In vitro and in vivo antibacterial activities of rhizophora mangle l. against helicobacter pylori. chemical compounds elucidation
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Abstract

Rhizophora mangle L. is a vegetal species widely distributed in Cuba and other Caribbean countries with ethno-pharmacology relevance and preview reports as antiulcer and wound healing properties. The present work describes the in vitro and in vivo antibacterial activities of dried aqueous extract of bark and polyphenol fractions from R. mangle against Helicobacter pylori and the identification of new compounds in the active extracts. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and Minimum bactericide concentration (MBC) were evaluated against reference and clinical Helicobacter pylori strains with total extract, High Molecular Weight and Low Molecular Weight fractions isolated from total extract. Positive active fractions in vitro tests were evaluated in vivo using H. pylori C57BL/6 mice. Fractionation, isolation and structural elucidation of the compounds on High Molecular Weigh fraction and on Low Molecular Weight fraction were made using Chromatography methods and Mass and H+NMR spectrometry. Total aqueous extract from bark of R. mangle and some fraction shown promissory antibacterial activity on in vitro and in vivo models. It was isolated and identified proantocyanidin, catechin and epicatechin derivates, cyanidin and other compounds in this promissory extract. These results appoint to total extract with a promissory active principle in the development of phytodrug with antibacterial effect and as proton pump inhibitor by the treatment of gastroduodenal ulcer.
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1. Introduction

Rhizophora mangle L. was widely distributed in Cuba and other Caribbean countries. This plant has several ethno-botanical uses, as astringent, hemostatic, antipyretic, antifungal, angina, leprosy, antimicrobial properties in throat, and it is use in skin ulcer (Roig, 1974). Some empiric use has made by population in internal and external ulcer; however, any preview study has been as antibacterial against Helicobacter pylori, with primordial importance in gastritis, gastric and duodenal ulcers.

Gastric and duodenal ulcers affect a considerable number of people in the world, and it is induced by several factors. Helicobacter pylori is a gram negative bacteria which habit the epithelial surface of human stomach. In 1994, WHO declared it as a principal causal agent of peptic ulcer. International Agency of Cancer Researching classifies this bacterium as a carcinogen of group 1 (IARC, 1994; NIH, 1994).

Many generic drugs are used in the treatment of gastroduodenal ulcers, but in the totally are necessary the application of combinatorial therapies by the complexity of this etiology. These treatments are not effective in the 20 % of the case by apparition of resistant strains and adverse effects. For also, the treatment of Helicobacter pylori will be focus with a novel liberation system for fighting against the confrontation between biochemical and physical that present in the gastric mucosa infection place (Pajares, 2006).

Though, the finding of other new therapies is important, between it the traditional medicine has a high level. Previous works report the cytoprotective effect of freeze - dried aqueous extract from red mangrove bark on gastric ulceration induced by ethanol – hydrochloricacid in rats and in mice (Sánchez et al., 2001; Sánchez et al., 2010). Also, we report the antiulcer effect by other action’s mechanism as antisecretor, inhibitor of depleting of PGE2 in the gastric mucous and antioxidant (Sánchez et al., 2004; Berenguer et al., 2006). However, the significant in gastroduodenal ulcers of antibacterial drug joint to proton pump inhibitor is considerable by the treatment of this illness.

R. mangle L. has polyphenolic structures as major components, named tannins (Sánchez et al., 1998). Tannins have many biological actions as antimicrobial, antifungal, antiviral, antioxidant, etc. (Leinmmüller et al., 1991). Another preview studied performance with this extract; it showed the presence polyphenolic structures (54.78%) and other structural components (45.22%). Polymeric tannins were the major polyphenol component (80%) and 20% were hydrolysable tannins. Epicatechin, catechin, chlorogenic acid, gallic acid and ellagic acid were monomeric structures determined in this extract. Phytosterols (0.0285%): stigmasterol, β-sitosterol and likewise campesterol were present too (Sánchez et al., 2008b). This extract present semivolatile compounds (Pino et al., 2001); fatty acids and sugars (Sánchez et al., 2008b), for also it represent a complex mixture of secondary metabolites.
This plant with promissory pharmacological activity as antiulcer in gastro duodenal tract was studied its possible toxic effect in acute, sub-acute oral toxicity in rats and genotoxicological tests (Labienie and Gabryelck, 2003; Sánchez et al., 2008a). Any toxicological sign was shown in these studies.

