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Abstract

Golden Star Resources Limited conducts Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) and Fire Assay methods on samples from its exploration program. Additionally, for mining control and as part of the sampling and analytical protocol, residues of samples whose assay values are greater than 10 g/t are subjected to fire assay to determine the quantity of gold. However, there is gold retained in the tails or residue after leaching and to verify the suitability and efficiency of the analytical method, drill samples (RC and DD) with control samples were analysed using BLEG method based on the accelerated cyanide leach extraction and Fire Assay method on the tails. Percentages of the prepared samples were subjected to BLEG orientation study to investigate the leachability and efficiency of the BLEG method on the ore samples. Fire Assay analysis was also carried out on the selected tailing samples to find out the assay reproducibility of the samples. The analytical analyses carried out on the samples revealed that the ore samples were leachable with gold recovery levels ranging between 96% and 100% when there is total pulverization of samples to make BLEG method possible and that this procedure is also cheaper than the conventional Fire Assay.
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1. Introduction

Type or mineralogy of a sample, presence or absence of coarse gold and other elements which are required to be tested are the primary criteria used for the selection of the most appropriate and cost-effective method for gold analysis. For gold explorers and miners, the diverse techniques for coarse gold analysis include Lead Collection Fire Assay, Screen Fire Assay or Accelerated Cyanide Leach as against Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) used mainly for fine grained gold measurements. The quality of the assay data cannot be over-emphasised, since this information defines the grade of the resource that is used in the evaluation of a mineral resource estimation and its economic viability.

Often at mine-site laboratories, Fire Assay or Lead Collection Fire Assay remains the method for quantitative gold determination by most companies who fail to critically examine the effectiveness of this method in relation to BLEG particularly so when it comes to leachability and reproducibility that could be due to the characteristics of the ore body.

This study is an attempt to establish difference in the gold content of group of samples using Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) and the widely accepted Fire Assay method and furthermore to clearly define the cost difference between these two analytical techniques.

2. Geological setting

The Paleoproterozoic rocks known as the Birimian which were formed at 2.0-2.3 Ga. [1] is exposed in Ghana as north- and northeast-trending belts of metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks which have been intruded by syn-tectonic and late tectonic suites of granitoids (Fig. 1). The metasedimentary rocks are primarily phyllites and greywackes but there are also weakly metamorphosed tuffs, feldspathic sandstones and Mn- and Si-rich chemical sediments.

The rock units of the metavolcanics are originally predominant tholeiitic basalts and pyroclastic rocks, some of which have been metamorphosed to hornblende-actinolite schist, calcareous-chlorite schist and amphibolites. There are also, mafics and porphyries. The Birimian rocks have been metamorphosed to the greenstein facies and contain albite, chlorite, epidote, sercite, quartz and carbonate minerals. However, amphibolite facies is also common. The sedimentary and volcanic rock sequences of the Birimian are said to have been formed contemporaneously as lateral facies equivalents and [2] suggested that the Birimian group was laid down as volcanic pyroclastic and sedimentary rocks.

2.1. Ashanti greenstone belt

On the basis of structural and lithological belts, [3] divided Ghana into gold belts, which also represent geographic regions that are underlain by rocks with significant gold mineralisation. The Ashanti greenstone belt which is one of the belts that is in southwest Ghana (Fig. 1) comprises of three stratigraphic groups as the Sefwi Group,
the Kumasi Group and the Tarkwa Group [4], [5] [6] established that the Sefwi Group is made of multiple layers of metamorphosed basalt and volcano-sedimentary rock, dated as being older than 2162 ± 6 Ma. The Kumasi Group relates to mica-schists and meta-volcanoclastic sedimentary rocks deposited in the Kumasi Basin and in the Akyem Basin after 2154 ± 2 Ma. [7]. The Sefwi and the Kumasi groups of the Birimian Supergroup are unconformably overlain by the Tarkwa Group [8]. The Tarkwa Group was deposited based on reinterpretation of U/Pb detrital zircon ages between 2107 Ma and 2097 Ma. [9]. These three groups were intruded by tonalitic, granodioritic, granitic and leucogranitic plutons in two phases during the Eburnean Orogeny: an Eo-Eburnean phase (ca. 2187 – 2158 Ma) and an Eburnean phase (ca. 2125 – 1980 Ma). Work on the Ashanti Belt by [10] on both Obuasi and Bogoso mines identified five phases of deformation; D1 Bedding-parallel foliation and shear zones, D2 Major crustal shortening, gently plunging isoclinal folds, thrust faults, D3 Further minor shortening, gently plunging 30- to 200-m-scale folds, D4 Upright, east-striking, NE-plunging 100- to 2,000-m-scale folds, D5 North-striking sinistral strike-slip faults and local reactivation of D2 thrust faults. Gold mineralization is synchronous with D5 sinistral strike-slip faults. However, work by Perrouty et al. [11] has identified D6 as a subvertical crenulation cleavage and reverse faults associated with NE-SW shortening.

