive components of response regarding some aspect considered as illegal or factors violates social norms. The emotional components of the ethical behavior include feelings, moods, sentiments, and attitudes toward an issue or decision [6], whereas the cognitive components include conscious beliefs, knowledge, perceptions, and judgments [7].

Many theories have been developed such as ethical-decision making theory in marketing which introduced by Dubinsky & Loken [8]; four-components model by Rest [9]; contingency theory by Ferrell & Gresham [10]; general theory of marketing ethics by Hunt & Vitell [11]; person-situation interactionism model by Trevino [12] and issue contingent model by [13].

While, yet the impact of individual and situational variables on human behavior has been examined in depth. The previous models sustain the influence of emotional component of individual judgments and behaviors, as they placed more concern on the effect of a person feelings, religiosity or convergence with law. Although, ethics are often equated with feelings, nevertheless, acting ethically is bounded on feelings alone, as feelings may lead one individual to do what is right but lead the other person in different way. On the other hand, while, most religions advocate high ethical standards, nevertheless, ethics should not be identified with religion, as some individuals do not stand their ethics solely upon a particular religious teaching. Moreover, acting ethically is not the same as acting according to the law; although many laws incorpo-
rate with ethical standards to which most citizens subscribe, nevertheless, it can deviate from that as well.

3. Research Objectives

This research attempted to understand deep into the constructs of the code of ethics. The objective therefore is, to unpack different dimensions of the cognitive response and clarifies different antecedent’s instruments of the code of ethics.

4. Methodology

In attempt for a rigorous study about the causes and effects of code of ethics, the methodology which has been used in this research was grounded based on post-positivism philosophy using both inductive and deductive method of data inquiry. The first phase was based on grounded theory of Strauss and Corbin’s approach, while the second phase was designed for generalizing the proposed model by logical regression concordance of multiple premises. This attempt was based on calling for further mixed-methods research by [1, 14]. Qualitative exploration was an essential instrument of this research as the saturated level of data brought new insights among different constructs [15]. The proposed model therefore, draws attention to the growing need of more understanding about naturalistic settings, the importance of cognitive context, and the complexity of implementing social change. Quantitative was has been used to test the model by using a survey instrument which was partly adapted from previous studies and manipulated to be suitable for the research constructs, while its validity was systematically ensured.

5. Instrumentation

The questioner has been developed following the recommended procedures of [16]. Conceptual validation was done through sorting procedure as proposed by [17]. The research instrument includes a total number of 28 items, measuring 6 constructs which are shown in figure 2. Seven-point Likert scales used to measure the items which were adapted and adopted from previous validated researches. The validation process was done through two phases. First, the questioner was validated by 4 academician and 4 experts in similar topic, in order to ensure the clarity and correctness of the items. Second, two rounds sorting procedure has been applied based on [17]. The internal reliability was checked based on Kappa statistic [18] and items placement ratio also applied based on [17]. Values have been calculated, and the results of both rounds were greater than 0.89 which is greater than 0.65. Therefore, based on Kappa scores, it is confirmed that the scales are highly reliable and considered to be acceptable [17].

6. Data Collection

The main source of data in qualitative phase was collected through semi-structured interviews of top executives from three ranked academic institutes. In all the cases, the respondents were allowed to state their stories as they see themselves involved in real life situations by using of open-ended questions. However, to have effective interview sessions, an interview protocol was followed until the saturation level. Considered organizations were all involved in education and training as the unit of analyses. Total 10 expert participants are key top principal who represent the organizational strategic decision makers; which their detailed information kept confidential due to sensitivity of the issue related the code of ethic. Should be note, the comparison between interviews were done in order to ensure about the consistency of the received information. To ensure accuracy, during the interviews, tape-recorders were used and transcription was eventually sent to the interviewees to double check the precision of collected information.

In quantitative phase, the questioner has been distributed among the head of departments as organizations’ decision makers in 30 different educational institutes, operating in capital city of Iran [Tehran], including schools, institutes, universities and training centers. Total numbers of 168 questioners have been distributed, while only 151 have been returned; nevertheless, only 143 were completed and able to be used for further processes. Collected data analyzed by using the ver2 of Smart-PLS software.

7. Qualitative Phase - Grounded Theory Method

Replication logic developed by Glaser [19] was followed for the experts’ selection, as this is important for cases that are multiple in nature [20]. Furthermore, the researchers also compared data and theory as analysis began with collection of data while emphasis was laid on both emergence of theory with evidence and an incremental approach [19]. For analyzing each case, research problems were formulated at the beginning, where some potentially significant variables from existing literature and grounded theory were analyzed [21]. There were several in-depth sessions of interviews based on grounded theory design, while a within-case analysis was also conducted after the participant’s histories were conducted. Founded pattern which is unique within the finding, pursued for generalization across cases [22]. Results are briefly explained in next.

