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Abstract

This paper deals with a numerical comparison between Lie group method and RK4 for solving an nonlinear ordinary differential equation. The Lie group method will be introduced as an analytical method and then compared to RK4 as a numerical method. Some examples will be considered and the global error we be computed numerically.
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1. Introduction

Consider the first order ordinary differential equations of the form

\[
y'(x) = f(x,y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0
\]  

where \( f \) is given and \( y \) is an unknown function of \( x \). When \( f \) is continuous over some interval, on can found the general solution using different methods.

Such problem often arise in science fields. Ordinary differential equations frequently occur as mathematical models in many branches of science, engineering and economy. Unfortunately it is seldom that these equations have solutions that can be expressed in closed form, so it is common to seek approximate solutions by means of numerical methods; nowadays this can usually be achieved very inexpensively to high accuracy and with a reliable bound on the error between the analytical solution and its numerical approximation. We present some computational methods to approximate the solution of ordinary differential equations (ODEs). In [6],[11] and [4] there is many methods stability of linear and nonlinear equation. Euler’s algorithm is not very accurate and also suffers from instabilities. The mathematicians Runge and Kutta [5] discovered a class of algorithms that are based on making multiple Euler steps. These Runge-Kutta algorithms [9] have been found to work very well in many applications. Phohom Siri and Lidwadia [12] solve the problem using the accelerated of Runge-Kutta method. Rabieiaud Ismail [13] proposed the third-order improved Runge-Kutta method for solving 1, other methods also was investigated to solve the first order ODE like Lie group method. The Lie group is a differential manifold that the group operations are compatible with the smooth structure, [1]. Moreover, Lie gave a classification of ODEs in terms of their symmetry group which is a rigid mapping from an object to itself or another object. Fortunately, the properties of symmetries provide a unique tool for solving differential equations. It must preserve the structural properties of the original coordinate. The analytical solution of ODEs will be computed using Lie group if it’s possible then compared to the other one obtained using RK4 [8]. The comparison will be tested in the case of nonlinear ODEs [11]. We will consider in our test only a first order differential equation and the numerical solution to second-order and higher-order differential equations is formulated in the same way as it is for first-order equations. We have attempted to give some examples to the use of Lie group methods for the solution of first-order ODEs. The Lie group method of solving higher order ODEs [10] and systems of differential equations is more involved, but the basic idea is the same: we find a coordinate system in which the equations are simpler and exploit this simplification. A state variable will be introduced for the output and each of its first \( n-1 \) derivatives (for an \( n^{th} \)-order differential equation). The numerical integration algorithm is applied to each of the state variables. The outline of the paper is the following: In Section 2, we introduce the Lie group method used to solve the ODEs. In section 3 a rapid review of Runge Kutta 4 is presented and some practical examples to compare the two methods used to solve an ODEs. In section 4, we solve a second order odes as an application. Finally, we conclude.

2. Lie group

Let \( (r,s,y,s(x,y)) \) be the canonical coordinates and a given differential equation becomes separable, for the simplest cases we obtain either \( \frac{dx}{dr} = f(r) \) or \( \frac{dy}{dr} = f(s) \). For the sake of definiteness, we treat only the case \( \frac{dx}{dr} = f(r) \). The \( (R,S) = (r,s+\lambda) \), that is, in the new coordinates there is a point symmetry, [3]

\[
P_\lambda : (r,s) \mapsto (R,S) = (r,s+\lambda),
\]

that amounts to translation in the \( s \)–direction. Then the tangent vector at \( (r,s) \) will be

\[
\frac{dR}{dx} \bigg|_{s=0} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{dS}{dx} \bigg|_{s=0} = 1
\]
We shall consider the solution of sets of first-order differential equations. An essential feature of a symmetry group of ODEs is that it conserves the integral curves among themselves. Such integral curves are termed invariant solutions [7]. Equation 5 represents a system of first-order equations with a known one-parameter symmetry group and can be integrated by quadrature using canonical variables.

\[ y_{i+1} = y_i + h \sum_{n=1}^{M} b_n h_n \]

In this expression, the \( b_n \) are constants.