The objective of the present work was to evaluate in vitro and in vivo antibacterial activities of Rhizophora mangle L. against Helicobacter pylori and chemical compounds elucidation in two fractions from total extract.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparations of aqueous extract of R. mangle L. bark

R. mangle L. was collected from the western zones of Cuba in 2009. The identity of the plant was authenticated by a botanist, and a voucher specimen has been deposited in National Botanical Garden’s Herbarium (voucher sample 6539). The extract was prepared by the decoction of the bark in distillate water. The proportion of vegetal matter: water was 1:7; the decoction was made for 20 min at 90°C in lab reactor with 2 L of capacity. The plant material was separated by centrifugation, and the aqueous extract was concentrated, and freeze dried to preserve it and one part was dried by spray dried.

2.2. Fractionation of freeze-dried aqueous extract in high molecular weight polyphenols (HMWP) and Low Molecular weight polyphenols (LMWP)

The freeze-dried aqueous extract was fractioned by it high concentration of polyphenols in High Molecular Weight Polyphenols (HMWP) and Low Molecular Weight Polyphenols (LMWP) following the scheme described in Figure 1.

![Diagram](image)

Fig 1: Low and High Molecular Weight Polyphenols Fractionation from an Aqueous Extract Rhizophora Mangle L. Antibacterial Activity against H. Pylori were Determined with Both Fractions (LMWP and HMWP)

2.3. In vitro e in vivo antibacterial activities of rhizophora mangle L. against helicobacter pylori

2.3.1. Antibiotic susceptibility of total extracts

First, the freeze-dried aqueous total extract was evaluated against reference strain from Helicobacter pylori # CCUG 17874 proceeding Gotemburgo University collection, Sweden. Microorganism suspension was 10^5-10^6 CFU /mL. The concentration range of total extracts was 50 μg - 40 mg/ml. Inhibitory activity was evaluated using minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) in solid medium. After, the total extracts was tested for antibiotic susceptibility by plate dilution method against 30 lines of H. pylori. It was prepared 13 different concentrations, between 0.062μg/ml to 256 μg/ml. It was used plates with antimicrobial drugs in the same concentration: tetracycline, metronidazole, amoxicillin and clarithromycin. It was selected 29 clinical isolates from H. pylori with quick growing and 1 reference line from H. pylori ATCC 43504. In each case was prepared an inoculum in saline equivalent at 2 in McFarland standard (10^7 – 10^8). Inoculum was placed in 8 control plates preparing with total aqueous extract of R. mangle, LMWP, HMWP and the 4 antibiotic in different concentrations using an automatized Robot. It was used a replication volume from 1.2 uL. Plates were incubated in micro aerobic conditions at 37°C, during 3 at 7 days. Plates were checked 3, 5 and 7 days after the incubation.

2.3.2. Minimal bactericide concentration (MBC) from total aqueous extract dried with freeze dried or spray drier

Six strains of H. pylori were used in the study. Two lines were of reference: 26695 (TG), SS1 (Sydney strain) and four clinical isolated from lab strain bank. Strain purities were evaluated by microscopic observation for typical morphology using Gram coloration and by the presence of catalase, urease and oxidase enzymes. MBC was determinate using micro-dilution technique, where series dilutions were made in micro-plates for products in analysis with 2 replicates. The initial concentration was 3 mg/mL in sterile water from freeze dried or spray driers extract. It added 10 uL of bacterial suspension equivalent at 12 x 10^5 ufc/mL. Plates were incubated in micro aerophilic condition for 48 hours at 37°C and humid of 95%. MBC was considered as a minor concentration enable to produce a bactericide effect of 99.9% of the original inoculum.

2.3.3. In vivo anti-helicobacter pylori effect in experimental animals

Mice C57BL/6, 30 male animals, with 4–5 weeks of age. They were maintained in collective box with conventional feeding and
water ad libitum to start the experiment. Light – dark cycle of 12 hours and weather temperature of C57BL/6, with controlled humid. SS1 strain was used growing on Agar Columbia plates so inoculum corresponds at 6 or more than in McFarland scale for guaranty 10^6cfu/ml from inoculum concentration.

Each mouse was inoculated with 0.15 mL with SS1 strain, except control negative group. All animals were maintained in conventional feeding and water ad libitum by 12 days enough for mice stomachs were colonized. After, animals were divided in group of five, and it was proceeding to make two treatments by day in alternate days for a period of five days, by gavage. 0.15mL; the dissolution medium was sterile water and the groups were the following: Group I, negative control, within infection (PBS). Group II, positive control, with infection from SS1 Helicobacter pylori. Group III, with infection, treated with freeze dried extract of R. mangle, doses 500 mg/Kg bw.

