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Abstract

In this paper an M/M/1/K interdependent retrial queueing model with controllable arrival rates and impatient customers is considered. The steady state solutions and the system characteristics are derived for this model. The analytical results are numerically illustrated and the effect of the nodal parameters on the system characteristics are studied and relevant conclusion is presented.
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1. Introduction

Queueing systems in which primary customers who find all the servers and waiting positions (if any) occupied may retry for service after a long period of time are called retrial queues. Between retrials a customer is said to be in orbit (in a sort of queue) and becomes a source of repeated calls. Due to impatience, a repeated call after the nth unsuccessful retrials gives up further repetitions and abandons the system.

Detailed survey on retrial queues and bibliographical information have been obtained from Artalejo [2, 3, 4], Falin [5], Medhi [7], Falin and Templeton [6]. Artalejo [1] studied retrial queues with a finite number of sources. In most of the research work the authors have considered that the input flow of primary calls, intervals between repetitions, service times and decision not to retry for service are mutually independent. But the primary arrival and service processes are interdependent in practical situations. It is also assumed that whenever the queue size reaches a prescribed number R, the arrival reduces from \( \lambda_0 \) to \( \lambda_1 \) and it continues with that rate as long as the content in the queue is greater than some prescribed integer \( r \) (0 \( \leq r < R \)). When the system size reaches \( r \), the arrival rate changes back to \( \lambda_0 \) and the same process is repeated.

Much work has been reported in the literature regarding interdependent standard queueing model with controllable arrival rates. K.Srinivasa Rao, Shobha and P.Srinivasa Rao have discussed \( M/M/1/\infty \) interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates in [8]. Srinivasan and Thiagarajan [9, 10, 11] have analysed \( M/M/1/K \) interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates, \( M/M/c/\infty \) loss and delay queueing system with interdependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates and no passing, \( M/M/C/K/N \) interdependent
queueing model with controllable arrival rates baking, reneging and spares. Recently Antline Nisha, Thiagarajan and Srinivasan [12] have studied \( M/M/1/K \) interdependent retrial queueing model with controllable arrival rates. Although it is natural in the real world, there are only few works taking into consideration retrial phenomena involving the interdependent controllable arrival rates.

An attempt is made in this paper to obtain relevant results for the \( M/M/1/K \) interdependent retrial queueing model with impatient customers and controllable arrival rates. In section 2, the description of the model is given stating the relevant postulates. In section 3, the steady state equations are obtained. In section 4, the characteristics of the model are derived. In section 5, numerical results are calculated.

2. Description of the model

Consider a single server finite capacity retrial queueing system in which primary customers arrive according to the Poisson flow of rate \( \lambda_0 \) and \( \lambda_1 \), service times are exponentially distributed with rate \( \mu \). If a primary customer finds some server free, he instantly occupies it and leaves the system after service. Otherwise, if the server is busy, at the time of arrival of a primary call then with probability \( H_1 \geq 0 \) the arriving customer enters an orbit and repeats his demand after an exponential time with rate \( \theta \). Thus the Poisson flow of repeated call follow the retrial policy where the repetition times of each customer is assumed to be independent and exponentially distributed. If an incoming repeated call finds the line free, it is served and leaves the system after service, while the source which produced this repeated call disappears. Otherwise, if the server is occupied at the time of a repeated call arrival with probability \( H_2 \) retries for service again and with probability \( (1 - H_2) \) the source leaves the system without service.

