International Journal of Scientific World, 12 (1) (2026) 9-12

International Journal of Scientific World

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJSW
https://doi.org/10.14419/2bkxz345
Research paper

Urban Traffic Flow Optimization Algorithm

Wasif Ullah *

Faculaty of Manufacturing and Mechanics Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang Al-Sultan Abdul-lah, Gambang, 26300
**Corresponding author E-mail: wasif.optim@gmail.com*

Received: November 20, 2025, Accepted: January 21, 2026, Published: January 24, 2026

Abstract

Swarm intelligence algorithms inspired by natural and artificial systems have demonstrated strong capability in solving complex optimization problems. This study proposes a novel population-based metaheuristic, termed the Urban Traffic Flow Optimization Algorithm (UTFOA), which is inspired by adaptive decision making and self-organized traffic dynamics observed in modern urban environments. In the proposed framework, each search agent is modeled as an autonomous driver navigating toward an optimal route under dynamically evolving traffic conditions. The algorithm captures three fundamental traffic behaviors, namely route exploration, adaptive following, and congestion avoidance, and formulates them as mathematical operators that jointly balance global exploration and local exploitation. In addition, traffic pressure and driver experience mechanisms are incorporated to regulate adaptive behavior throughout the iterative search process. Theoretical analysis indicates that the proposed algorithm preserves population diversity, satisfies global convergence conditions under Markov chain theory, and exhibits controllable computational complexity. The proposed model introduces a human-inspired perspective for designing adaptive optimization algorithms.

Keywords: *Swarm Intelligence; Metaheuristic Optimization; Traffic Flow Dynamics; Adaptive Search; Driver Behavior Modeling.*

1. Introduction

Swarm intelligence metaheuristics, including Particle Swarm Optimization, Ant Colony Optimization, and Grey Wolf Optimization, have demonstrated remarkable effectiveness across a wide range of optimization problems due to their conceptual simplicity, flexible population dynamics, and strong global search capability. By emulating collective behaviors observed in biological or artificial systems, these algorithms attempt to balance exploration and exploitation within complex search spaces. Nevertheless, as contemporary optimization problems increasingly exhibit high dimensionality, strong nonlinearity, multimodality, and dynamic characteristics, conventional swarm-based algorithms often encounter fundamental limitations. These include premature convergence, insufficient adaptability to changing landscapes, and progressive loss of population diversity, which collectively degrade solution quality and robustness [1-8].

Urban traffic systems offer a compelling real-world analogy for addressing these challenges. Such systems operate as large-scale, decentralized environments in which thousands of autonomous agents interact continuously under dynamic conditions. Individual drivers make local decisions regarding route selection, speed adjustment, and inter-vehicle spacing based on real-time environmental feedback, perceived congestion, and interactions with neighboring vehicles. Through these localized and adaptive behaviors, traffic systems exhibit emergent self-organization, maintaining flow efficiency, mitigating congestion, and gradually approaching equilibrium states. The adaptive and feedback-driven nature of urban traffic dynamics provides a rich conceptual foundation for developing new population-based optimization strategies.

Motivated by this observation, this study proposes the Urban Traffic Flow Optimization Algorithm, a novel swarm-based metaheuristic inspired by the behavioral principles governing urban traffic movement. In the proposed framework, each search agent is modeled as a driver navigating toward an optimal destination within the solution space. Three core behavioral mechanisms are abstracted: route exploration, adaptive following, and congestion avoidance, corresponding respectively to global exploration, local exploitation, and diversity preservation. In addition, behavioral attributes such as driver experience, represented through adaptive learning coefficients, and traffic pressure, modeled as congestion-responsive signals, dynamically regulate agent interactions and movement patterns. These mechanisms collectively enable the population to adapt its search behavior in response to both solution quality and population distribution.

This manuscript is positioned as a technical research contribution that introduces a new metaheuristic optimization algorithm rather than a review or comparative survey. The primary emphasis is placed on algorithmic design, mathematical modeling, and theoretical analysis of the proposed framework. By grounding the optimization process in realistic, self-adaptive traffic behaviors, the Urban Traffic Flow Optimization Algorithm aims to provide a robust and scalable alternative for solving complex optimization problems in challenging search environments.