Group IV, with infection, treated with freeze dried extract of R. mangle, doses 250 mg/Kg + 7.15 mg/Kg of clarithromycin. Group V, with infection, treated with freeze dried extract of R. mangle, doses 500 mg/Kg + 7.15 mg/Kg of clarithromycin. Group VI, with infection, treated with LMWP, doses 250 mg/Kg Group VII, with infection, treated with HMWP, doses 250 mg/Kg. Animals were observed the time of the experiment by clinical sign appearance. After the last treatment, animals were sacrificed with ethyl ether anesthesia and cervical dislocation. Stomachs were separated and washing with sterile saline and opening by major curvature, and it was evaluated by lesion index follow the scale:

Fold loss ........................................1 point
Mucus discoloration ..........................1 point
Edema ..........................................1 point
Bleeding .......................................1 point
Blood points..................................2 or 3 points
Ulcers to 1 mm .............................. n x 2 points
Ulcers less than 1 mm ...................... n x 3 points
Performance ulcers ........................ n x 4 points
Where n is the ulcer numbers.

Ulcer lesion index (ULI) were determinate as a sum of the lesions observed by group.

After this assessment, stomachs were divided into two fractions, one for bacterial culture analysis and another for histopathology. Antrum was taken for culture, because it is the major zone of Helicobacter colonization, and the treatment could be fewer incidences and more recidivism. These fractions were weight for express the number of bacterial colonies by g of tissue. Body of stomachs were took by histology. Samples for culture were brought in BHI plates (bread- heart infusion stock). They were prepared in ram des fibrinized blood and were incubated at 37°C for five days, after this time it was made the evaluation for bacterial growing and Gram test for verified appearance and number of bacteria from Helicobacter pylori.

2.4. Chemical compounds elucidation

2.4.1. Reagents

Reactive and solvents were pure grade for analysis (Sigma Chemical Co.) and HPLC solvents high pure (Fluka Chemical Co.), Silica gel G, MN- Kieselgel G, Macherey – Angel GMBH & Co. Düren Germany; Kieselgel 60 (0.040 – 0.063 mm), 230 – 400 mesh ASTM; Merck y DIAON HP – 20, SUPELCO, USA. Bellefonte, PA. Sigma – Aldrich Chemie, GmbH, Germany, for Column Chromatography. Aluminum plates (1.0554, DC – Alufolien. Silica gel G, F254, 0.2 mm, Merck KGaA, DARMSTADT, Germany), for Thin Layer Chromatography. Vainillin/HCL (solution of vainillin 10% in methanol, acidic with 5 – 10 drops of concentrated chlorohydrin acid). Ceric sulphate/Ammonium (12.5 g ammonium ceric sulphate, 350 g of ice and 22.2 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid). Anysaldehyde/H2SO4 (mix 2.5 ml of anysaldehyde, 50 ml acetic acid, 425 ml of methanol and 25ml of concentrated sulfuric acid). Varian – Unity 300 mHz, Varian – Inova 500 mHz (Varian, LA, USA) and Bruker- Advance 300 mHz with DMSO, acetone and chloroform deutered were used by NMR proton and Carbon 13NMR spectrum. FAB + and electronic impact (EI +) were used by mass spectrum.

2.4.2. Fractionation and structural elucidation from major fraction, high molecular weight polyphenols (butanol extract).

2.4.2.1. Fractionation of butanolic extract by Sephadex LH-20 Exclusion Chromatography

Five gram of butanol extract was put into a Sephadex LH-20 column, washed previous with methanol: water 1:1. Column was eluted with methanol: water 1:1; methanol: water 60%, methanol: acetone 70%. There were collected 37 fractions. Fractions were analysis by TLC with UV light, FeCL3 10% or vanillin/HCL (butanol- acetic acid- water, 4:1:5). Fractions were made purification by preparative TLC, Sephadex LH-20 columns and crystallization in solid presence. In case 20–22 fraction were used DIAON HP – 20 column with water and mixture of water- methanol and methanol.

