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Abstract

This project thesis focuses on quality of voice and video performance in a wired as well as in a wireless network. The analysis was done by using network management system (NMS) to monitor and record the performance of different VoIP codecs in various use cases. Another important challenge is to define the best audio/video codec that can be used in an existing network to satisfy defined QoS parameters. We conclude on our findings that a separation between a wireless and wired environment wasn’t reasonable, because the occurring differences of the QoS metrics were too small to measure with the used setup. During the testing of the different codecs the Speex codec reached the best result compared to the others. It can be said Speex is the codec with the least needed bandwidth utilization and therefore the outcome of the testing must be seen divided.
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1. Introduction

Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) has the ability to transmit speech during packet switching in IP networks. The technology consists, a set of facilities and protocols for managing the transmission of voice packets using IP. In IP based computer networks, it is designed to handle data packets, it can arrive out of order or also can be lost and retransmitted. However, in voice conversations are non-tolerant of these kinds of disturbances. Each packet sound also must arrive in correct order, preserve the real time. Nevertheless, the possibilities of having packets lost the conversation sounds, distorted and has a bad audio quality are there. Furthermore, VoIP is extreme sensitive and, issues like staggered and packet loss are common compared to other network applications. Therefore, it is important that network management issues are satisfying defined through QoS parameters.

There are numerous network factors that affect QoS assessment. For example, the network congestion, link failures, routing instabilities or competing traffic. General QoS measurement includes delay, jitter and packet loss. However, several protocols and standards for VoIP do exist. VoIP is currently dominated by two standards. On the one hand the H.323 standard and on the other hand the SIP (Session Initiation Protocol). Both standards describe how a conversation is initiated over the IP network, the required components and the used transport and signaling protocols. Another important challenge is to define the best audio/video codec that can be used in an existing network to satisfy defined QoS parameters.

2. Related work

The reality nowadays, day by day, more people start to use Voice over IP to communicate and this happen to the entire world population. VoIP (voice over IP) is a containerize medium that serve to transmit voice and multimedia over Internet Protocol (IP) networks. Group of technologies and methodologies communicate through channel, level and place. Is either at enterprise level or in local area network or wide area network. VoIP does its job by encapsulate the given audio via codec and transform into several data packets before it transmit over IP network. Once it reaches the destination, the data decapsulates back into audio for caller or user to understand. VoIP endpoints include dedicated desktop VoIP phones, softphone applications running on PCs and mobile devices, and several applications.

A VoIP app is an application or software that end-user can install on computer or mobile device, and it connects to a VoIP services via Internet or a dedicated network. By doing this, it allows user to make VoIP calls. A VoIP is also known as a VoIP client and one of common application to use is softphone app. There are many common program, which make it easy to use VoIP for example Skype, MSN messenger, VoIP-cheap, VoIP-buster, etc. [1]. Today, various of research started to concentrate on wireless technology implementation on VoIP service.

In the digital era, the increase of network bandwidth and the ubiquitous wireless access facilitates become major concern and, - network. Among these services, is Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP)[2].

Wireless LAN is one of organized wireless technologies that use by all over the world and, it play a major role in next-generation
wireless voice call networks. The architecture is similar as Local Area Network (LAN)’s except the transmission happens via radio frequency (RF) or Infrared (IR) and not through physical wired cables, and at the MAC sub-layer, are use different standard protocol.

The main characteristics of the WLAN technologies are mobility, simplicity, scalability, edibility and cost effectiveness. Today, many organizations are using WLANs as a medium for communication, so it is important to investigate how VoIP over WLAN performs based on previous study [3]. VoIP over Wireless LAN (WLAN) faces many challenges, due to the loose nature of wireless network. Issues like providing QoS at a good level, dedicating capacity for calls and having secure calls is more difficult rather than wired LAN [16, 17, 18].

Therefore, VoIP over WLAN remains as challenging research topic. Wireless VoIP applications makes WLAN looks inefficient due to underutilize resources. Due to large overhead in transmitting small packets (in 802.11 WLAN), the bandwidth only available for VoIP traffic and it is far less than its maximal 11Mbps data rate it currently supports [4]. There has been much activity in the area of WLAN performance analysis in the last few years. For example, Bianchi did their work in this area by developing the analytical model [5], [6], [7] to compute the saturation throughput of the Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) scheme. Other 802.11 related researches have focused on approaches to adapting system parameters [8], [9], [10].

### 3. Methodology

In this study, we have define to evaluate the performance of VoIP over wireless technology such as i) evaluation /planning phase; ii) Implementation phase; and iii) Analysis Phase (refer to Figure 1)

As mentioned in the introduction several protocols and codecs for VoIP do exist. Figure 2 shows the different protocols used on different network layers

4. **SIP technology**

4.1 **SIP and signaling protocol**

VoIP is currently dominated by H.323 standard and the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP). For this project SIP was used, because SIP is very well supported by many Softphones and VoIP management tools. Hence, application such as SIP is needed. SIP is an application layer that control signaling protocol for creating, modifying, and terminating sessions with one or more participants. The SIP commonly interoperates with i) SDP— describe as the message payload content and characteristics SAP. At same time, use in advertising multimedia session via multicast; ii) RSVP—To reserve network resources for providing QoS ; iii) RTP—For real-time transmission; iv) RTSP—For controlling delivery of streaming media RADIUS —For authentication; v) LDAP—For location discovery.