It is assumed that the primary arrival process \([X_1(t)]\) and the service process \([X_2(t)]\) of the systems are correlated and follow a bivariate Poisson process given by

\[
P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2; t) = e^{-((\lambda_1 + \mu) - \epsilon) t} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(x_1, x_2)} \frac{(\epsilon t)^j ((\lambda_1 - \epsilon) t)^{x_1 - j} ((\mu - \epsilon) t)^{x_2 - j}}{j!(x_1 - j)!(x_2 - j)!}
\]

where \( x_1, x_2 = 0, 1, 2 \ldots \ldots \)
\( 0 < \lambda_i, \mu \)
\( 0 < \epsilon < \min(\lambda_i, \mu), i=0,1 \)

with parameters \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu \) and \( \epsilon \) as mean faster rate of primary arrivals, mean slower rate of primary arrivals, mean service rate and mean dependence rate (covariance between the primary arrival and service processes) respectively.

At time \( t \), let \( N(t) \) be the number of sources of repeated calls and \( C(t) \) be the number of busy servers. The system state at time \( t \) can be described by means of a bivariate process \( C(t), N(t), t \geq 0 \), where \( C(t)=1 \) or \( 0 \) according as the server is busy or idle, the process will be called \( CN \) process. If the service time is exponential, then \( \{C(t), N(t)\} \) is Markovian. Let \( C \) and \( N \) be the numbers of customers in the service facility and in the orbit respectively in steady state. The processes \( \{N(t), C(t)\}; t \geq 0 \) is a markov process defined on the state space \( (n, c)|n = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, r-1, r, r+1, \ldots, k\}, c = \{0, 1\} \). The state probabilities at time \( t \) are defined as follows

From state \( (0, n) \) transitions only to the following states are possible.

(i) State \( (1, n) \) with the probability that the arrival of a primary call during the interval \((t, t+dt)\) of time \( t \), when the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals is \((\lambda_0 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\) and the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals is \((\lambda_1 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\).

(ii) State \( (1, n-1) \) with the probability that the commencement of service of one of \( n \) sources in the orbit when the server is free during the interval \((t, t+dt)\) of time \( t \), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \( n\theta dt + o(dt)\).

Since the state \( (0, n) \) means that the server is free, there is no transitions corresponding to the service completion.

Again state \( (0, n) \) can be reached with transitions only from the following states.

(iii) State \( (1, n) \) with the probability that the service completion of the call in service during the interval \((t, t+dt)\) of time \( t \), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \((\mu - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\).

From state \( (1, n) \) transitions only to the following states are possible.

(iv) State \( (1, n+1) \) with the probability that the arrival of a primary call which is blocked and decides to try again during the interval \((t, t+dt)\) of time \( t \), when the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals (form a source of repeated calls) is \( H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\) and when the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals (form a source of
repeated calls) is \( H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt) \).

(v) State \((0, n)\) with the probability that the service completion of the call in service during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \((\mu - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\).

(xi) State \((1, n - 1)\) with the probability that the arrival of a repeated call from a source which was blocked again and then decided to leave the system without service during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \(n\theta(1 - H_2)dt + o(dt)\).

Again state \((1, n)\) can be reached with transitions only from the following states.

(vii) State \((0, n)\) with the probability that the arrival of a primary call which is admitted to service as the server is free during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is in faster rate of primary arrival is \((\lambda_0 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\) and the system is in slower rate of primary arrival is \((\lambda_1 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\).

(viii) State \((0, n + 1)\) with the probability that the commencement of service of one of the \((n+1)\) source in the orbit as the server is free during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is either in faster and slower rate of primary arrivals is \((n + 1)\theta dt + o(dt)\).

(ix) State \((1, n - 1)\) with the probability that the arrival of a primary call which is not admitted to service as the server is busy during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals is \(H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\) and the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals is \(H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)dt + o(dt)\).

(x) State \((1, n + 1)\) with probability that the arrival of a repeated call from \((n+1)\) sources which was blocked again as the server is busy and then decided to leave the system without service during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \((n + 1)\theta(1 - H_2)dt + o(dt)\).

(xi) The probability that there is one primary arrival and one service completion during the interval \((t, t + dt)\) of time \(t\), when the system is either in faster or slower rate of primary arrivals is \(edt + o(dt)\).

