Defensive role of silybinin against arsenic induced oxidative stress mediated dyslipidemia and neurotoxicity in rats
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Abstract

Arsenic (As) is an environmental toxic metalloid that is present in everywhere such as air, water and soil. Generally, inorganic arsenic has a tendency to be more toxic than organic arsenic. The present study was designed to determine whether oral administration of silybinin (SB), which has been shown to have substantial antioxidant properties, when pre-administered (75 mg/kg body weight) once daily for 4 weeks along with arsenic (5 mg/kg) would prevent arsenic-induced changes in antioxidant defense system, superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), glutathione reductase (GR), glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD), reduced glutathione (GSH), total sulphhydryl groups (TSH) and vitamin C in rat brain regions such as cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem. Our study also examined the effect of SB over arsenic-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and lipid peroxidation level (LPO) and protein carbonyl content (PC) in distinct brain regions of rats. Moreover, As also alters the lipid profiles such as total lipids, phospholipids, cholesterol, cerebrosides and gangliosides in various regions of the brain. Pre-administration of SB restores the altered enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants, lipid profiles and also markedly reduced the ROS, LPO, PC and accumulation of As in various regions of the brain. These results suggested that arsenic-induced deficits in anti-oxidant enzyme activities and increase in ROS production and lipid peroxidation levels in brain regions can be remarkably prevented by pre-administration of SB.
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1. Introduction

Arsenic (As), a Group I carcinogen (International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 1989) and an environmental pollutant, has been implicated in the occurrence of various cancers and numerous health problems (Yoshida et al., 2004). High levels of inorganic arsenic are found in water in many regions of the world as a result of geochemical processes posing serious chronic health risks to humans (Yadav et al., 2009; Brinkel et al., 2009). Arsenic exposure has been associated with health problems, including hypertension (Yadav et al., 2009), cardiovascular diseases, developmental abnormalities, diabetes, hearing loss, fibrosis of the liver and lung, hematological disorders, neurological and reproductive problems, blackfoot disease and cancer (Kapaj et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2006). Moreover, peripheral neuropathy following arsenic toxicity has been reported (Kapaj et al., 2006; Vahidinia et al., 2007).

In view of the adverse effects of arsenic on brain, extensive studies have been undertaken to understand the mechanisms of arsenic-induced neurotoxicity. Enhanced generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and nitrogen species (RNS) associated with a deficient antioxidant system leading to increased oxidative stress is largely accepted as one of the potential mechanisms of arsenic neurotoxicity (Flora and Gupta, 2007; Shila et al., 2005a; Sinha et al., 2008a; Das et al., 2010) results in damage to mitochondrial membrane and subsequently to cell death. Involvement of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) and nitric oxide (NO) levels has also been shown in arsenic neurotoxicity (Chattopadhyay et al., 2002; Zarazua et al., 2006; Flora et al., 2009; Rios et al., 2009).

Further, brain is a soft target of arsenic toxicity since it easily crosses the blood–brain barrier (Rosado et al., 2007; Brinkel et al., 2009). A number of studies have shown vulnerability of corpus striatum, Cerebellum, Brain stem,cortex and hippocampus to arsenic toxicity that are associated with behavioral and neurochemical abnormalities (ShilaEt al., 2005b; Yadav et al., 2009). Furthermore, arsenic also alters the contents of lipid classes, proteins, glutathione and the ascorbic acid in various regions of brain (Haider and Najar, 2008). Due to increasing exposure to environmental neurotoxicants like arsenic, there is an increasing interest to investigate the prophylactic and protective efficacy of natural products found in plant extracts. Silybinin (SB) is the major compound of the silymarin isolated from seeds of mediterranean milk thistle, Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn. (Asteraceae), and clinically used as a hepatoprotectant (Pradhan and Girish, 2006). Silybinin (SB) has anti-liperoxidative activity with anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic and anti-oxidant activities by scavenging various free radicals (Basilio et al., 2009). SB is reported to have a broad spectrum of biological activities such as hepatoprotective (Ferenci, 1989), antioxidant (Saller et al., 2001), metal chelation (Pietrangelo et al., 1995) free radical-scavenging (Winterbourn, 2008) and etc.

