Variations in yield and composition of leaf essential oil from *Syzygium aromaticum* at various phases of development
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Abstract

The changes in the essential oil yield and composition from *Syzygium aromaticum* leaf harvested at different phases of development from young leaves to mature leaves were studied. Separation of the essential oils was conducted by steam distillation in a Clevenger apparatus for about 4h. Essential oils analyses were performed by GC/MS. The yield of essential oil (ml per 100 g of DM) of the leaf following the four phases of development was in order of: young leaves (5.1%) > expanded leaves 1 (4.5%) > expanded leaves 2 (4.1%) > mature leaves (3.8%). Sixteen compounds were detected by GC/MS. Eugenol and eugenyl acetate were the dominant components. Eugenol and eugenyl acetate amount had a divergent evolution during the four expansions of leaves. When eugenol reached its highest percentages at expanded leaves 2 (84.00 - 90.48%) and mature leaves stage (88.32 - 90.22%), eugenyl acetate reached the lowest ones with, respectively, 0.96 - 7.16% and 0.36 - 1.64%, but when the eugenyl acetate reached a maximum percentage at young leaves stage with 61.44 - 65.52%, eugenol reached a minimum of 25.43 - 30.38%. It is judicious to collect and extract *S. aromaticum* leaf in expended leaves 2 (pale green leaves) and mature leaves stage (dark green leaves) in order to obtain the optimal yield and maximum percentage of eugenol.
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1. Introduction

Cloves (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) are belonged to the Myrtaceae family. The origin of this plant is Moluccas Island (Indonesia). The clove tree is an evergreen that grows up to 12 m tall, with large leaves and sanguine flowers grouped in terminal cluster [1]. This species is an extremely aromatic plant as of the high essential oil content in its bud, stem, and leaf. Cloves essential oil shows antimicrobial, antifungal and antioxidant activity. Eugenol, the basic compound of clove oil is used as an initial substance for the fabrication of vanillin [2-14].

Leaves of *S. aromaticum* were harvested at four phases of development, including young leaves (I), expanded leaves 1 (II), expanded leaves 2 (III) and mature leaves (IV) from five trees developed in the Analanjirofo district of Madagascar, Village of Ambodimanga II, Fokontany of Ambotambary (S 17°20' / E 049°21'). Complete information of various development stages, harvesting time and physical characteristics (color, length and moisture content) of leaves are showed in Table 1 and are illustrated on figure 1.

2.2. Essential oil isolation

Separation of the essential oils was conducted by steam distillation in a Clevenger apparatus for about 4h. Distillations were performed less than 24 h after sampling. Essential oil yield is expressed in milliliters per 100 g of plant dry matter. The distilled oils were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) and stored in tightly closed dark vials at 4°C awaiting analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Plant material
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Table 1: Phases of development and physical characteristics (color, length and moisture content) of S. aromaticum leaves. Means of length and moisture content with the same letter(s) have no significant difference according to Fisher test at p<0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phases code</th>
<th>Phases of development</th>
<th>Harvesting time</th>
<th>Leaf color</th>
<th>Length (cm/leaf)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Sample code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Young leaves</td>
<td>July 2012</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>3.4±1.3 a</td>
<td>47.6±1.0 a</td>
<td>I₁, I₂, I₃, I₄, I₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Expanded leaves 1</td>
<td>September 2012</td>
<td>Pink</td>
<td>7.5±1.4 b</td>
<td>48.2±2.5 a</td>
<td>II₁, II₂, II₃, II₄, II₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Expanded leaves 2</td>
<td>November 2012</td>
<td>Pale green</td>
<td>9.6±1.1 c</td>
<td>53.4±1.8 b</td>
<td>III₁, III₂, III₃, III₄, III₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Mature leaves</td>
<td>January 2013</td>
<td>Dark green</td>
<td>12.5±1.0 d</td>
<td>57.5±1.0 c</td>
<td>IV₁, IV₂, IV₃, IV₄, IV₅</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Four development phases of S. aromaticum leaves. I, II, III, IV represents young leaves, expanded leaves 1, expanded leaves 2 and mature leaves phases respectively.

2.3. Oil analysis procedure

Dried leaf essential oils analyses were made using an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with an Agilent 5973 mass selective detector and Gerstel multipurpose sample 2 (Palo Alto, USA), equipped with fused silica capillary DB-WAX polar column (J&W, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.25µm) and a DB-1 MS apolar column (J&W, 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d.; film thickness 0.25µm) (Palo Alto, USA). Mass spectra were obtained by EI at 70 eV within 40 to 300 Da. Carrier gas, hydrogen, was attuned at a regular run speed of 1.5mL/min. MS source and interface temperatures were 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Temperature was programmed at 3°C/min from 40°C to 170°C, then 10°C/min up to 240°C and held for 10 min. On Column Injection (1µL) was carried out at 250°C after dilution 1/2000 in hexane.

2.4. Identification and quantification of components

The identification of the components was assigned by comparing their mass spectra with those of the NIST 2011 data base. Kovats retention indices were calculated with reference to n-alkanes series (C₈ to C₂₀) and their comparison with those establish in Fla- vornet and Pherobase websites. Percentage compositions of samples were calculated according to the area of the chromatographic peaks. Concentrations are given as the average of triplicate analyses.