2.4.2.2. Fractionation of butanolic extract by Adsorption Chromatography

Butanol extract, 30 g was put into Silica gel G chromatography column. Column was eluted with hexane, mixture of hexane- ethyl acetate, ethyl acetate, ethyl acetate- methanol, methanol, methanol - water and acetone 70%. Separation of diverse types of compounds were isolated with these system. Were collected 60 fractions each of 25 mL. Other purification were made with consecutives Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography, silica gel G column chromatography, preparative TLC, preparative HPLC. Each compound isolated in this section was identified using NMR and Mass Spectrometry.

2.4.3. Fractionation and structural elucidation from minor fraction, low molecular weight polyphenols (ethyl acetate extract)

Ethyl acetate extracts, 2 g, were put into a flash adsorption column chromatography. Kieselgel 60 (0.040 – 0.063 mm). Elution were made with hexane, hexane- ethyl acetate mixture, ethyl acetate and ethyl acetate – (MeOH: H2O; 1:1) mixture, methanol and acetone 70%. Were collected 28 fractions each of 25 mL. Fractions were analyzed by TLC with UV light and anysaldehyde or FeCl3 10% in ethanol. Other purification of each fraction were made with consecutives ad- sorption column chromatography. Each pure compounds was identified by NMR and Mass spectrometry.

3. Results

3.1. In vitro antibacterial activities of Rhizophora mangle l. against Helicobacter pylori

Preliminary study from total aqueous extract of R. mangle against reference strain of H. pylori in the concentration range 50 μg/ml – 40 mg/ml shown a high activity between 50 – 100 % of inhibition. Reference strain of H. pylori shows a successful MIC accord at describe in Susceptibility Test. Total freeze-dried aqueous extract of R. mangle show a MIC 90% of 177.77 μg/ml (0.18 mg/ml); LMWP fraction a MIC 100% = 177.77 μg/ml (0.18 mg/ml) and HMWP fraction MIC 50% = 213.3 μg/ml (0.21 mg/ml) against at all strains (30 strains; one reference strain and 29 clinical strains). Antibiotic show MIC similar to report so some strains were resistant against clarithromycin.
Total freeze-dried aqueous extract of *R. mangle* show a MBC<sub>50</sub> = 250 µg/ml (0.25 mg/ml), MBC<sub>50</sub> = 450 µg/ml (0.45 mg/ml). In the case of polyphenol fractions were determined by LMWP a MBC<sub>50</sub> = 167 µg/ml (0.17 mg/ml) and by HMWP a MBC<sub>50</sub> = 250 µg/ml (0.2 mg/ml). These results shown that *R. mangle* has an antibacterial effect against *H. pylori* as inhibitory of the bacteria growing and as with bactericid-properties. In either case, inhibitory or bactericidal effect from to low molecular weight polyphenol (LMWP) is better than HMWP. However, both fractions give antibacterial activity obtained in total extract.

### 3.2. In vivo antibacterial activities of *Rhizophora mangle* L. against *Helicobacter pylori*

Table 1 represents the ulcer index in vivo model. We found that the treatment with total freeze dried aqueous extract in the doses of 500 mg/Kg b.w. decreasing considerable the ulcer index (UI) respect at positive group with SS1 strain Helicobacter infection within any more-treatment.

Similar results were obtained in the other two groups treated as the extract total contain more clarithromycin, not doses – dependence. Addition of clarithromycin at total extracts not increase the effect, for also not additive or synergic effect was shown in this experiment. High molecular weight polyphenol (LMWP) has better activity. However, it is not significant. Major activity was shown in the case of clarithromycin, within statistical significant.

### Table 1: Ulcer Index in the Model in Vivo in Mice with Inoculation of Strain SS1 of Helicobacter Pylori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Ulcer index (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I, Negative control (not inoculate)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.67 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, Positive control (with infection)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, Total extract of *R. mangle, 500 mg/Kg m.c.</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.73 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, Total extract of *R. mangle,250 mg clarithromycin</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.89 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, Total extract of *R. mangle500 mg clarithromycin</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.89 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI, LMWP, 250 mg/Kg</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.09 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII, HMWP, 250 mg/Kg</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.09 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII, clarithromycin, 7.15mg/Kg</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.89 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical significant, p<0.05

Table 2 shown the bacterial growing in the different treatments. *R. mangle* show antibacterial effect with decreasing of bacterial growing. The use of clarithromycin join of *R. mangle* extract not increase the antibacterial answer, not additive effect in this combination.