The present study was carried out to investigate the protective effect of SB against arsenic induced oxidative stress, contents of lipids, proteins, antioxidant defense systems in various regions of rats.
2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Arsenic, silybinin, 1,1’,3,3’-tetramethoxy propane and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA. All other chemicals and solvents were of certified analytical grade and purchased from S.D. Fine Chemicals, Mumbai or Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. Reagent kits were obtained from span Diagnostics, Mumbai, India. Chemical structure of silybinin is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Animals and diet

Healthy adult male albino rats of Wistar strain, bred and reared in Central Animal House, Department of Experimental Medicine, Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalai University were used for the experiment. Males were preferred in order to avoid complications of the oestrous cycle. Animals of equal weight (170-190 g) were selected and housed in polypropylene cages lined with husk and kept in a semi-natural light/dark condition (12 h light/12 h dark). The animals had free access to water and were supplied with standard pellet diet (Amrut Laboratory Animal Feed, Pranav Agro Industries Ltd., Bangalore, India), constitution of protein (22.21%), fat (3.11%), balanced with carbohydrates (> 67%), vitamins and minerals. Animal handling and experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, Annamalai University (Registration Number: 684/2010/ CPCSEA) and the animals were cared in accordance with the “Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals” and “Committee for the purpose of control and supervision on experimental animals”.

2.3. Experimental design

In the present study, NaAsO\(_2\) was administered orally at a dose of 5 mg/kg body weight/day for 4 weeks, which was 1/8 of the oral LD\(_{50}\) values in rats (North et al., 1997). Control group received the vehicles only; experimental rats were subdivided into two groups (2 and 3). Drug control group received the SB (dissolved in 0.5% of carboxy methyl cellulose, CMC) alone. In the experiment, a total of 24 rats were used. The rats were randomly divided into 4 groups of 6 animals in each. A pilot study was conducted with three different doses of SB (25, 50 and 75 mg/kg) to determine the dose-dependent effect of SB in as treated neurotoxic rats. After 4 weeks of experiment, it was observed that SB pretreatment at the doses of 25, 50 and 75 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) increased the levels of Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) in plasma, reduced glutathione and lowered the thiobarbituric acid reactive substances in the brain of As intoxicated rats (data have not shown). 75 mg/kg of SB showed a higher significant effect than the lower doses 25 and 50 mg/kg. Hence, we have chosen the highest dose (75 mg/kg) of SB as an effective dose against toxicity for further studies.

Group 1: (n = 6) Considered as negative controls where rats received daily normal saline and CMC solutions used as vehicles.

Group 2: (n = 6) Corresponding to As treated group where rats received daily NaAsO\(_2\) (5 mg/kg BW) dissolved in saline solution.

Group 3: (n = 6) Rats received daily silybinin (SB) dissolved in CMC (75 mg/kg BW) along with arsenic (5 mg/kg BW).

Group 4: (n = 6) Considered as positive controls where rats received daily SB dissolved in CMC (75 mg/kg BW).

The animals were maintained in their respective groups for four weeks. Food and fluid intake and body weights were measured weekly. At the end of the experimental period, the animals were anesthetized using ether and sacrificed by cervical decapitation. Blood was collected from the jugular vein using heparin as the anticoagulant and centrifuged at 2000g for 20 min to prepare plasma. Brain tissues were excised washed and homogenized in 0.1 M Tris-HCl-0.001M EDTA buffer (pH 7.4) and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and used for the experiments.

2.4. Determination of acetyl cholinesterase activity

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity was determined in plasma using acetylcholine iodide as a substrate according to the method of Ellman et al. (1961). In this method AChE in samples hydrolyzes acetylthiocholine iodide into thiocoline and butyric acid. The thiocoline reacts with 5,5’-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid to form 5-thio-2-nitrobenzoic acid. The yellow colour developed is measured spectrophotometrically at 412 nm (Elico-SL177, Elico LTD, Hyderabad Andra Pradesh, India).