### Example 1

As a first easy example, that can be solved using Lie group and RK4. The Bernoulli equation

\[ \frac{dy}{dx} = y + y^{-1} e^x \]

when substituted into condition 6, leads to

\[ \eta_x - \xi_y h^2 + (\eta_x - \xi_y) h - (\xi h_x + \eta h_y) = 0 \]

and use the solution to linearized symmetry condition to find a coordinate system \((r; s)\) in which the solutions depend on only one of the variables, before final step we substitute the canonical coordinates in to

\[ \frac{ds}{dr} = \frac{s_x + h(x,y)s_y}{r_x + h(x,y)r_y} \]

and solve the differential equation in the coordinate system. Finally express the solution in the original coordinates, see [3].

### 3. Numerical method

We shall consider the solution of sets of first-order differential equations only. Users interested in solving higher order ODEs can reduce their problem to a set of first-order equations. Fortunately, the method of Lie group gives sometimes the solution of ODEs, but it’s difficult to obtain an exact solution. For that, we will use numerical methods to obtain a solution. Since the numerical method used to compute an approximation at each step of the sequence, errors are compounded at every step. We introduce the numerical method will be compared to Lie group method and we don’t forget the comparison of the error at each example will be presented.
This integrating to
\[ s = \ln\left(\frac{y^2}{2} + 1\right) + c. \]

Returning to the original coordinates, we obtain
\[ y = \pm \sqrt{\text{e}^{2x} - 2\text{e}^x}. \]

Here, we compare the solution of the Bernoulli equation obtained using Lie group and the numerical solution given by RK4, see Figure ???. We see for even a moderate number of steps, the agreement between the Runge-Kutta method and the analytic solution is remarkable. We can quantify just how much better the Runge-Kutta method does by defining a measure of the global error \( \varepsilon \) as the magnitude of the discrepancy between the numerical and actual values of \( y(1) \), see Figure ??.

Clearly, the error for the Runge-Kutta method is several orders of magnitude lower. Furthermore, the global error curves both look linear on the log-log plot, which suggests that there is a power law dependence of \( \varepsilon \) on \( N \). We can determine the power \( a \) by fitting a power law to the data obtained in our Maple code this leads that the global error using RK4 is \( O(h^{3.9735070743}) \) this match our expectation that the one step error is \( O(h^3) \).

**Example 2**

We consider here the case where
\[ y' = \frac{y}{x-y}, y(0) = 2. \] (7)

Using the same technique of Lie group presented in the first example, we have the following steps:
\[
(y^2 - 2xy + x^2)\eta_x + (yx - y^2)\eta_y + (y^2 - xy)\xi_x - y^2\xi_y + y\xi_x - x\eta = 0,
\]

we can simplify to
\[
(y^2 - 2xy + x^2)\eta_x + (xy - y^2)\eta_y + (xy - y^2)\eta_y - x\eta - y^2\xi_y + y\xi_x = 0
\]

if we choose \( \xi \) to be function of \( y \) alone. Because of the independence of \( \xi \) upon \( y, y\xi_x - y^2\xi_y = 0 \) separately, and we can solve this to obtain \( \xi = y \). Now, the remainder in \( \eta \) is difficult to solve but is identically satisfied by \( \eta = 0 \). Therefore, we try \( (\xi, \eta) = (y, 0) \). Integrating the characteristic equation, we get \( (r,s) = (y, \xi) \). Calculate
\[
\frac{ds}{dr} = \frac{s_x + s_y y'}{r_x + r_y y'}
\]

we see that \( \frac{ds}{dr} = \frac{1}{r} \), which upon substitution of the original variables becomes
\[ \frac{x}{y} = -\text{ln}y + c. \]