HMWP and LMWP fractions did not shown antibacterial activity itself in these experimental doses, only it was appreciate a little antibacterial activity with HMWP fraction. For also, antibiotic effect in total freeze dried extract of *R. mangle* is due to at other compounds present in the extract as semi volatile compounds, phytosterols and fatty acids.

### Table 2: Bacterial Growing in Inoculate Plates with Stomach Contents from in VIVO Antibacterial Experiment against Helicobacter Pylori

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRUPO</th>
<th>CULTIVO</th>
<th>GRAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I, Negative control</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, Positive control</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, <em>R. mangle</em> 500 mg/Kg b.w.</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV, <em>R. mangle</em> 250 mg clarithromycin</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, <em>R. mangle</em> 500 mg clarithromycin</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI, LMWP</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII, HMWP</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII, clarithromycin</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From fraction 10-19 were purified two compounds: 1C2, 50 mg, Rf = 0.25 intense yellow with UV 665 nm, in butanol: acetic acid: water system. These compounds no sprayed with FeCl₃ nor vanillin. H<sup>+</sup> NMR, C<sup>13</sup> NMR, COSY, DEPT, HETCOR and FLOCK spectral analysis define this compound was buthylcarbamate, CH₃-CH₂-CH₂-0-CO-NH₂ (M.F. C₇H₁₄NO₂).
H+ NMR Spectrum of Buthylcarbamate.

C13 NMR Spectrum of Buthylcarbamate.
COSY spectrum of buthylcarbamate.

DEPT spectrum of buthylcarbamate.
Compounds 1-IC2, 5 mg, Rf = 0.26 blue at UV 366 nm, with similar structure with IC2, in H+ NMR a signal at 3.33 ppm and in C13 NMR at 49.85 ppm. It is possible by an additional aliphatic group, ethyl propyl carbamate, CH3-CH2-CH2OCO-NH-CH2-CH3. Compound 2IC2, 69 mg, Rf= 0.71 UV 254 nm and with FeCl3, in ethyl acetate: methanol: water, defined as proantocyanidin A (dimer type A).

Compounds from 20-22 fractions. They were named E1, 100 mg, UV max spectra (MeOH) with broad at: 208, 264, 495, 533 nm. Broad band at 533 nm is typical of cyaniding. Mass spectra EI+ with M+= 287, typical of cyanidin and other characteristic fragments from loss of 18, 46 and 76 mass unit at m/e: 269, 241 and 213. Proton NMR with the follow chemical shifts:

Proton δ (ppm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proton</th>
<th>Chemical Shift (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>8.8 (br s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-4</td>
<td>8.29 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-2'</td>
<td>6.96 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-5'</td>
<td>7.30 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6'</td>
<td>7.13 (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-8</td>
<td>6.57 (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-6</td>
<td>6.17 (s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the Figure 3 represent cyanidin structure propos by this compound.

E2 compound in this fraction, Rf= 0.27, orange with anysaldehyde.
Mass spectra FAB+ with (m+1)+ = 576 and other fragment ions at m/e: 551, 449, 369, 355, 306, 283, 267, 249, 139. This compound is define as epigallocatechin methyl dimer.

E3 compound in this fraction, Rf= 0.13, orange with anysaldehyde.
UV max (MeOH): 211, 249, 279, 398, 454 nm. FAB+ spectra
(DMSO + D2O) present (M+1) + = 603 and fragments ions at m/e: 576, 549, 411, 369, 306, 273, 257, 255, 235, 227, 209, 173 y 139. For this characteristic this compounds is similar structure at E2, some epigallocatechin dimer (Figure 4).

Compound isolated from fraction 23-28, 177 mg, Rf= 0.5 blue with UV 366 nm in butanol: acetic acid: water, was identified as Dimer type A. Other compound named 23 sob, 60 mg, red solid, Rf= 0.7 in butanol: acetic acid: water. It tr= 1.59 min by analytical HPLC. UV spectra of this peak was characterized by signal at: 239, 279, 392, 457, 484 nm (signal at 279nm is typical of flavan-3-ol). Mass spectra, FAB+ (M+1) + = 376 and (M+1+Na) + = 399, other fragment ions at 139 and 257 typical of catechingallate and fragment ion at 289 typical of catechin. Proton NMR and 13C NMR analysis (carbonyl carbon signal at 178 ppm; aromatic group at 145.5, 119.45 and 116.13; C-O signal at 73 and 72 ppm from; 62.67 ppm signal from methoxyl; between other signals. All these date suggest the probable structure as 3- methyl- 4β-methylcarboxymethyl – galloatechin (Figure 5).