3. Steady state equation

Let \(P_{0,n,0}\) denote the steady state probability that there are \(n\) customers in the queue when the system is in the faster rate of primary arrivals and the server is idle.

Let \(P_{0,n,1}\) denote the steady state probability that there are \(n\) customers in the queue when the system is in the slower rate of primary arrivals and the server is idle.

Let \(P_{1,n,0}\) denote the steady state probability that there are \(n\) customers in the queue when the system is in the faster rate of primary arrivals and the server is busy.

Let \(P_{1,n,1}\) denote the steady state probability that there are \(n\) customers in the queue when the system is in the slower rate of primary arrivals and the server is busy.

We observe that only \(P_{0,n,0}\) and \(P_{1,n,0}\) exists when \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r - 1, r;\)

\(P_{0,n,0}, P_{1,n,0}, P_{0,n,1}\) and \(P_{1,n,1}\) exist when \(n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 2, R - 1;\)

\(P_{0,n,1}\) and \(P_{1,n,1}\) exists when \(n = R, R + 1, \ldots, K.\)

Further \(P_{0,n,0} = P_{1,n,0} = P_{0,n,1} = P_{1,n,1} = 0\) if \(n > K.\)

The steady state equations are

\[-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_{0,0,0} + (\mu - \epsilon)P_{1,0,0} = 0 \quad (1)\]

\[-[H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon)]P_{1,0,0} + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_{0,0,0} + \theta P_{0,1,0} + \theta(1 - H_2)P_{1,1,0} = 0 \quad (2)\]

\[-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + n\theta)P_{0,n,0} + (\mu - \epsilon)P_{1,n,0} = 0 \quad (3)\]

\[-[H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + n\theta(1 - H_2)]P_{1,n,0} + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_{0,n,0} + (n + 1)\theta P_{0,n+1,0} + (n + 1)\theta(1 - H_2)P_{1,n+1,0} + H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)P_{1,n-1,0} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, r - 1 \quad (4)\]

\[-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + r\theta)P_{0,r,0} + (\mu - \epsilon)P_{1,r,0} = 0 \quad (5)\]
\[\begin{align*}
&- [H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + r\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,r,0} + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{0,r,0} + (r + 1)\theta P_{0,r+1,0} + (r + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,r+1,0} \\
&+ (r + 1)\theta P_{0,r+1,1} + (r + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,r+1,1} + H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{1,r-1,0} = 0 \\
&-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + n\theta) P_{0,n,0} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,n,0} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + n\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,n,0} + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{0,n,0} + (n + 1)\theta P_{0,n+1,0} + (n + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,n+1,0} \\
&+ H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{1,n-1,0} = 0, \quad n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 2 \\
&-(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + (R - 1)\theta) P_{0,R-1,0} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,R-1,0} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + (R - 1)\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,R-1,0} + (\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{0,R-1,0} + H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon) P_{1,R-2,0} = 0 \\
&-(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + (r + 1)\theta) P_{0,r+1,1} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,r+1,1} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + (r + 1)\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,r+1,1} + (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{0,r+1,1} + (r + 2)\theta P_{0,r+2,1} \\
&+ (r + 2)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,r+2,1} = 0 \\
&-(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + n\theta) P_{0,n,1} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,n,1} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + n\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,n,1} + (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{0,n,1} + (n + 1)\theta P_{0,n+1,1} + (n + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,n+1,1} \\
&+ H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{1,n-1,1} = 0, \quad n = r + 2, r + 3, \ldots, R - 1 \\
&-(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + R\theta) P_{0,R,1} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,R,1} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + R\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,R,1} + (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{0,R,1} + (R + 1)\theta P_{0,R+1,1} + (R + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,R+1,1} \\
&+ H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{1,R-1,0} + H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{1,R-1,1} = 0 \\
&-(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + n\theta) P_{0,n+1,1} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,n+1,1} = 0 \\
&- [H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) + (\mu - \epsilon) + n\theta(1 - H_2)] P_{1,n+1,1} + (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{0,n+1,1} + (n + 1)\theta P_{0,n+1,1} + (n + 1)\theta(1 - H_2) P_{1,n+1,1} \\
&+ H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{1,n-1,1} = 0, \quad n = R + 1, R + 2, \ldots, K - 1 \\
&-(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + K\theta) P_{0,K,1} + (\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,K,1} = 0 \\
&-(\mu - \epsilon) P_{1,K,1} + (\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{0,K,1} + H_1(\lambda_1 - \epsilon) P_{1,K-1,1} = 0 \\
\end{align*}\]