2.5. Dissection of the brain

The brain was dissected into the cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and the brain stem on a glass plate resting over crushed ice for separation of various regions (Glowinski and Iversen, 1966). The tissues were weighed to the nearest milligram on an electronic balance.

2.6. Extraction of lipids from discrete brain areas

Different parts of the brain, weighing between 150 to 300 mg were homogenized in a glass homogenizer with a teflon pestle to a final volume of 6 ml chloroform-methanol (2:1 v/v) according to the method of Folch, et al. (1951), with a modified procedure. Homogenates were filtered under vacuum through a sintered glass funnel. The final volume of each extract was made up to 10 ml with chloroform-methanol mixture. Thereafter, 2.5 ml of normal saline solution was added to the extracts in each test tube (4:1 v/v). This was shaken vigorously on a mixer and placed overnight at -20°C in a deep freezer for separation of the two layers. Volumes of the upper and lower layers were marked respectively. The aqueous layer was used for the estimation of gangliosides and the lower layer was stored at -20°C for the analysis of total lipids, phospholipids, cholesterol and cerebrosides. The analysis of total lipids was performed according to the method of Woodman and Price, (1972). Phospholipids were measured by phosphate determination according to the method of Marinetti, (1962). Cholesterol was estimated by Lieberman-Buchard reaction as described by Bloor, et al. (1922). Cerebrosides levels were determined by the method described for galactolipids and sulfolipids by Roughan and Batt, (1968). Gangliosides were determined according to the method of Pollet, et al. (1979). Protein was measured by the procedure of Lowry, et al. (1951).

2.7. Estimation of brain ROS, LPO and PC

ROS was measured as described previously, based on the oxidation of 2’7’-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate to 2’7’-dichloro fluorescein (Shinomol and Muralidhara, 2007). Briefly, the homogenate as diluted 1:20 times with ice-cold Locke’s buffer (154nmMNaCl, 5.6mmM KCl, 3.6mmMNahCO\(_3\), 2.0mmMCaCl\(_2\), 10mm d-glucose, and 5mmMHEPES, pH7.4) to obtain a concentration of 5mg tissue/ml. The reaction mixture (1ml containing Locke’s buffer (pH7.4),0.2ml homogenate and 10ml of DCFH-DA(5mmM) was incubated for15min at room temperature to allow the DCFH-DA to be incorporated into any membrane-bound vesicles and the diacetate group cleaved by esterases. After 30min of further incubation, the conversion of DCFH-DA to the fluorescent product DCF was measured using a spectrofluorimeter with excitation at 484nm and emission at 530nm. Background fluorescence (conversion of DCFH-DA in the absence of homogenate) was corrected by the inclusion of parallel blanks. ROS formation was quantified from a DCF-standard curve and data are expressed as pmol DCF formed/ min/ mg protein.
Lipid peroxidation was assayed by the method of Ohkawa et al. (1979) in which the thiobarbituric acid reactive substance malondialdehyde released served as the index of LPO. Protein carbonyl content was determined according to the method of Levine et al. (1990). Briefly 250 mg mitochondrial protein was precipitated using 20% TCA followed by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant obtained was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in nitrophenylhydrazine (10 mM, 2N HCl), kept at dark for an hour with occasional mixing. The tubes were then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C and the pellet obtained was washed with acetone and dissolved in a known volume of Tris–HCl (20 mM, pH 7.4) containing 2% SDS. The absorbance was read at 360 nm and expressed as nmol carbonyls/mg protein (MEC-21.0 mM 1 cm⁻¹).

2.8. Determination of non-enzymatic and enzymatic antioxidants

Reduced glutathione was determined by the method of Ellman (1959). Total sulphydryl groups were measured by the method of Ellman (1959). Vitamin C concentration was measured as previously reported (Omaye et al., 1979). Superoxide dismutase activity was determined by the method of Kakkar, et al. (1984). The activity of catalase was determined by the method of Sinha (1972). Glutathione peroxidase activity was estimated by the method of Rotruck, et al. (1973). Glutathione reductase was assayed by the method of Horn and Burns (1978). The estimation of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase was carried out by the method of Beutler (1983).