Compounds named 28 sob, 70 mg, red solid, Rf= 0.6. HPLC peak at tr= 1.65 min. It UV max spectra (MeOH): 237, 277, 394, 457 and 484 nm. FAB+ spectra, (M + 1) + = 413. IR spectra with signal at 3423 cm−1 (Figure 6). NMR analysis suggest as probable structure 3-metoxy (4 propyl carboxymethyl- galocatechin) (Figure 7).

![Fig. 3: Cyanidin Structure.](image1)

![Fig. 4: Epigallocatechin Dimer.](image2)

![Fig. 5: Structure of 3- Metil 4β Methylcarboxymethyl – Galocatechin.](image3)
3.3.2. Fractionation of butanolic extract by Adsorption Chromatography

Using column adsorption chromatography similar to Kandil et al. 2004[15] with leaves of R. mangle permit the isolation and elucidation of new structures in this extract. For example:

- Fatty acid: Stearic acid
- Phytosterol: Sitosterol with a lateral chain of fatty acid. p-methoxibenzoic acid, from fraction 17. This acid is precursor of polyphenol compounds.
- Cathechin and epicatechin, from 10-15 fraction.
- From fraction 32, eluted with ethyl acetate- MeOH 1:1, was purified 93 mg of cream color crystal, Fusion Melting= 220-225°C, pure by HPLC. Rf= 0.68, Ethyl acetate: MeOH: water, UV 254 nm, CeSO4 and with vanillin typical of flavan-3-ol. λ max= 265.3 nm, tr= 3.21min. FAB+ (M + 1)+ = 338 other fragments m/e: 309, 257, 242, 235, 139. Ions at 257 and 139, characteristic of catechin gallates (Figure 8).
Compounds identified in 38-40 fractions (named 10 C4, 13C4 y 15C4).

Compounds 10C4: \( t_r = 1.58 \text{ min, } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 237, 282, 448 \text{ nm; } R_f = 0.63 \) (butanol: acetic: water, 5:4:1). FAB\(^{+} \) = (M+1)\(^+\) = 482 fragment pattern similar at 13C4. Monomeric structure not identified.

Compounds 13C4, \( t_r = 1.42 \text{ min; } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 237, 277, 394, 457, 484 \text{ nm; } \text{FAB}^{+} : (M + 1)^{+} = 482, (M + 1 + Na)^{+} = 505 \). The probable structure of this compound is epicatechinpropilgallate (Figure 9).

Compounds 15C4, \( t_r = 1.47 \text{ min, } \lambda_{\text{max}} = 251, 395, 450 \text{ nm, } R_f = 0.8 \) (butanol: acetic: water, 5:4:1), FAB\(^{+} \) = (M+1)\(^+\) = 939, Trimmer no identified.

3.4 Fractionation and structural elucidation from minor fraction, low molecular weight polyphenols (ethyl acetate extract)

Proton NMR analysis from 4-6 fraction, 4 mg, \( R_f = 0.83 \) purple with anysaldehyde has a basic structure describe in Figure 10.

Fraction 12-13 was observed Gallic acid by comparison by TLC with Gallic acid standard, \( R_f = 0.61 \), similar coloration and form of spot with anysaldehyde and FeCl\(_3\).

In this fraction were isolated other compounds as II\(_5\), 15 mg, \( R_f = 0.39 \), \( t_r = 1.816 \text{ min (HPLC/Mass)} \). Mass spectra with (M+1)\(^+\) = 485 and fragment ions at m/e: m/e: 470, 453, 437, 291, 159, 139, 128. Presence of fragment at m/e 291 y 139 from catechin and m/e 139 type gallate. UV max (MeOH): 226, 257 y 287 nm. Proton NMR spectra with sugar signal. The most probable structure by this compound was methoxi-gallocatechin glucose (Figure 11).

Compound II\(_5\), White needle, 12 mg, \( R_f = 0.12 \), brown color with anysaldehyde and blue with FeCl\(_3\); \( t_r = 1.48 \text{ min. Proton NMR at 300 and 400 mHz are characteristic of gallocatechin. 13C NMR with presence of carbonyl and aromatic groups. Mass spectra (M+1)\(^+\) = 462, fragment ions at m/e: 445, 257, 159 y 139. This compounds correspond at gallocatechingallate (Figure 12).

