Postdiffset: an Eclat-like algorithm for frequent itemset mining
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Abstract

Frequent itemset mining is a major field in data mining techniques. This is because it deals with usual and normal occurrences of set of items in a database transaction. Originated from market basket analysis, frequent itemset generation may lead to the formulation of association rule as to derive correlation or patterns. Association rule mining still remains as one of the most prominent areas in data mining that aims to extract interesting correlations, frequent patterns, association or casual structures among set of items in the transaction databases. Underlying structure of association rules mining algorithms are based upon horizontal or vertical data formats. These two data formats have been widely discussed by showing few examples of algorithm of each data formats. The works on horizontal approaches suffer in many candidate generation and multiple database scans that contributes to higher memory consumptions. In response to improve on horizontal approach, the works on vertical approaches are established. Eclat algorithm is one example of algorithm in vertical approach database format. Motivated to its ‘fast intersection’, in this paper, we review and analyze the fundamental Eclat and Eclat-variants such as tidset, diffset, and sortdiffset. In response to vertical data format and as a continuity to Eclat extension, we propose a postdiffset algorithm as a new member in Eclat variants that use tidset format in the first looping and diffset in the later looping. We present the performance of postdiffset results in time execution as to indicate some improvements has been achieved in frequent itemset mining.
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1. Introduction

Association rules (AR) mining is one of the important and advanced techniques in data mining. Originates from market analysis, the main objectives of association rules mining are to find the correlations, associations or casual structures among sets of items in the data repository. In AR mining, frequent itemset is the field dealing with normal frequent occurrences of data items. The objective is to find frequent grouping of items in database containing series of item transactions. The database composes series of basket that are analogous to orders placed by customers. These orders are actually individual baskets made up of some number of items. Giant companies such as Trivago, Amazon and Netflix and other online distributors make use of frequent itemsets to project for an additional item that customer might want to purchase based on their purchasing history. The projection for additional items to suggest for customers is based on the association rule generated. The main objectives of association rules mining are to find the correlations, associations or casual structures among sets of items in the data repository. In other words, it allows non discovery of implicative and interesting tendencies in databases [1,2].

Example of a simple rule is A customer who buys bread and butter will also tend to buy milk with probability s% and c%. The applicability of such rule to business problems makes the association rule to become a popular mining method. Previous efforts on ARM have manipulated the traditional horizontal database format [2,3]. Because of the persistent issues in storage and memory, later efforts turn to utilize on the vertical association rules mining algorithms [4,5]. The three basic models in frequent itemset mining are Apriori [1,2] that lies on horizontal format whereas Eclat [4,5] and FP-Growth [6] underlying database structure is on vertical format. Several efforts on vertical data association rules mining have been conducted [3,4]. Among them, Eclat algorithm is known for its ‘fast’ intersection of its tidlist whereby the resulting number of tids is actually the support (frequency) of each itemsets [4]. That is, we should break off each intersection as soon as the resulting number of tids is below minimum support threshold that we have set. Studies on Eclat algorithm has attracted many development efforts including [5,7,8].

2. Related works

The Eclat is the abbreviation of equivalence class transformation and the acronym for equivalence class clustering and bottom up Lattice Traversal [7,8]. It takes a depth-first search for its searching strategy and adopts a vertical layout to represent databases, in which each item is represented by a set of transaction IDs (called a tidset) whose transactions contain the item. Tidset of an itemset is generated by intersecting tidsets of its items. Because of the depth-first search, it is difficult to utilize the downward closure property like in Apriori. However, using tidsets has an advantage that there is no need for counting support, the support of an itemset is the size of the tidset representing it. The main operation of Eclat is intersecting tidsets, thus the size of tidsets is one of main factors affecting the running time and memory usage of Eclat. The bigger tidsets are, the more time and memory are needed.