2.9. Arsenic analysis

Brain regional arsenic levels were estimated according to the method of Ballentine and Burford (1957). To 100 mg of tissues, 1 ml of concentrated nitric acid was added, followed by 1 ml of perchloric acid. The sample was then digested over a sand bath until the solution turned yellow in colour. If the colour of the digest was brown, more nitric acid and perchloric acid were added and the oxidation was repeated. The digest was made up to known volume with deionized water. Aliquots of this were used to estimate arsenic by using the atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The concentration of arsenic was expressed as μg/g tissue.

2.10. Histopathological studies

For qualitative analysis of brain histology, the tissue samples were fixed for 48 h in 10% formalin-saline and dehydrated by passing successfully in different mixture of ethyl alcohol, water, cleaned in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Sections of the tissues (5-6μm thick) were prepared by using a rotary microtome and stained in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Sections of the tissues (5-6μm thick) were prepared by using a rotary microtome and stained in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Sections of the tissues (5-6μm thick) were prepared by using a rotary microtome and stained in xylene and embedded in paraffin.

2.11. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT) using a commercially available statistics software package (SPSS® for Windows, V. 17.0, Chicago, USA). Results were presented as mean±SD. Differences were considered significant if P<0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of SB on body weight, organ weight, and relative organ weight food and water intake

Table 1 depicts the effects of as and SB on body weight gain, food and water intake and relative brain weight in control and experimental rats. In As treated rats, water and pellet diet consumption significantly (p<0.05) decreased with a decrease in body weight. A significant (p<0.05) decrease in relative brain weight was recorded in as treated rats when compared with control rats. Treatment with SB effectively attenuated the As-induced alterations in food and water intake, body weight and relative brain weight, when compared with as treated rats. Administration of SB alone to rats did not show any alterations in these parameters and did not differ significantly from that of the normal control group.

Table 1: Changes in Body Weight, Body Weight Gain, Food Intake, Water Intake and Organ-Body Weight Ratio in Control and Experimental Rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Arsenic + Silibinin</th>
<th>Silibinin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>228.7 ± 0.59*</td>
<td>172.5 ± 2.30*</td>
<td>225.2 ± 0.57*</td>
<td>233.8 ± 0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/100 g bw/day)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 2.50</td>
<td>18.2 ± 2.30</td>
<td>19.5 ± 2.00</td>
<td>18.0 ± 2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (mL/rat/day)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.00</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ-body weight ratio (%) brain</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed mean ± SD for six rats in each group; a,b,c Values are not sharing a common superscript letter (a-c) differ significantly at p<0.05 (DMRT).

3.2. Effect of SB on plasma AChE

The activity of AChE in plasma of control and experimental rats is shown in Figure 1. The activities of AChE in plasma were significantly (p<0.05) decreased in as treated rats when compared with control rats, whereas the administration of SB in As intoxicated rats significantly (p<0.05) increased the activities of AChE to near normal levels when compared with As treated rats.

3.3. Effect of SB on lipid profiles

The effect of arsenic on the levels of total lipids (Figure 3), phospholipids (Figure 4), cholesterol (Figure 5), gangliosides (Figure 6) and cerebrosides (Figure 7) in various regions such as cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of the brain of control and arsenic treated rats. The significant (p<0.05) increased levels of total lipids, phospholipids, gangliosides and cerebrosides were observed in arsenic intoxicated rats when compared with control rats whereas the significant (p<0.05) decreased levels of cholesterol was observed in arsenic treated rats when compared with control rats. All lipid profiles levels were obtained near normal level in pre-administration of SB with As intoxicated rats when compared with As treated rats. There is no significant changes in SB alone treated rats when compared with control rats.
Fig. 1: Chemical Structure of Silibinin C_{25}H_{22}O_{10}.