Write \( s = \frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon}{\mu - \epsilon} \), \( \gamma = [H_1(\lambda_0 - \epsilon)] \), \( \delta = [H_2(\lambda_1 - \epsilon)] \)

From (1)-(4) we get,

\[\begin{align*}
P_{0,n,0} &= \frac{\gamma^n}{n!\theta^n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)(\mu - \epsilon + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)) P_{0,0,0}, \quad n \geq 1 \\
P_{1,n,0} &= s \frac{\gamma^n}{n!\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)(\mu - \epsilon + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)) P_{0,0,0}, \quad n \geq 0
\end{align*}\]
From (5)-(8) we get,

\[
P_{0,n,0} = \frac{\gamma^n}{n! \theta^n} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta)} P_{0,0,0} \\
- \left\{ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_2(r+2)!} \left[ \sum_{m=r}^{n} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \gamma^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta) \right] \right\} P_{0,r+1,1} \]

\[
P_{1,n,0} = \frac{\gamma^n}{n! \theta^n} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta)}{P_{0,0,0}} \\
- \left[ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_2(r+2)!} \left\{ \sum_{m=r}^{n} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \gamma^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta) \right\} \right] P_{0,r+1,1} \]

\[n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 1\]  

where

\[A_1 = [(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + (r + 1) \theta)], \quad A_2 = [(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + n \theta)]\]

From (9) and (10) we get,

\[P_{0,r+1,1} = \frac{A_3}{A_4} P_{0,0,0}\]

where

\[A_3 = \left[ \frac{\gamma^R}{(R-1)! \theta^{R-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{R-1} (\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta) \right] \]

\[A_4 = \left[ \left( \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{(R-1)! \theta^{R-2-r}} \sum_{m=r}^{R-1} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \gamma^{R-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{R-1} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + (i \theta)) \right) \right] A_1(r+1) \theta \]

From (11)-(14), we recursively derive,

\[
P_{0,n,1} = \left\{ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_5 n! \theta^{n-1-r}} \left[ \sum_{m=r}^{n} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i \theta) \right] \right\} P_{0,r+1,1} \]

\[
P_{1,n,1} = \left[ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{(\mu - \epsilon) n! \theta^{n-1-r}} \left( \sum_{m=r}^{n} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i \theta) \right) \right] P_{0,r+1,1} \]

\[n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 1, R\]
where
\[ A_5 = \left[ (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + n\theta) \right] \]

\[ A_1 \] is given by (23) and \( P_{0,r+1,1} \) is given by (25).

From (15)-(20) we recursively derive,
\[
P_{0,n,1} = \left[ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_5 n!\theta^{n-1-r}} \left( \sum_{m=r}^{R-1} \frac{m!\theta^{m-r}}{r!} \delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)} \right) \right] P_{0,r+1,1} \tag{28}
\]

\[
P_{1,n,1} = \left[ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_5 (\mu - \epsilon)n!\theta^{n-1-r}} \left( \sum_{m=r}^{R-1} \frac{m!\theta^{m-r}}{r!} \delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)} \right) \right] P_{0,r+1,1} \tag{29}
\]

where \( A_1 \) is given by (23), \( A_5 \) is given by (26) and \( P_{0,r+1,1} \) is given by (25).