Prior to findings in [4], the author then proposed a new vertical data representation, called Diffset [5]. The so-called dEclat, a
Diffset is shown to achieve significant improvements in performance and memory usage over traditional Eclat (tidset) especially in dense databases. When database is sparse, diffset loses its advantages over tidsets. Then in [5] the authors suggested to use tidset format at starting for sparse database and later switch to diffset format when switching condition is met. From this starting point, postdiffset is proposed. In postdiffset algorithm, the first level of looping is based on tidsets process, follows by the second level onwards of looping are getting the result of diffset (difference intersection set) between i-th column and i+1-th column and save to db. Referring to Figure 1, the min_support threshold value is determined in terms of percentage where the user-specified min_support value is divided by 100 and times the total rows (records) of each dataset. Then, starting with the first loop, if the support is greater than or equal (>=) to min_support, then, the first level of looping is based on tidsets process, whereas the second level onwards of looping are getting the result of diffset (difference intersection set) between i-th column and i+1-th column and save to database.

4. Proposed algorithm

A rule is frequent if its support is greater than minimum support (min_supp) threshold. The rules which satisfy minimum confidence (min_conf) threshold is called strong rule and both min_supp and min_conf are user specified values [4]. An association rule is considered interesting if it satisfies both min_supp and min_conf thresholds [7,6]. If an itemset is infrequent, then all of its supersets are infrequent as well. Therefore, in algorithms that exploit this property, only candidates where all of its subsets are frequent are generated and counted for supports. Similarly, the set of infrequent itemsets is upward closed.

5. Experimental settings

All experiments are performed on a Dell N5050, Intel @ Pentium @ CPU B960 @ 2.20 GHz with 8GB RAM in a Win 7 64-bit platform. The software specification for algorithm development is deployed using open source software i.e. MySQL version 5.6.20 – MySQL community server (GPL) for our database server, Apache/2.4.10 (Win32) OpenSSL/1.0.1i PHP/5.5.15 for our web server, php as a programming language and phpMyAdmin with version 4.2.7.1, the latest stable version as to handle the administration of MySQL over the Web. The database of chess, music and server, php as a programming language and phpMyAdmin with version 4.2.7.1, the latest stable version as to handle the administration of MySQL over the Web. The database of chess, music and
room and `pumsb_star` is extracted from online frequent itemset mining dataset repository (http://fimi.ua.ac.be/data/). We initiate our experimentation with testing in dense dataset category. The database characteristics is shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Database Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Datasets</th>
<th>Num. of Transactions</th>
<th>Length (Attribute)</th>
<th>Size (KB)</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chess</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>Dense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushroom</td>
<td>8125</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>Dense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>pumsb_star</code></td>
<td>49047</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11028</td>
<td>Dense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1. Empirical results

The experimentation is done with regards to Eclat-tidset, Eclat-diffset, Eclat-sortdiffset and Eclat-postdiffset algorithm. Figure 2 shows the graph of performance result in execution time (in second) within three datasets i.e. chess, mushroom and `pumsb_star`.

In chess, postdiffset turns to be the third after diffset (20704.2 secs) and sortdiffset (20932.14 secs) that results in 32112.9 secs. Tidset is the last to perform with 90450.6 secs. In mushroom and `pumsb_star` datasets, quite similar trends happen to postdiffset. For mushroom, postdiffset has resulted in 12002.21 secs after sortdiffset (20932.14 secs) and diffset (9960.19 secs). The last falls to tidset with 19007.99 secs. Meanwhile for `pumsb_star`, the first in execution time falls to diffset with 37100.4 secs. Then it follows by sortdiffset with 37690.3 secs. Then postdiffset turns to be the third with 40709.2 secs while the last is tidset with 50795.64 secs.

6. Conclusion and future direction

Even though our proposed algorithm is found to be moderate in performance of time execution, but it shows better trends than Eclat-tidset. The probability of itemset occurrences in each database would be the contributing factor to the algorithm performance. In our next discovery, we would see our postdiffset performance in benchmark sparse dataset such as T10H4D100K and retail. We may also test our proposed algorithm in infrequent itemsets, to discover either showing a similar results trends or the results of infrequent itemsets may contradict with our recent frequent itemsets. The research and future direction of ARM especially in frequent pattern mining is discovered and disclosed by [6] on whether we can derive a compact but high quality set of patterns which can be valuable and useful in applications. The issue is yet to being explored and solved [9] before frequent pattern mining can become a cornerstone approach in data mining applications.
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