2.1.1. Local geology and mineralisation

The Wassa property lies in the south-eastern portion of the Ashanti Greenstone Belt within a volcano-sedimentary assemblage. The lithologies of the Wassa assemblage are predominantly of mafic to intermediate volcanic flows which are interbedded with minor horizons of volcaniclastics, clastic sediments such as wackes and magnetite rich sedimentary layers, most likely banded iron formations. The volcano-sedimentary sequence which is characterized by multiple ankerite-quartz veins is also intruded by syn-volcanic mafic intrusives and felsic porphyries [12].

The gold mineralization is structurally controlled and is in disjointed tabular to ribbon-like bodies of narrow dolomite/ankerite-tourmaline bearing quartz veins. Sulphide minerals (predominantly pyrite) occurs within and around the quartz veins [11], [12].

3. Materials and analytical methods

Sampling and sample preparation protocols have been designed to produce the highest possible quality data given the nature of the target mineralization. The sampling technique depended on the grade distribution and so when the grade was uniformly distributed taking small sample (2m3) was found to be effective [13]. Sampling was done by taking mineralized intersection zone from each diamond drillhole. However, for the RC drillhole, samples were collected at every 1m. The samples were analysed by the Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) method and Fire Assay on the tails.

A total of 779 samples made up of 54.2% drillhole samples and 45.8% quality control samples (cover standards, field duplicates and blanks) were analysed at the Tarkwa SGS laboratory, as well as the in-house laboratory on site. 1000cm3 of 0.1% sodium cyanide concentration and lime (30grams of Ca(OH)2 were added to the sample based on re-intemperation of U/Pb detrital zircon ages between 2107 Ma and 2097 Ma. The mixture was allowed to be rolled for about 24 hours, after specified hour intervals. The data which was divided into control and drillhole samples categories was used to assess the competency of the BLEG method. The data was used for evaluation of Percentage Recovery analysis, Correlation of the data, Time Variation graphs, Half Absolute Relative Deviation and Cost Analysis.

4. Results and discussions

4.1. Standard analysis

| Table 1: Summary of Certified Reference Materials (Standards) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Standard       | Certified mean (Au g/t) | Number of Samples analyzed | Lab. mean values (Au g/t) | Lab. bias (%) |
| ST07/9453      | 0.21             | 62              | 0.212                       | 1             |
| ST482          | 1.94             | 73              | 1.969                       | 2             |
| ST48/8464      | 4.82             | 71              | 4.883                       | 1             |
Results from the Standards analysis (Table 1) indicate that SGS reports values were higher than expected with some variation to the detection limit. SGS returned assay of geostatistics standards with laboratory bias ranging between 1% and 2%. Figure 2 gives clear explanation of how the certified material performed. For the purpose of resource evaluation, + or - 2sd of the mean value is quite acceptable as checks on laboratories. The standards provide a good indication of the quality of sample management and contamination in the sample preparation process and is used as a criterion for accepting or rejecting batch job. The standards inserted into the various batches included high, medium and low grade ones. The data plotted was compiled at a period of time and the mean value of the standards was compared with the laboratory mean to find out how much bias and deviation was in the results. For a good standard, reporting within a period of time should be sinusoidal as is shown in Figure 2.