As we see, we have not an explicit solution, so in this example using the Lie group technique one can not be able to determine the solution explicitly. In other word, it’s better to use a numerical method to simplify the work. Once again, we will test the RK4, in this second example. First, let us recall that the analytical solution of this example is given using the Lambert \( W \) function. The Lambert \( W \) function satisfies
\[ \text{LambertW}(x)e^{\text{LambertW}(x)} = x \]
and using Maple one can determine the analytical solution and it’s given by
\[ y(x) = \text{e}^{\text{LambertW}(\frac{1}{2}s) + \ln(2)}. \]

Lie group and RK4 will be used to solve ??, Figure ?? shows the analytical and numerical solution. We see for even a moderate number of steps, the agreement between the Runge-Kutta method and the analytic solution is remarkable. We can quantify just how much better the Runge-Kutta method does by defining a measure of the global error \( \varepsilon \) as the magnitude of the discrepancy between the numerical and actual values of \( y(0.5) \), see Figure ??(b). Once again, the global error using RK4 is \( O(h^{3.8711520008}) \) this match our expectation that the one step error is \( O(h^3) \). In this example, we work in the interval \([0, 0.5]\) to avoid any singularities can be found.

**Example 3**

Consider the equation
\[ \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y}{x} + x \]

Substitute the equation into the linearized symmetry condition 6, to get
\[ \eta_x - \xi_x (\frac{y}{x} + x)^2 + (\eta_x - \xi_x) (\frac{y}{x} + x) - (\xi_x (1 - \frac{y}{x^2}) + \eta_x (\frac{1}{x})) = 0 \]

It is necessary to solve this equation for \( \xi \) and \( \eta \). In its current form, this is a very difficult task. Therefore, we suppose that \( \xi = 0 \) and \( \eta \) is a function in \( x \) only. Then we get
\[ \eta_x = \frac{\eta}{x} \]

which we can easily solved to get \( \eta = cx \). Now we can find the canonical coordinates \( x \) and \( s \) when the \( \xi(x,y) = 0, r = x \). To find \( s \) we can solve
\[ ds = \frac{dy}{\eta}. \]
Therefore
\[ s = \frac{y}{cx}. \]

Now set \( c = 1 \) to get
\[ (r(x,y), s(x,y)) = \left( x, \frac{y}{x} \right) \]

and
\[ s_x = -\frac{y}{x^2}, \quad s_y = \frac{1}{x}. \]

Now we can substitute \( r \) and \( s \) into \( ds \) and get
\[ ds = \frac{r + (\frac{y}{x} + x) \frac{1}{2}}{1 + 0} = 1. \]

Therefore \( s = r + k \), where \( k \) is constant. Substituting \( x \) and \( y \) back in we get
\[ \frac{y}{x} = x + k. \]

The general solution of equation is \( y = x^2 + kx \). Figure 2(a) compares the numerical solution and the analytic solution obtained using RK4. The global error computed at \( x = 1 \) when the number of steps \( N \) change, see figure 2(b) and the error is \( O(h^3.4355839580) \) this match our expectation that the one step error ought to be \( O(h^3) \). In this example, we work in the interval \([0.1, 1]\) to avoid the singularities at \( x = 0 \).

**Example 4**

Let us consider this example \( y' = 100x^2 + x - 100y, x \in [0, 1], y(0) = 2 \). This example was considered by many authors. The analytical solution obtained using Lie group is
\[ y = x^2 + 2e^{-100x} \]

MATLAB has a number of tools for numerically solving ordinary differential equations. We will focus on ode45 which implement versions of Runge-Kutta 4-5th-order. Remember that, MATLAB has two Runge-Kutta methods available, ODE23 and ODE45. Each of them uses a variable time step rather than a constant value of \( h \). The program chooses its own value to use for \( h \) at each timestep in order to keep its estimation of the error small. we will numerically approximate the solution of the first order differential equation. It is important to point out here that MATLAB continues to use roughly the same partition of values that it originally chose; the only thing that has changed is the values at which it is printing a solution. In this way, no accuracy is lost, and the solution is presented in Figure 3. In this example the classical RK4 can not be used, and the there is non convergence to the exact solution and exactly we have a jump using RK4, see Figure 5(b).