II\(_5\), 8 mg, \( R_f = 0.10 \) UV 254 nm, \( t_r = 1.8 \text{ min. Mass spectra (M+1)\(^+\) = 453 and fragment ions at m/e: 437, 291, 259, 159 y 128. UV max (MeOH): (M+1)\(^+\) = 453 e iones fragmentos a m/e: 437, 291, 259, 159 y 128. The structure for this compounds was catechi-3-O-glucose (Figure 13).
Fraction 18 – 19a, Rf = 0.66 UV 254 nm and orange with anisaldehyde typical of proantocyanidin; tr = 1.844 min. and (M+1)+ = 489 other ions at m/e = 415, 173, 127, 113, cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside.

Fraction 18 – 19b, Rf = 0.37 orange with anisaldehyde typical of proantocyanidin; tr = 1.94 min. UV max = 211, 277, 392, 492, 525 nm and (M+1)+ = 593 other ions at m/e = 284, H+ NMR with a signal at δ = 8.44 ppm (s); cyanidin 3-rutinoside (Fig. 14). With other ion (M+1)+ = 355, tr = 7.51 min, correspond with chlorogenic acid.

In fraction 20 was identified epigallocatechin gallate, with mass spectra (M+1)+ = 459 and fragment ions at m/e = 291, 290, 289, 273, 257, 169, 125, 139 (Fig. 15).

In fraction 21 was identified glucoside of Proantocyanidin A, Rf = 0.43 orange with anisaldehyde (M+1)+ = 737 other fragments m/e = 577, 575, 453, 437, 419, 291, 249, 181, 127. (Fig. 16). In the following table was described the chemical shifts of H+ NMR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ring</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>δ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.07 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.25 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.93 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.07 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.13 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.71 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.01 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2’</td>
<td>4.78 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3’</td>
<td>4.13 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>2.57 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4β</td>
<td>2.78 dd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6’</td>
<td>6.07 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10’</td>
<td>6.94 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13’</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14’</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From 22 – 24 fraction were isolated different compounds: IV 6(1) band, 50 mg, red color, Rf = 0.35, positive with anisaldehyde, UVmax (MeOH) = 220, 281, 400, 479 nm. Mass spectra El+ (M+1)+ = 446, of (epi)catechin gallate (442). HPLC/Mass tr1 = 1.845 min. (major peak) with (M+1)+ = 577 and other ions at m/e = 570, 533, 490, 453, 437, 425, 369, 301, 289, 249, 211, 163, correspond with Proantocianidina A-1, H+ NMR: δ 8.9 ppm brs de OH y δ 9.31 ppm brs de OH.

IV 6(2), 30 mg, Rf = 0.29 positive with anisaldehyde, UVmax (MeOH) = 228, 278, 432. HPLC/Mass tr = 1.861 min (major peak) with (M+1)+ = 621, dimer. In the sample was remaining of malvidin (m/e = 331) and peonidin (m/e = 301). Major compound was delphinidin 3-galactoside.

IV 7, 90 mg, Rf = 0.29, positive with anisaldehyde. UVmax (MeOH) = 219, 286, 324(Majors), 439, 532nm (minor). HPLC/Mass, tr = 1.829 min. (major), (M+1)+ = 477 (480), other fragments at m/e = 429, 411, 353, 335, 313, 255, 211, 154, 141, 127, 109. Presence in UV spectra of maximum at 532 nm is typical in antociadinin. However, molecular ion M+ = 479 correspond with petunidin -3-glucoside, Figure 17, H+ RMN:

δ 8.12 (1H, s, H-4)
δ 7.18 (1H, d, H-2‘
δ 6.84 (1H, s, H-8)
δ 6.72 (1H, s, H-6‘
δ 6.56 (1H, s br, H-6)
δ = 5.931 (anómero) glucosa (s)
δ = 4.44 m
δ = 3.79 d
δ = 3.74 d
δ = 3.50 m
azucar: glucosa

IV 7 Resin (2), 35 mg, UVmáx (MeOH) = 218, 282, 445 nm. HPLC/Mass tr = 1.899, 1.94, 2.006, 2.05 min. El+ (1.7 – 1.8 min)
4. Discussion

The development of gastric ulcers is a complex and multi-factorial process including bacterial infections, the increase of acid secretion, generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), inhibition of the endogenous PGs, and the degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM). Research during the last decade has offered new insights in the preventative therapy and the healing of gastric ulcers and the synergistic efficiency of a multi-target approach based on individual mechanisms of action could be the new perspective for treatment of this disease (Pinheiro et al., 2015).