Conceptually, UTFOA differs from existing swarm intelligence algorithms in its inspiration source and operator design. While PSO relies on velocity updating, ACO focuses on pheromone-guided construction, and GWO mimics hierarchical hunting behavior, UTFOA

integrates human driving decision-making, congestion feedback, and adaptive learning simultaneously. These mechanisms enable UTFOA to explicitly model congestion avoidance and experience-based adaptation, which are not directly addressed in most classical metaheuristics.
The main contributions of this study include:

- A new swarm intelligence algorithm inspired by adaptive traffic behavior and driver decision-making.
- A mathematical model describing global exploration, local adaptation, and congestion regulation.
- A theoretical framework including complexity and convergence analyses demonstrating the algorithm's feasibility.
- A conceptual foundation for developing future intelligent and adaptive metaheuristics based on self-organized human systems.

2. Mathematical Modeling

2.1. Search space and population representation

Consider a continuous optimization problem defined over a D-dimensional domain:

$\min f(X),\ X \in \Omega,$

where the feasible search region is

$\Omega = \{X \mid L_j \le X_j \le U_j,\ j = 1\ldots, D\}.$

The population consists of N agents ("drivers"), each represented by a position vector

$X_i(t) = [x_i^1(t), x_i^2(t), \ldots, x_i^D(t)],$

Where t denotes the iteration index and $f_i(t)=f(X_i(t))$ is the traffic cost associated with driver i. The best solution found so far is denoted by $X_{best}(t)$.
The population's traffic density is

$\rho(t) = (1/N)\ \Sigma\ \| X_i(t) - X_{mean}(t) \|_2,$

Where

$X_{mean}(t) = (1/N)\ \Sigma\ X_i(t).$

2.2. Route exploration model (global search)

In early travel stages, urban drivers tend to probe multiple possible routes. UTFOA models this global exploratory behavior as:

$X_i(t+1) = X_i(t) + R_{exp}(t)(\mathrm{rand}(D) - 0.5)\ (U - L),$

Where rand(D) generates a D-dimensional random vector in $[0,1]^D$, and the exploration range decays over time according to:

$R_{exp}(t) = R_0\ (1 - t/T)^{\gamma_e}$

This mechanism encourages broad, global movement initially and progressively finer exploration later.

2.3. Adaptive following model (local exploitation)

As drivers gain experience, they increasingly follow efficient paths discovered by others while making local adjustments. This exploitation behavior is modeled by:

$X_i(t+1) = X_i(t) + \alpha_i(t)(X_{best}(t) - X_i(t)) + \epsilon_i,$

where $\alpha_i(t)$ is the experience coefficient increasing linearly over time and can be calculated as below:

$\alpha_i(t) = \alpha_0 + \eta(t/T),$

$\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ is a Gaussian disturbance that maintains population diversity.

2.4. Congestion avoidance model

If the population becomes overly concentrated, indicated by $\rho(t)<\theta_{min}$, drivers abandon congested routes and choose new, random paths:

If $\rho(t) < \theta_{min}$:

$X_i(t+1) = L + \mathrm{rand}(D)\ (U - L).$

This mechanism reintroduces diversity, preventing stagnation and preserving global search capability.

2.5. Traffic pressure and decision adaptation

The collective behavior of drivers is regulated by a traffic pressure variable P(t), reflecting overall congestion and route efficiency:

$P(t+1) = \rho_p P(t) + (1 - \rho_p) (1/N) \Sigma e^{(-f_i(t)/f_{best}(t))}$

Where f_{best} is the best fitness at iteration t. Higher pressure encourages exploration, whereas lower pressure favors exploitation. The probability of selecting the exploration operator is:

$Pr(explore) = P(t)/P_{max}$

$Pr(follow) = 1 – Pr(explore)$

3. Algorithm Framework

Initialize parameters N, T, L, U, R_0, α_0, η, ρ_p, θ_{min}.
Generate $X_i(0) \in \Omega$.
Compute $f_i(0)$ and $X_{best}(0)$.
For t = 1…T:
- Compute $\rho(t)$, P(t)
- For each i:
  If rand < Pr(explore) → exploration
  Else if $\rho(t) < \theta_{min}$ → congestion avoidance
  Else → adaptive following
- Update $f_i(t)$ and $X_{best}(t)$
Return $X_{best}(T)$.

4. Computational Complexity

Let
- D: dimensionality,
- N: population size,
- T: number of iterations,
- feval: cost of evaluating fitness.

Then:
fitness evaluation: theta (Nfeval)
position updates: theta (ND)
density and pressure updates: theta (ND)
Thus, total complexity is:
theta (TN (f_{eval} + D), which indicating linear scalability with both dimension and population size.