**4. Second order of ODE.**

Fortunately, the second order odes can be reduced to a first order odes then following the previous steps we present a comparison between the Lie group technique and RK4 to solve a second order ODEs. For this purpose and to illustrate our strategy of comparison we consider only one example. The RK4 and forward Euler method will be compared to Lie group technique. Figure 7? give the behavior of the solution using RK4, Forward Euler and Lie group technique. We notice that there is a big error occurs using forward Euler. Moreover Figure ?? confirm our predictions that there is a small error between analytical and numerical solution using RK4 but there is no convergence when one use Forward Euler method.

**Example 5**

\[ y'' + F(x)y' + G(x)y = 0 \]  
\[ \text{(8)} \]

A transformation of the form \( A_t : (x, y) \mapsto (x, f(t)y) \) is a symmetry of (5). The orbits of \( A_t \) are again vertical lines so we take \( r = x \). Additionally \( \varepsilon = 0 \) and \( 5 \) is \( s_y \eta_1 = 1 = s_y(f(0)y) \). Now let \( f(t) = e^t \), so that
\[ s_y = \frac{1}{f(0)y} = \frac{1}{y}. \]

This has \( s = \ln y \) as a solution which is invertible by \( y = e^s \). Then we have
\[ y' = e^s s_x \]
\[ y'' = e^s s_x^2 + e^s s_{xx} = e^s (s_x + s_x^1) \]

so (8) becomes
\[ s_{xx} + s_x^2 + F(x)s_x + G(x) = 0. \]  
\[ \text{(9)} \]  

9 Since \( s \) does not appear explicitly in the transformed equation we can make the substitution \( z = s_x \) so that (6) becomes
\[ z_x + z^2 + F(x)z + G(x) = 0. \]
which is a first order ODE. Now let $F(x) = 1$ and $G(x) = x$

\[
\frac{d}{dx} y(x) = -(y(x))^2 - y(x) - x
\]

and the exact solution is given by $y(x)$ where $y(x)$ is fractional function equal the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
Num &= \frac{(5Bi(1/4)+2Bi(1,1/4))Ai(-x+1/4) + 2(Bi(1/4)+2Bi(1,1/4))Ai(-x) - Bi(-x+1/4) - 2Bi(-x+1/4)}{5Ai(1/4)+2Ai(1,1/4)} \\
deno &= -2 \frac{(5Bi(1/4)+2Bi(1,1/4))Ai(-x+1/4) + 2Bi(-x+1/4)}{5Ai(1/4)+2Ai(1,1/4)} + 2Bi(-x+1/4)
\end{align*}
\]

The Airy $Ai$ and $Bi$ functions. If we compare and contrast two of the most well known techniques for the solving our second order ODE. The Runge-Kutta method is very similar to Euler’s method except that the Runge-Kutta method employs the use of parabolas 2nd order and quadric curves 4th order to achieve the approximations. For small $N$ the Euler’s method seem to not converge ti the exact solution and this method method need more point to achieve the accuracy instead of RK4 which converge to the exact solution for small $N$. The four following figures show the curves for different value of $N$.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we have investigated the solution of ODEs using two approaches. The first one, is the Lie group and the second one is numerical method based on RK4. Using Lie group, one can not determine an explicit solution of an ODEs in general case, so the numerical method like RK4, is a good technique helped us to find an approximation of the exact solution with small error. In the last, we note that the Euler methods are tested numerically and we have not convergence and the determined global error is about $O(h^{9467945525})$ and this don’t match our expectation that if the one-step error is $O(h^2)$. Euler’s algorithm is not very accurate and also suffers from instabilities. Considering the results obtained in this paper, we plan in the future to tackle the following questions:

- We will compare the Lie group method and the Runge Kutta Nystrom (RKN) method.
- We solve a system of second order ode using Lie group and different stages-RKN.
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