Fig. 2: Changes in the Activities of Acetylcholinesterase (Ache) in Plasma of Control and Experimental Rats. ATCI: Acetyl Thiocholine Iodide; Values are Mean ± SD for Six Rats in Each Group. Values Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letters (a, b, c and d) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

Fig. 3: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of Total Lipids in Various Regions of Brain in the Control and Experimental Rats. Values are Mean ± SD for 6 Rats in Each Group; a, b and c Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b and c) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

Fig. 4: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of Phospholipids in Various Regions of Brain in the Control and Experimental Rats. Values are Mean ± SD for 6 Rats in Each Group; a, b and c Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b and c) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).
Fig. 5: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of Cholesterol in Various Regions of Brain in the Control and Experimental Rats. Values are Mean ± SD for 6 Rats in Each Group; *a, b, c* Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b and c) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

Fig. 6: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of Gangliosides in Various Regions of Brain in the Control and Experimental Rats. Values are Mean ± SD for 6 Rats in Each Group; *a, b, c* Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b and c) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

Fig. 7: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of Cerebrosides in Various Regions of Brain in the Control and Experimental Rats. Values are Mean ± SD for 6 Rats in Each Group; *a, b, c* Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b and c) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

3.4. Effect of SB on ROS, lipid peroxidation and PC

Table 2 illustrates the effect of silibinin on ROS, Lipid peroxidation and PC in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of brain of control and arsenic treated rats. The levels of ROS, Lipid peroxidation and PC were significantly (p<0.05) increased in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of arsenic treated rats when compared with control rats. The levels of ROS, Lipid peroxidation and PC were significantly
(p<0.05) decreased in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of pre-administration of SB when compared with As treated rats.

Table 2: Effect of Silibinin on the Levels of ROS, Lipid Peroxidation and PC in the Brain of Control and Experimental Rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Arsenic + Silibinin</th>
<th>Silibinin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>1.65±0.48&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.48±0.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.04±0.53&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.43±0.27&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>1.52±0.74&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.76±0.48&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.98±0.53&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.08±0.21&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>1.24±0.65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.12±0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.68±0.16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.34±0.12&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid peroxidation</td>
<td>0.99±0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.76±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.34±0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.96±0.03&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>0.89±0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.43±0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.84±0.16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.78±0.08&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>0.74±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.98±0.14&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.18±0.15&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.60±0.14&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.33±0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.84±0.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.54±0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.21±0.08&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>0.58±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.48±0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.98±0.07&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.47±0.07&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>0.28±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72±0.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43±0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22±0.05&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lipid peroxidation was expressed as µmol H2O2/mg protein. The levels of PC were expressed as nmol/mg protein.

3.5 Effect of SB on non-enzymatic antioxidants

The effect of SB on GSH, TSH and vitamin C in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of brain of control and arsenic treated rats illustrated in figure 8, figure 9 and figure 10. The levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants were significantly (p<0.05) decreased in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of arsenic treated rats when compared with control rats. Pre administration of SB significantly (p<0.05) increased the levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants in as treated rats when compared with as alone treated rats. The levels of non-enzymatic antioxidants also similar like that of control rats.
3.6. Effect of SB on enzymatic antioxidants

The activities of enzymatic antioxidants such as SOD, CAT, GPx, GR, and G6PD in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem were illustrated in the table 3 and table 4. Arsenic treated rats significantly (p<0.05) reduced the activities of enzymatic antioxidants when compared with control rats whereas the pre-administration of SB in as treated rats significantly (p<0.05) increased the activities of enzymatic antioxidants when compared with arsenic alone treated rats. The activities of enzymatic antioxidants also similar like that of control rats.