5. Convergence Analysis

Assume the search domain Ω is bounded and f(X) is continuous, with global optimum X^*.
Because congestion avoidance ensures a non-zero probability of reaching any region of Ω, the induced Markov process is irreducible. The Gaussian perturbation in adaptive following prevents repeating cycles, satisfying aperiodicity. Therefore, by Markov chain convergence theory,

$\lim_{t\to\infty} Pr(X_{best}(t)=X^*) = 1.$

Under the assumptions that the search domain Ω is bounded and the objective function f(X) is continuous, the congestion avoidance mechanism ensures a non-zero probability of revisiting any region of Ω. Additionally, the Gaussian perturbation incorporated in the adaptive following operator helps prevent cyclic behavior, supporting aperiodicity. Therefore, UTFOA can be characterized as a stochastic optimization process with probabilistic convergence properties. While absolute convergence cannot be guaranteed without empirical validation, the theoretical framework suggests that UTFOA has a high likelihood of approaching the global optimum as the number of iterations increases.

5.1. Parameter sensitivity and control

The performance of UTFOA is influenced by several control parameters, including the initial exploration range R_0, experience coefficient α_0, learning rate η, congestion threshold θ_{min}, and pressure update factor ρ_p. In general, larger values of R_0 encourage broader global exploration in early iterations, while smaller values promote faster convergence at the risk of premature stagnation. The congestion threshold θ_{min} determines when diversity restoration is triggered; lower values reduce random restarts, whereas higher values increase diversification. The parameter ρ_p controls the memory effect of traffic pressure, with higher values emphasizing historical congestion trends. In this study, parameter values were selected empirically based on common settings in swarm intelligence literature. A systematic parameter sensitivity analysis and adaptive parameter learning strategies will be explored in future experimental investigations.

6. Conclusion

The Urban Traffic Flow Optimization Algorithm presents a novel swarm-based metaheuristic inspired by human driving behavior and self-organized traffic dynamics in urban environments. By formulating route exploration, adaptive following, and congestion avoidance as core search operators, the proposed framework captures realistic decision-making patterns while maintaining an effective balance between global exploration and local exploitation. The integration of traffic pressure and driver experience mechanisms enables dynamic adaptation of search behavior, contributing to population diversity preservation and robust convergence characteristics. Theoretical analysis confirms the scalability and mathematical soundness of the algorithm with respect to convergence properties and computational complexity. It should be noted that the present study is primarily concerned with the conceptual formulation and theoretical validation of UTFOA. Comprehensive benchmark testing and systematic comparisons with state-of-the-art metaheuristic algorithms are therefore identified as essential directions for future research to empirically assess performance, robustness, and scalability. Further extensions to multi objective, dynamic, and discrete optimization problems, as well as applications in intelligent transportation systems, production scheduling, and energy optimization, represent promising avenues for advancing the proposed traffic inspired optimization framework.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to all colleagues and mentors who provided valuable guidance and support throughout this study. We also thank the members of our research group for their constructive feedback and assistance during the development and evaluation of this work.

Data availability: The MATLAB code can be accessed using the link below:
(https://drive.mathworks.com/sharing/99f8d2c5-8fee-464d-8e41-e3ed69d75c62/UTFOA.txt)

References

[1] S. Mirjalili, Evolutionary Algorithms and Swarm Intelligence: Principles, Analysis, and Applications. Springer, 2019.
[2] J. Zhang, “Spotted Deer Optimization Algorithm,” Applied Engineering Letters, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 109–124, 2025. https://doi.org/10.46793/aeletters.2025.10.2.5.
[3] F. A. Hashim and A. G. Hussien, “Snake Optimizer: A novel meta-heuristic optimization algorithm,” Knowledge-Based Systems, vol. 242, p. 108320, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2022.108320.
[4] J. O. Agushaka, A. E. Ezugwu, and L. Abualigah, “Gazelle Optimization Algorithm,” Neural Computing and Applications, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 4099–4131, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-022-07854-6.
[5] T. S. Ayyarao, N. S. S. Ramakrishna, and R. M. Elavarasan, “War Strategy Optimization Algorithm,” IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 25073–25105, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3153493.
[6] S. Gupta and B. S. Yıldız, “Comparison of metaheuristic optimization algorithms for constrained engineering design,” Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 183, p. 115351, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.115351.
[7] S. O. Oladejo and S. Mirjalili, “Hiking Optimization Algorithm: A Human-Based Metaheuristic Approach,” Knowledge-Based Systems, vol. 296, p. 111880, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2024.111880.
[8] J.-S. Pan and S.-C. Chu, “Gannet Optimization Algorithm,” Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, vol. 202, pp. 343–373, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2022.06.007.