7.1. Ethical Work Behavior Model

It was found after cross cases analysis of interviews, that the ethical work behavior can be explained by a combination of individual and situational leverage. While factors such as administrative role and the longer working experience, the larger size of the organization were possessing productive catalytic mechanism; nevertheless, some degree of code of ethics such as antecedents believes found more effective in this construct. Especially leaders are tend to build relationships with their affiliates and make them feel working in more democratic environment and increase the perception about their higher degree of ethical leadership. As illustrated in the proposed ethical work behavior model, [Figure 1] the theory of planned behavior can be seen as a specific application in the workplace.

7.2. Organizational Climate as the Situational Leverage

The organizational climate reflects the collective views of working practices and the different culture in which organizational units or members have conducted organizational operations [23]. As such, organizational climate often reflects the values, norms, and traditions that have developed over time and influence current employee processes.

7.3. Behavioral Belief

According to Ajzen’s theory of planned behavior [24], behavioral belief represents in form of expected outcome in the proposed model. Opportunities reflect the ethical leadership within an organization who set many of the policies and rules that directly influence an individual’s opportunity to behave ethically. The components of expected outcome include integrity, established reinforcements, communication, and process orientation.

7.4. Normative Beliefs

According to Ajzen’s theory of planned behavior [24], normative beliefs pertain to the approval or disapproval of others are expected to express after performing a behavior. This study classified normative beliefs according to two dimensions of social
norms and organizational rules and policies. Should be noted that, organizational rules and policies reflect the organizational climate and the norms and rules that stimulated from the organizational climate. The connection between behavioral belief and normative beliefs is because of being influenced and influencing role of leaders directly and indirectly on subordinates’ behavior. It means that, these ethical leaders can ultimately effect on ethical work behavior or decrease unethical behavior via social influence including “personal interactions and set expectations and the promotion of such behavior to others through communication, reinforcement, and decision making.

7.5. Intention

Intention is considered as immediate antecedent of one’s behavior and defined as an indication of a person’s readiness to perform an assumed behavior. Specifically, research on intention-behavior relationship has illustrated that the organizational climate can predict ethical work behavior. Intention stems from the negative evaluation of others and a belief in being ethically superior to them. In this study we argue, intention while does not have solid change in behavior nevertheless, it causes self-cognitive changes. Therefore, it was proposed that intention toward a behavior is positively related to ethical work behavior.

7.6. Individual Moderators

Social constructionists posit that cognitive beliefs congruence influence ethical behaviors not through reflective processes but rather the perception of socially learned responses to emotional arousal [6]. This study classified cognitive beliefs according to two dimensions ethical identity and active emotions. This finding may indicate that individuals experiencing self-conscious emotions do not engage in active/direct unethical behaviors but rather more passive-aggressive behaviors. Ethical identity is defined as routine ethical traits in ones’ self-concept and behavior. Trait aspects of ethical identity include compassion, trust, and caring [7], whereas social aspects of ethical identity are based on the individual’s self-concept of the groups and networks of which he or she feels a part [e.g., religious or political groups]. In despite of the existence of ethical policies and emphasis on organizational procedures, nevertheless, because of the impact of individual’s emotions, a workplace may still be going by unethical work behaviors. Even with all the rational areas accounted for human behavior is influenced by one’s cognitive beliefs congruence. Modern cognitive psychologists often consider active emotions to be the antagonists of rationality. While according to participant’s expressions in this research we argue that one would make better decisions with the influence of emotions, and in fact the human brain cannot make sound decisions without active emotions and logic which emotions must interact for it.

7.7. Ethical Work Behavior

This study utilized the relatively broad definition of unethical work behaviors as a variety of harmful behaviors that individuals perform and negatively impact to their peers, work teams, and the organization [e.g., gossip, bullying, deliberate exclusion, hostility] which are subjected to be judged according to generally accepted ethical norms or behavior. The different terminology used by participants referring to unethical work behavior, includes organizational misbehavior, counterproductive work behaviors, and antisocial behavior. For example, participants P.C.1. clearly defined the unethical work behavior as “violation of organizational norms and rules”. Workplace bullying involves a pattern of negative acts characterized by the features of isolation, humiliation, and intimidation. The persistence of a negative behavior is particularly important to the study because it moves the focus from negative acts to negative relationships and distinguishes workplace unethical behavior from other forms of ethical behavior. Previous research on human aggression, reports that the persistence of a negative behavior in the workplace, which can decrease productivity and downsize the job satisfaction [23], involves destructive attitudes such as negativity, bitterness, procrastination, “forgetting” to do something, and intentional inefficiency. Passive aggressive behaviors include spreading rumors, gossiping, attempting to turn others against the target, deliberately excluding the target, and withholding information [25]. Passive aggressive behaviors cost the organization time, energy, and money [25].