2.5. Data analysis

For comparison of the leaf length, moisture and yield essential oil mean values, Fisher’s test was used. Division of the 20 essential oils was made using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). The set of data (16 volatile constituents x 20 essential oils samples) was processed mainly through XLSTAT 2014 software package.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Yield of essential oil

The yield of essential oil leaf (ml per 100 g of DM) following the four development phases was in order of: young leaves (5.1%) > expanded leaves 1 (4.5%) > expanded leaves 2 (4.1%) > mature leaves (3.8%) (Figure 2).
3.2. Essential oil composition

Chemical composition of *S. aromaticum* leaf essential oil was investigated by GC/MS. Sixteen compounds were identified representing an average of 99% of the oil composition. Eugenol and eugenyl acetate were the dominant components. Eugenol and eugenyl acetate percentage had a divergent evolution through the four expansions of leaves. When eugenol reached its highest percentages at expanded leaves 2 (84.00 - 90.48%) and mature leaves stage (88.32 - 90.22%), eugenyl acetate reached the lowest ones with, respectively, 0.96 - 7.16% and 0.36 - 1.64%, but when the eugenyl acetate reached a maximum percentage at young leaves stage with 61.44 - 65.52%, eugenol reached a minimum of 25.43 - 30.38% (Table 2).

The results of the statistical analysis were as follows:

The first two Principal Components (PC) explained 55.29% of the variance including 39.44% for PC1 and 15.85% for PC2. Figure 3 shows the organization of the variables, on the plan PC1/PC2. PC1 was structured by eugenol, caryophyllene oxide and hy-}

macha‌lene presenting a negative correlation (-0.87, -0.84, -0.83, respectively) and being opposed the three variables presenting positive correlations: eugenyl acetate (0.90), methyl salicylate (0.87) and β-caryophyllene (0.73).

The PCA according to PC1/PC2 (Table 2 and figure 4) led us to organize the 20 samples analyzed into three groups: Group 1 is composed of essential oils samples extracted from young leaves (I₁ - I₃), which are characterized by lower content in eugenol (25.43 - 30.38%) and higher percentage of eugenyl acetate (61.44 - 65.52%). Group 2 consist of essential oils extracted from expanded leaves 1 (II₁ - II₃). These oils were characterized by eug- enol and eugenyl acetate, detected at 58.29 - 61.53% and 26.67 - 32.65, respectively. Group 3, formed by five essential oils isolated from expanded leaves 2 (III₁ - III₃) and by five essential oils isolated from mature leaves (IV₁ - IV₃) is characterized by higher content of eu- gonal (84.00 - 90.48%) and lower content of eugenyl acetate (0.36 - 7.16%).

**Table 2: Volatile constituents (relative percentages, extreme values) of essential oil from *S. aromaticum* leaf following the four phases of development (in bold: % on main constituents discriminates in each group).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituents</th>
<th>KI⁴</th>
<th>Identification⁵</th>
<th>Young leaves</th>
<th>Expanded leaves 1</th>
<th>Expanded leaves 2</th>
<th>Mature leaves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-copaene</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-caryophyllene</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>5.64 - 6.97</td>
<td>5.66 - 8.63</td>
<td>5.21 - 5.70</td>
<td>4.63 - 6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-humulene</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>0.68 - 0.85</td>
<td>0.61 - 1.02</td>
<td>0.63 - 0.74</td>
<td>0.57 - 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,7-dimethyloctan-1-ol</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.07</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.07</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viridiflore</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.10</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.13</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl salicylate</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.08 - 0.11</td>
<td>0.11 - 0.16</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.12</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isosoranol</td>
<td>1802</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>0.08 - 0.12</td>
<td>0.10 - 0.13</td>
<td>0.11 - 0.13</td>
<td>0.07 - 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nerol acetate</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.03</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.04</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-allylphenyl acetate</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.04 - 0.07</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.10</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.10</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hynachalene</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.25 - 0.50</td>
<td>0.24 - 0.62</td>
<td>0.61 - 0.94</td>
<td>1.31 - 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piperazine</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caryophyllene oxide</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.15</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.08</td>
<td>0.06 - 0.10</td>
<td>0.13 - 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eugenol</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>MS/KI</td>
<td>25.43 - 30.38</td>
<td>58.29 - 61.53</td>
<td>84.00 - 90.48</td>
<td>88.32 - 90.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eugenyl acetate</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>61.44 - 65.52</td>
<td>26.67 - 32.65</td>
<td>0.96 - 7.16</td>
<td>0.36 - 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl linoleate</td>
<td>2062</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.06</td>
<td>0.00 - 0.05</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.20</td>
<td>0.13 - 0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴Kovats Index relative to n-alkanes (C₆-C₁₃) on a DB-WAX MS Column.
⁵KL. Kovats Index on a DB-WAX MS Column in Flavornet and Pherobase websites. MS, tentatively identified on the basis of computer matching of the mass spectra of peaks with the NIST 2011.
4. Conclusion

The results reported on the essential oil yield and chemical composition of *S. aromaticum* leaf at different phases of development revealed remarkable variations. It may be suggested that these differences could be due to the effect of harvesting time as well as the environmental conditions. We consider that for obtain the highest quantity and quality of essential oil from *S. aromaticum* leaf, it is judicious to harvest and distil *S. aromaticum* leaf in expanded leaves 2 (pale green leaves) and mature leaves stage (dark green leaves) in order to obtain the optimal yield and maximum percentage of eugenol.
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