4.2 **SIP server**

SIP Servers are essential work under network elements, which enable SIP endpoints to exchange messages and register user locations. At the same time, SIP Servers also enable network operators by installing route and security policies, authenticate users and manage user locations. The SIP Server has three general types of functionality: i) SIP Registrar Server—handles location registration messages and ii) SIP Redirect Server—returns “contact this address” responses. SIP Proxy Server— forwards SIP requests and responses [14, 15].

After evaluating different SIP Servers the decision was made to use the Brekeke SIP Server. The main advantages of the Brekeke SIP Server are that it’s free for education usage and runs with Windows XP. Furthermore the administration of the server can easily be done with the help of a web interface.

4.3 **Audio/video codec**

A codec, which stands for coder-decoder, converts an audio/video signal into compressed digital form for transmission and return back into uncompressed audio/video signal for replay. It also converts each sample into digitized data and compresses it for transmission. Some codecs also support silence suppression, where silence is not encoded or transmitted.

Every VoIP phone contains one or more codecs, and during call establishment, they share their lists of supported codecs such as [11], [12], [13] AMR Codec, BroadVoice Codec 16Kbps narrowband, and 32Kbps wideband, DoD CELP - 4.8 Kbps, GIPS Family - 13.3 Kbps and up, GSM - 13 Kbps (full rate), 20ms frame size, ilBC - 15Kbps,20ms frame size: 13.3 Kbps, 30ms frame size, G.711 - 64 Kbps, sample-based Comes in two flavors: A-law and mu-law, G.722 - 48/56/64 Kbps ADPCM 7KHz audio bandwidth, G.722.1 - 24/32 Kbps 7Khz audio bandwidth (based on Polycom's SIREN codec), G.723.1 - 5.3/6.3 Kbps, 30ms frame size, G.726 - 16/24/32/40 Kbps, G.728 - 16 Kbps, G.729 - 8 Kbps, 10ms frame size, Speex - 2.15 to 44.2 Kbps

4.4. **Softphone**

Softphone is an software application to make a telephone calls over the Internet by using a general purpose computer, rather than using dedicated hardware. X-Lite is a proprietary freeware VoIP soft phone that uses SIP. During the project the evaluation was made to work with different softphone applications. Finally the decision was made to use X-Lite, because it’s freeware, easy to use and works fine with the Network Monitoring tool.
4.5. Quality of service (QoS)

Quality of Service (QoS) is one of major issue in VoIP implementations. The issue is related in, how to guarantee that packet traffic for a voice or video connection will not be delayed or dropped due interference from other lower priority traffic. The three most common quality issues affecting VoIP are Latency, Jitter, Packet Loss.

4.6. VQManager

The VQManager is a web-based real-time QoS monitoring tool for VoIP networks. It provides high level call quality scores for each call-both MOS and R factor and detailed analysis of the factors affecting call quality.

It has been chosen because it enables to monitor VoIP network for voice quality, call traffic, bandwidth utilization and keep track of active calls and failed calls. VQManager can[18] also calculate QoS statistics from the RTP streams. For complete QoS reporting by this method, it is necessary that RTP packets from both participants of a call are available at the listening interface (NIC)[18].

5. Experimental, analysis and results

In this project three scenarios of audio/video communication among VoIP were evaluated. These scenarios will be configured and implemented in real network platform. We have setup i) scenario 1 – Wireless Audio/Video call using SIPGate (refer to figure 4); ii) Scenario 2 - Wired Conference Call between 3 parties using local SIP Server (refer to figure 5); iii) Scenario 3 - Wireless conference call using local SIP Server (refer to figure 6)

Wired Conference Call - The comparison of three codecs in a wired network brought following results:

The best MOS factor was achieved with the Speex and G711 Codec (figure 14). The minimum bandwidth utilization was required by the Speex codec. The worst result was achieved by the GSM codec with a MOS of 3.3 and an average delay of 3ms. Moreover, also the bandwidth utilization by GSM compared to Speex was relatively high. To summarize, the Speex codec achieved the best audio quality with the lowest bandwidth utilization (figure 15) for a wireless conference call between three parties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Codec</th>
<th>G711-law</th>
<th>Speex</th>
<th>GSM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOS</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitter</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
<td>10 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
<td>0 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Wired Conference Call Rating
Wireless Conference Call - There were no measurable differences between the wireless and wired infrastructure. Therefore, also in this test case the Speex codec achieved the best audio quality with the lowest bandwidth utilization.

6. Conclusion

We conclude on our findings that a separation between a wireless and wired environment wasn’t reasonable, because the occurring differences of the QoS metrics were too small to measure with the used setup.

During the testing of the different codecs the Speex codec reached the best result compared to the others. It can be said Speex is the codec with the least needed bandwidth utilization and therefore the outcome of the testing must be seen divided. As already mentioned earlier the testing environment was an independent network and therefore it is hard to tell if Speex really is the best codec in every environment. For a significant testing of different codecs it is always important in which environment the testing takes place or where the codec should be implemented later on. Hence, it would be necessary to test the codecs in its place of installation over several weeks during peaks and also lows of network traffic.

At the end of the prior mentioned testing a real significant outcome can be given on which codec should be used in which environment and not based on a testing in an independent network which would be never a real place of installation.
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