Thus from (21)-(29), we find that all the steady state probabilities are expressed in terms of \( P_{0,0,0} \).

4. Characteristics of the model

The following system characteristics are considered and their analytical results are derived in this system.

The probability \( P(0) \) that the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals with the server idle and busy.

The probability \( P(1) \) that the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals with the server idle and busy.

The probability \( P_{0,0,0} \) that the system is empty.

The expected number of customers in the orbit \( L_{q0} \), when the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals with the server idle and busy.

The expected number of customers in the orbit \( L_{q1} \), when the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals with the server idle and busy.

The probability that the system is in faster rate of primary arrivals is
\[
P(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{K} P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=0}^{K} P_{1,n,0} = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} P_{0,n,0} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} P_{1,n,0} \right] \tag{30}
\]

Since \( P_{0,n,0} \) and \( P_{1,n,0} \) exist only when \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r-1, r, r+1, r+2, \ldots, R-2, R-1 \), we get
\[
P(0) = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{0,n,0} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{1,n,0} \right] \tag{30}
\]
From (21) to (24) and (30), we get

\[
P(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{R-1} \frac{\gamma^n}{n! \theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0 + \mu - 2 \epsilon + n \theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right] P_{0,0,0} \\
- \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \frac{\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right) \sum_{m=r}^{n-1} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \frac{\delta^{n-1-m}}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i \theta)} \right\} P_{0,r+1,1}
\]

where \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) is given by (23), and \( P_{0,r+1,1} \) is given by (25).

The probability that the system is in slower rate of primary arrivals is

\[
P(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{K} P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=0}^{K} P_{1,n,1}
\]

\[
= \left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} P_{0,n,1} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} P_{1,n,1} \right]
\]

Since \( P_{0,n,1} \) and \( P_{1,n,1} \) exists only when \( n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 2, R - 1, \ldots, K \), we get,

\[
P(1) = \left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} P_{0,n,1} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} P_{1,n,1} \right]
\] (32)

From (26) to (29) and (32), we get

\[
P(1) = \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \mu - 2 \epsilon + n \theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right) \sum_{m=r}^{n-1} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \frac{\delta^{n-1-m}}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i \theta)} \right\} P_{0,r+1,1}
\\
+ \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \mu - 2 \epsilon + n \theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right) \sum_{m=r}^{n-1} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \frac{\delta^{n-1-m}}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i \theta)} \right\} P_{0,r+1,1}
\]

where \( P_{0,r+1,1} \) is given by (25).

The probability \( P_{0,0,0} \) that the system is empty can be calculated form the normalizing condition.

\[
P(0) + P(1) = 1
\]
\[ P_{0,0,0}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{R-1} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta) \left[ \frac{\lambda_0 + \mu - 2\epsilon + n\theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right] \]

\[ - \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \sum_{m=r}^{n-2} \frac{m!\theta^{m-r}}{r!}\gamma^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta)} \right) \right\} A_3 A_4 \]

\[ + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \sum_{m=r}^{n-2} \frac{m!\theta^{m-r}}{r!}\delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)} \right) \right\} A_3 A_4 \]

\[ + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} \left\{ A_1(r+1)! \left( \sum_{m=r}^{R-1} \frac{m!\theta^{m-r}}{r!}\delta^{n-1-m} \prod_{i=m+1}^{n-1} \frac{(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)}{(\mu - \epsilon) + (1 - H_2)(\lambda_1 - \epsilon + i\theta)} \right) \right\} A_3 A_4 \]

\[ (34) \]

Now we calculate the expected number of customers in the orbit. Let \( L_q \) denote the average number of customers in the orbit, then we have

\[ L_q = L_{q0} + L_{q1} \]

where

\[ L_{q0} = \sum_{n=0}^{K} n P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=0}^{K} n P_{1,n,0} \]

\[ = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} n P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} n P_{0,n,0} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{R-1} n P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} n P_{1,n,0} \right] \]