4.2. Bulk leach extractable gold (BLEG) analysis

Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) technique is a partial extraction analysis in the sense that if some of the gold is retained in the sample preparation process and is used as a criterion for acceptance. The dissolution of the gold in cyanide solution obtained from 1 to 24 hours was used to prepare leachability graphs. This total gold content is then from the analytical methods of BLEG plus Fire Assay on the tails. Any disparity between both analytical results (i.e. BLEG and Fire Assay) means that the Fire Assay is enhancing the total gold recovery but if there is a strong correlation between the two, then the BLEG is doing well and it may therefore may not be necessary to carry out the Fire Assay analysis on the tails.

Many statistical tools including Percentage recovery graph and Scatter plot as shown in figure 3 and figure 4 respectively were used to confirm effectiveness of the BLEG and a summary of few extracted grades with optimum recovery levels are captured in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Leachability of Wassa Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prospect</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Extracted Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Residual Grade (g/t)</th>
<th>Total Gold (g)</th>
<th>BLEG Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Fire Assay Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wassa Deposit</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1204850C</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1349265A</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BSDD296377</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>14.84</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BSDD296371</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>28.64</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SEDD079123</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SEDD079130</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>SEDD068220</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>52.26</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SEDD058095</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>STDD032</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10.57</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>STDD032</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>STDD019</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>STDD033</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>STDD018</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SEDD027</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SEDD027</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>242DD051409</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>242DD068108</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>38.15</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>242DD068106</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>122.99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Au Values of ST 48/8462 (4.82 g/t) Returned by SGS, N=71, Bias=1%
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Fig. 2: A Plot of Au Values of ST 48/8462 (4.82 G/T) Returned by SGS.
4.3. Cost analysis

Two scenarios are discussed here in relation to the element of cost. One is related to the analytical method and the cost when the samples are not divided based on their gold grades and the other, the analytical method and the cost when the samples are divided based on their grades.

Cost price for samples analysed have been derived from the SGS quotes for 2014 for BLEG and Fire Assay methods. The unit cost for analysing sample by BLEG method is US$ 11.45 and that for Fire Assay method is US$10.35.

For a total of 6111 DD and RC samples from B-Shear prospect of the mine that were sent to the laboratory, the analytical results showed that 377 samples had values ≥ 10g/t and 5734 samples were ≤ 10g/t.

4.3.1. Scenario one

Assay Cost = Number of samples × Cost. Where the cost would depend on the grade of the sample. Most exploration companies would opt for this cheap BLEG method for the analysis of the samples initially and obviously would have no idea about the grade of gold in each sample. So if we had decided not to categorise the samples into their grades and had to use the BLEG as a cheap analytical method, then the cost would be

\[ \text{Assay cost} = 6111 \times \text{US$11.45} = \text{US$69970.95} \]

4.3.2. Scenario two

Generally, at the Golden Star Resources Limited, Wassa Mine in-house laboratory,

Assay Cost = Number of samples × (Cost of BLEG + Cost of Fire Assay on tails)

However, the cost of this analytical procedure would also depend upon the Au grade.

Ore Samples with Au Grades ≥ 10 g/t

This analytical procedure would involve Fire assay on the tails as well. Therefore,

\[ \text{Assay Cost} = 377 \times (\text{US$11.45} + \text{US$10.35}) = \text{US$8,218.6} \]

ORE SAMPLES WITH Au GRADES < 10 g/t

Here, analysis is by BLEG method alone, hence
5. Conclusions

The comparative study of bulk leach extractable gold (BLEG) and fire assay methods on the Wassa deposit at Golden Star Wassa Mine Limited has provided meaningful insight on which following conclusions can be made:

- The BLEG method analysis which gave a minimum recovery of 96% shows that there might not be the need for Fire Assay on the tails as it does not have much impact on the total gold recovery.
- The various statistical tools also proved that the Bulk Leach Extractable Gold (BLEG) method has a good optimum leached point.
- The BLEG method analysis on the Wassa Mine Deposit samples shows that the samples are leachable.
- BLEG method is not cost effective when there are suspicious higher gold grades.
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