Table 3: Effect of Silibinin on the Activities of SOD, CAT and Gpx in the Brain of Control and Experimental Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Arsenic + Silibinin</th>
<th>Silibinin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>5.32±0.28</td>
<td>3.41±0.24</td>
<td>4.02±0.32</td>
<td>5.48±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>4.98±0.20</td>
<td>2.78±0.25</td>
<td>3.54±0.24</td>
<td>5.01±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>5.22±0.16</td>
<td>3.24±0.14</td>
<td>4.04±0.19</td>
<td>5.38±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>4.64±0.12</td>
<td>2.63±0.14</td>
<td>3.04±0.15</td>
<td>4.85±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>4.22±0.15</td>
<td>2.13±0.18</td>
<td>3.32±0.15</td>
<td>4.43±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>6.42±0.38</td>
<td>3.21±0.34</td>
<td>4.73±0.33</td>
<td>6.61±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>4.34±0.24</td>
<td>2.12±0.34</td>
<td>3.18±0.23</td>
<td>4.51±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>2.98±0.16</td>
<td>1.01±0.17</td>
<td>1.92±0.18</td>
<td>3.24±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>8.02±0.44</td>
<td>4.48±0.48</td>
<td>6.12±0.52</td>
<td>8.23±0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>1.14±0.09</td>
<td>0.58±0.08</td>
<td>0.82±0.02</td>
<td>1.32±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>6.09±0.21</td>
<td>3.51±0.20</td>
<td>4.59±0.21</td>
<td>6.71±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>5.98±0.20</td>
<td>3.12±0.20</td>
<td>4.32±0.16</td>
<td>5.54±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Values are mean ± SD for 6 rats in each group; a, b, c and d) Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b, c and d) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

Values are mean ± SD for 6 rats in each group; a, b, c, and d) Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b, c, and d) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

3.7. Effect of SB on arsenic concentration

Figure 11 shows the concentration of as in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem of control and as treated rats. Accumulation of as significantly (p<0.05) increased in various regions of brain such as cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocampus and brain stem when compared with control rats. The pre-administration of SB significantly (p<0.05) reduced the accumulation of as in arsenic treated rats when compared with as alone treated rats. There is no significant (p<0.05) changes in the accumulation of As in SB alone rats when compared with control rats.

3.8. Histopathological changes in brain

Figure 12 illustrate the histopathological assessment of brain tissue of control and experimental animals. Arsenic intoxicated rats exhibited marked gliosis, nuclear pycnosis, spongiform necrosis and lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrates (Figure 12B and Figure 12C) as against normal architecture shown by the brain of vehicle (Figure 12A) and silibinin control rats (Figure 12E). Treatment with silibinin prior to the arsenic intoxication (Figure 12D) reduced the incidence of these pathological changes in the brain tissue and showed almost normal architecture similar to that of the untreated control.

Values are mean ± SD for 6 rats in each group; a, b, c, and d) Values are Not Sharing a Common Superscript Letter (a, b, c, and d) Differ Significantly at P<0.05 (DMRT).

G6PD were expressed as nmol of NADPH oxidized/min/mg protein.

Table 4: Effect of Silibinin on the Activities of GST, GR and G6PD in the Brain of Control and Experimental Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Arsenic</th>
<th>Arsenic + Silibinin</th>
<th>Silibinin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>2.41±0.14</td>
<td>1.73±0.13</td>
<td>2.02±0.18</td>
<td>2.63±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striatum</td>
<td>1.86±0.08</td>
<td>0.97±0.07</td>
<td>1.39±0.06</td>
<td>2.04±0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

The present study evaluated the effects of silibinin on arsenic-induced oxidative stress in rat brain. The central nervous system may be one of the important target sites for arsenic to exert its toxicological effects (Sinha et al., 2008a). At the same time, the brain compared to lung, liver and other organs, contains relatively low levels of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants and high amounts of peroxidizable unsaturated lipids, rendering it more vulnerable to oxidative stress compared to other tissue (Bondy, 1997). Increasing evidences suggested that, excessive production of free radicals in brain and the imbalance between oxidative species and antioxidant defenses are related to the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases (Halliwell, 2006).

AChE is one of the most essential enzymes needed for the proper functioning of the nervous system and muscle contraction in brain. The inhibition of AChE activity in as toxicity due to the free radical generation and oxidative stress induced ill effects were already reported by Bharti et al., (2012). The data obtained from this study clearly show that as significantly decreased the activity of AChE in plasma of rats. In the present study pre administration of SB in as intoxicated rats significantly elevated the activity of AChE due to its neuroprotective effect (Wang et al., 2002).