When \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r - 1, r, r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 2, R - 1 \), we get

\[ L_{q0} = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{0,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} n P_{0,n,0} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{1,n,0} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} n P_{1,n,0} \right] \]

\[ (35) \]

and

\[ L_{q1} = \sum_{n=0}^{K} n P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=0}^{K} n P_{1,n,1} \]

\[ = \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} n P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} n P_{0,n,1} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{r} n P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{R-1} n P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=R}^{K} n P_{1,n,1} \right] \]

When \( n = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, R - 2, R - 1, \ldots, K \), we get,

\[ L_{q1} = \left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} n P_{0,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} n P_{0,n,1} \right] + \left[ \sum_{n=r+1}^{R} n P_{1,n,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} n P_{1,n,1} \right] \]

\[ (36) \]
From (21)-(24), (35) and (36), we get

\[ L_q = \sum_{n=0}^{R-1} \frac{\gamma^n}{(n-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_0 - \epsilon + i\theta) \left[ \frac{\lambda_0 + \mu - 2\epsilon + n\theta}{\mu - \epsilon} \right] P_{0,0,0} - \sum_{n=r+1}^{R-1} \left\{ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_2 (n-1)! \theta^{n-1-r}} \left( \sum_{m=r}^{n-2} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \right) \gamma^{n-1-m} \right\} P_{0,r+1,1} + \sum_{n=R+1}^{K} \left\{ \frac{A_1(r+1)!}{A_5 (n-1)! \theta^{n-1-m}} \left( \sum_{m=r}^{n-1} \frac{m! \theta^{m-r}}{r!} \right) \gamma^{n-1-m} \right\} P_{0,r+1,1} \]

Using Little’s formula, the expected waiting time of the customer in the orbit is calculated as

\[ W_q = \frac{L_q}{\lambda} \]

where \( \bar{\lambda} = \lambda_0 P(0) + \lambda_1 P(1) \) and

Wq is calculated from (31), (33), (34) and (37)

This model includes the earlier models as particular cases. For example, when \( H_1 = 1 \) and \( H_2 = 1 \), we get the \( M/M/1/K \) dependent retrial queueing model with controllable arrival rates. When \( H_1 = 1, H_2 = 1 \) and \( \theta \to \infty \), we get the standard \( M/M/1/K \) dependent queueing model with controllable arrival rates. When \( \lambda_0 \) tends to \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \epsilon = 0 \) this model reduces to \( M/M/1/K \) retrial queueing model with impatient customers. When \( \lambda_0 \) tends to \( \lambda_1, \epsilon = 0, H_1 = 1 \) and \( H_2 = 1 \) this model reduces to \( M/M/1/K \) retrial queueing model. When \( \lambda_0 \) tends to \( \lambda_1, \epsilon = 0, H_1 = 1, H_2 = 1 \) and \( \theta \to \infty \), this model reduces to standard \( M/M/1/K \) queueing model.

5. Numerical Illustrations

For various values of \( \lambda_0, \lambda_1, \mu, \theta, H_1, H_2, \epsilon, r, R, K \) the values of \( P_{0,0,0}, P(0), P(1), L_q \) and \( W_q \) are computed and tabulated in the tables 1 and 2
6. Conclusion

It is observed from the tables 1 and 2 that when $\lambda_0$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ decrease but $P_1$ and $L_q$ increase. When $\lambda_1$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ decrease but $P_1$ and $L_q$ increase. When $\theta$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ increase but $P_1$ and $L_q$ decrease. When $\epsilon$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ increase but $P_1$ and $L_q$ decrease. When $\mu$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ increase but $P_1$ and $L_q$ decrease. When $H_1$ decreases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ decrease but $P_1$ and $L_q$ increase. When $H_2$ increases keeping the other parameters fixed, $P_{0,0,0}$ and $P_0$ decrease but $P_1$ and $L_q$ increase.
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