8. Quantitative Phase – Statistical Analysis

Two types of test have been conducted, first test the loadings of observed items on their expected latent variables which is called the measurement model test, second the structural model test which is concentrated on the proposed relationship among a set of dependent and independent constructs [26].
The correlations between the measures of two constructs were examined for the second test of discriminant validity. The Results show that more variance is shared between the construct and its indicators than with another construct representing a different set of indicators [29]. This indicates adequate discriminant validity. Thus, results show that all reflective constructs exhibit adequate levels of internal consistency, convergent validity and discriminant validity.

For the structural model testing, we used the [R2] and path coefficients. R2 of 10% indicates a substantive explanatory power [33]. This is shown in the proposed model as higher than 50%. Also the moderating effect of the cognitive beliefs is clearly shown. [Figure 2] Thus, we contemplate that our proposed model holds an acceptable level of predictive validity. Our model fulfills the criteria of a good model fit, as obvious from the results of our measurement model and structural model evaluation.

9. Discussions and Implications

Most previous researches have focused on assessing the ethics of final decisions without attempting to investigate the processes underlying ethical decision-making. This research tried to explore and examine the antecedents [i.e. organizational climate and leader’s characteristics] and also consequences factors [organizational code of ethics]. Conducted in-depth inductive study, validate the proposed framework and relative propositions. Based on the results, the ethical work behavior can cultivate by two groups of influential factors of situational leverage and individual moderator. It is found that, while individuals are highly influenced by their superiors and peers in daily processes, including work interactions: nevertheless, these individuals come to social situations with their own unique set of experiences that have molded the way that they see rules and norms. Such their behavioral responses are a function of mental processes that begin with active emotion and expected ethical identity. Basically, the main theme of this study has implications for self-conscious and active emotions relate to employee work behavior. In similar with Shapiro & Stefkovich [3] of concept of moral intensity, this research also found that individuals may consider the probability of consequences before engaging in a particular behavior. In the case of the emotion of guilt, as employees experiencing fault may feel that they have ruined their reputation, and making others a negative wave for further destroying of their reputations. These results illustrate a relationship between unethical work behavior and specific emotions [i.e., guilt, shame, contempt] and extending the current literature on emotions and unethical work behaviors.

Furthermore, it was discovered that those with strong ethical identity will develop a positive capacity to act ethically, accept ethical goals, and direct efforts to deal with ethical challenges in a manner consistent with their ethical identity. Such individuals choose to behave in accordance with their true self-perception or code of ethical identity. Their behavior is less likely to be influenced by internal or external factors. This finding was interpreted as evidence of the strength of ethical identity. The results suggest that individuals with a high level of ethical identity are more likely to engage in more covert, passive aggressive behaviors. The results support the hypothesis that ethical identity is a powerful force that influences ethical behavior.

In conclusion, it is argued that active emotion and ethical identity moderate the ethical work behavior as self-cognitive beliefs congruence influence self-knowledge and normative expectation. Based on this argument, the previous results highlight the importance of ethical identity in predicting ethical work behavior. Individuals with a strong ethical identity are more likely to engage in ethical behavior. However, the findings also suggest that ethical identity is not a sufficient condition for ethical behavior. Other factors, such as situational variables, may also play a role in predicting ethical behavior. Therefore, future research should focus on the interaction between ethical identity and situational variables to better understand the factors that influence ethical behavior.

10. Directions for Future Research

This study provides preliminary insight into the effects of emotions, as well as the effects of their interaction with other situational and individual factors, on ethical decision-making and unethical work behaviors that will guide future research. Nevertheless, the question remains to be answered of how these constructs changes and evolves over time? Future research, may argue on how ethical leadership and the cognitive mechanism may negatively relate to unethical work behavior and produce different effect on the organizational administrative. This research laid emphasis on replication logic developed by Yin [20] and Strauss and Corbin [15] with a focus on the theoretical replication; and future researchers should make use of social learning theory, social exchange theory and social information processing theory. This research studied knowledge related institutions; therefore, care and caution must be taken in the application of our model to other market or industries. However, the finding can have substantial contribution to both managerial ethic and administrative theories.
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