Arsenic induced ROS play a notable damage in regional brain lipid profiles (Haider and Najar, 2008). Furthermore in the present investigation As intoxicated rats significantly decreased the total lipids and cholesterol in cortex, striatum, cerebellum, hippocam-
pus and brain stem whereas As also increased the Phospholipids, Gangliosides and Cerebrosides. Our results remarkably correlated with the earlier finding of Haider and Najar, (2008). Preadministration of SB modulates the As induced oxidative damage in regional brain lipid profiles. This could be due to the ability of sibilin to protect the SH groups from the oxidative damage through the inhibition of peroxidation of lipids and stabilizes the membrane. In addition SB has a potential protective role in the impairment of lipid profiles (Mccarty, 2005).

Normally the brain has moderately poor antioxidant defense (Mates, 2000) and it contains large amounts of polysaturated fatty acids and consumes 20% of the body’s oxygen (Travacio et al., 2000). In the present study, arsenic intoxication induced a high degree of ROS production, lipid peroxidation and also protein damage like increased PC content in the various region of brain tissue of the experimental rats due to the susceptibility of the brain tissue toward oxidative damage. The presence of ROS in various regions of brain in the following conditions: Cortex > Striatum > Hippocampus > Cerebellum > Brainstem. GST and GPx two antioxidant enzymes, which counteract free radical generation. GST probably suppresses lipid peroxidation and protein carbonyl contents. SB probably suppresses lipid peroxidation and protein carbonyl contents through different chemical mechanisms, including free radical quenching, electron transfer, radical addition, or radical recombination due to its three hydroxyl groups at 3', 5' and 7th positions (Rajnarayana et al., 2001;Basiglio et al., 2009). Interestingly, the suppression of lipid peroxidation also interrelated with the impairment of regional brain lipid profiles to near normal levels.

Antioxidant enzymes are considered to be the first line of cellular defense against oxidative damage. SOD is an antioxidant metallo enzyme that reduces superoxide radicals to water and molecular oxygen (McCord et al., 1976). CAT is a haemoprotein, which reduces hydrogen peroxide to molecular oxygen and water (Gutteridge, 1995). Reduction in SOD activity in brain tissue of arsenic-exposed animals may be due to the enhanced production of super oxide radical anions (Yamanaoka et al., 1991). Arsenic intoxication also significantly reduced the CAT activity in the brains of experimental rats. NADH is required for the activation of CAT from its inactive form. The paucity of NADH accumulation during arsenic metabolism might decrease the catalase activity (Kirkman and Gaetani, 1984). GST and GPx two antioxidant enzymes, which counteract free radical generation. GST and GPx play principle roles in the reduction of organic hydroperoxides within membranes and lipoproteins in the presence of GSH. Decrease in GSH content and increase in the level of lipid peroxidation due to arsenic toxicity simultaneously decreased the activities of GST and GPx with a concomitant decrease in the activity of the enzyme-regenerating enzyme, glutathione reductase (GR). G6PD is an important enzyme of hexosemonophosphate (HMP) shunt. It converts one molecule of glucose-6-phosphate into 6- phosphogluco-

The natural polyphenolic antioxidant like SB has excellent scavenging efficacy of trihydroxyl groups (C-3, C-5 and C-7) in the A and B ring and one methoxy group (C-3') in the C ring of SB. SB neutralizes the ROS due to its hydroxyl and methoxy groups, by the way, of donating H-atom to the unpaired electrons. In addition, the presence of C=O in the B ring also facilitates the dismutation of induced ROS. Interestingly, the free-radical scavenging efficacy by SB also enhances the enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant status. Moreover, the prevention of lipid peroxidation by SB helps to restore the altered lipid profiles by as in the various regions of experimental rats.

5. Conclusion

From the observations, we conclude that SB has protective effects on as induced oxidative neurotoxicity in rats. The underlying mechanism is likely that SB prevents oxidative damage by scavenging free radicals generated during As metabolism thus inhibiting the peroxidation of membrane lipids and preventing subsequent leakage of soluble enzymes, facilitates the rejuvenation of antioxidant status and normal histological architecture of brain tissue. The natural polyphenolic antioxidant like SB has excellent scope to counteract as induced oxidative stress mediated neurotoxicity and dyslipidemia in exposed subjects via their occupational and environmental settings. Conflicts of interest statement The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.
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