*Helicobacter pylori* is a Gram-negative, helical rod that colonizes human gastric epithelium. It plays a causal role in chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer, and is an important factor in the occurrence of gastric cancer and gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma (MALToma). Thus, the eradication of *H. pylori* can contribute to the treatment and prevention of these diseases. *H. pylori* eradication accelerates peptic ulcer healing, reduces the recurrence of gastric cancer after resection, and leads to regression of low-grade gastric MALToma. Currently, new triple therapies consisting of two antibiotics and a proton pump inhibitor show high eradication rates. However, some problems remain. *H. pylori* rapidly acquires resistance to some antibiotics. *H. pylori* strains resistant to clarithromycin and metronidazole are now increasing, which will reduce eradication rates. In the future, antibiotic resistance will be the greatest obstacle in the treatment of *H. pylori* infection. Furthermore, new triple teraphies upon occasion cause side effects; nausea, vomiting, epigastric pain, abdominal discomfort, diarrhea and another point, the significant cost of combination therapy. Therefore, a new antibacterial agent, which is both highly effective and safe, is required for the treatment of *H. pylori* infection (Funatogawa et al., 2004).

As phytomedicine has proved to be an untapped treasure for the discovery of lead compounds to cure gastrointestinal disorders. Hence several studies have been aimed to evaluate the anti-*Helicobacter pylori* activity of medicinal herbs (Kiranmai et al., 2012).

*Rhizophora mangle* L. is a tree high distribute in tropical countries. We report preview antiulcer effect of the total extract from bark of this tree, by proton bomb inhibitor. In the present work, we found an antibacterial activity in vitro and in vivo against *Helicobacter pylori*. The MIC and MBC of total aqueous extract is similar or better at the report with other species with anti-*Helicobacter* properties (MIC 90%= 0.18 mg/ml and MBC 90%= 0.45 mg/ml); for example, *Terminalia catappa* L. with a presence of some phenolic compounds present a MIC of 0.125 mg/ml (Pinheiro et al., 2015); a flavonoid rich extract of *Glycyrrhiza glabra* Linn, which is commonly known as Licorice shown a MIC of 0.1 mg/ml against a ATCC reference strain of *H. pylori* (Patel, 2014). *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Scleria striatinux*, *Lycopodium cernua*, *Acanthus montanus*, *Eryngium foetidium*, *Aulutandria*...
rhizophora mangle

Presence in this extract of a very high proportion of polyphenols compounds: proantocianidin and cyanidin join with other compounds terpenoid, catechin, epicathechin, EGC, EGC methylated, GCG, EGCG, chlorogenic acid, gallic acid and buthyl carbamate made of this extract very rich in compound with antibacterial activity.

Aqueous Extract of Peumus boldus Mol. has a potent anti-urease activity and anti-adherent effect against H. pylori, properties directly linked with the presence of catechin-derived proanthocyanidins (Pastene et al., 2014).

Funagotagawa et al., 2004 described the effect of hydrozilable tannins isolated from different extract of plants on lipid bilayer membranes. Therefore, they have been potential as new and safe therapeutical regimens against H. pylori infection, especially monomeric compounds with a strong activity.

Another phenolic compound, Curcumin of Curcuma longa has recently been shown to arrest H. pylori growth. The anti-Helicobacter pylori activity of curcumin against 65 clinical isolates of H. pylori in vitro was examined. Minimum inhibitory concentration ranging from 5-50 μg/ml, showing its effectiveness against H. pylori growth in vitro irrespective of genetic make up of strains (Kiranmai et al., 2012).

Aqueous and butanol fractions of Punica granatum L. showed good activity on H. pylori clinical isolates with MICs of 156 and 195.12 μg/mL, related to tannin and phenolic compounds as proantocianin and ellagic tannin(Mahboobe, 2011).

Ilex paraguariensis A. and Chamomil arecutita L., were capable of inhibiting the in vitro growth of H. pylori associate at the presence of phenolic compounds, triterpenes, flavonoids and essential oils (Cogo et al., 2010). Other natural compounds with anti-Helicobacter pylori activities are described quinones, coumarins, terpenoids (Cogo et al., 2010). Other natural compounds with anti -Helicobacter pylori activities are described quinones, coumarins, terpenoids and alkaloids (Wang, 2014).
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