Dry gangrene of lower limbs in a child: an adverse drug reaction to chloramphenicol
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Abstract

Drug induced vasculitis is a potentially life and limb threatening complication which can be precipitated by intake of virtually any pharmaceutical agent. It is a diagnosis of exclusion as definitive diagnostic and laboratory criteria have not been defined. Withdrawal of the offending agent is the definitive therapy but use of antithrombotic, vasodilatory and anti-inflammatory agents has been proven to be beneficial. We report a case of dry gangrene of bilateral lower limbs and digits of the upper limb due to DIV secondary to the intake of chloramphenicol in a child diagnosed with typhoid fever.
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1. Introduction

An adverse drug reaction is defined as an appreciably harmful or unpleasant reaction, resulting from an intervention related to the use of a medicinal product, which predicts hazard from future administration and warrants prevention or specific treatment, or use of a medicinal product.

2. Case report

A 10 year old male child weighing 15 kg presented to the paediatric surgical outpatient department with dry gangrene of bilateral lower limbs (Figure 1). Discoloration was first noticed in the digits of the lower limbs three days after commencement of chloramphenicol (25 mg/kg/day in four divided doses) advised for treatment of typhoid fever by a private practitioner. Presentation to the hospital was delayed as the child lived in a remote village and thus the antibiotic had been consumed for a total duration of eight days i.e. 5 days after start of discoloration of toes and now it also involved the digits of upper limbs. A presumptive diagnosis of medium vessel vasculitis was made and the antibiotic was discontinued. The patient was afebrile and there was no documentation supporting a diagnosis of typhoid fever and thus no therapy was initiated for the same. He had no prior history of any systemic illness and his birth history and perinatal history were also normal. Routine laboratory investigations were done which were all negative except for mild anemia which was probably nutritional. He tested negative for anti-nutrophilic cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) and had normal levels of C reactive protein (CRP). Anterior tibial, posterior tibial and dorsalis pedis arteries were not palpable on both the sides. Doppler confirmed absence of flow.

He was started on low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin 1mg/kg twice daily) but there was no improvement and he was scheduled for bilateral below knee amputation on the 12th day.

3. Discussion

Vasculitis followed by dry gangrene secondary to use of chloramphenicol was the most probable etiology in our patient. “Vasculitis” is a term used for a group of disorders characterised by inflammation of the blood vessels (Jennette et al 1994). It can be further classified by the underlying etiology, location, type and size of the affected blood vessel. Apart from infectious etiologies (e.g. syphilitic aortitis) in which a clear cut diagnosis can be made majority of vasculitis are immune mediated and the trigger is often difficult to identify.

Drug induced vasculitis (DIV) accounts for 3% of vasculitis (Doyle et al 2003). Distinction of drug induced and idiopathic small and medium vessel vasculitis may be difficult but of paramount importance as withdrawal of the offending drug is the sole management for the former whereas immunosuppressive therapy, anti-inflammatory drugs and sometimes plasmapheresis may be needed in the latter. Drugs of almost every class can lead to vasculitis; antibiotics (cephalosporins, penicillin, nitrocycline, fluoroquinolones, gentamicin, tetracycline, vancomycin), antivirals, antithyroid (carbimazole, propylthiouracil), anti-TNF α agents (infliximab, etanercept), psychoactive agents (clozapine,thioridazine), phenytoin, allopurinol, anticoagulants, analgesics, cardiovascular agents, antidiabetics, antineoplastics, etc.(Radic et al 2012). Due to the absence of reliable confirmatory tests DIV is often considered a diagnosis of exclusion.

Branka Bonaci et al highlighted the following differences between drug induced vasculitis (DIV) and idiopathic vasculitis (IV):

[Further discussion on the differences between DIV and IV vasculitis]
a) Cutaneous vasculitis is more common in patients with DIV (63%). Only 25% of patients with IV have cutaneous involvement.

b) Renal vasculitis is more common in IV (75%). Only 19% of patients with DIV have renal involvement.

c) Patients with DIV are mostly positive for myeloperoxidase ANCA, antineutrophil antibody (ANAs), antihistone and anticardiolipin antibodies and high levels of IgM and low C4 levels may be present. Patients with IV test negative for ANAs, antihistone and anticardiolipin antibodies and have normal C4 levels.

The contrasting feature in our patient was that he tested negative for ANCA which is not a characteristic of DIV as described by previous studies. (Bonaci et al 2005, Herlin et al 2002). Most of the studies on DIV have been conducted on antithyroid drugs and this may be one of the reasons for the discrepancy (Herlin et al 2002).

Drug induced vasculitis and idiopathic SLE are difficult to differentiate clinically. Anti dsDNA antibodies and immune complexes are present in SLE. Small vessel vasculitis (Hypersensitivity vasculitis or cutaneous leukocytoclastic vasculitis) is caused by drug hypersensitivity reactions and numerous other pathologies namely collagen vascular disorders (rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren’s syndrome, SLE), inflammatory bowel diseases, infections, henoch schonlein purpura, etc. Diagnosis of hypersensitivity vasculitis is made on the basis of criteria defined by American college of Rheumatology (Calabrese et al 1990). (Table 1).

Haber et al has reported DIV in patients ranging from 2 days to 9 years after exposure to offending drug. [8]

### Table 1: American College of Rheumatology Criteria for Hypersensitivity Vasculitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 16 years at disease onset</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication at disease onset as precipitating factor</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpable purpura</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maculopapular rash</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin biopsy with granulocytes around an arteriole or venule</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis is made when &gt; 3 criteria are present (sensitivity 71%; specificity 84%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIV may have dermatologic involvement in the form of palpable purpura, maculopapular rash, haemorrhagic blisters, pustules or erosions. The lesions characteristically affect the lower limb and may be associated with systemic features like fever, malaise, arthralgia, etc. Involvement of kidneys leads to glomerular vasculitis or end stage kidney disease whereas involvement of lungs leads to haemorrhagic syndrome. DIV secondary to levafoxacin is characterised by purpura affecting external ears, nose and cheeks whereas vasculitis secondary to gencitabine is characterised by extensive necrosis in muscle and gastrointestinal tract. (Tsai et al 2013, Eyer et al 2014).

Management of DIV is withdrawal of the offending agent. Anti-coagulant and anti-inflammatory properties of low-molecular weight heparin limit further extension of vascular thrombosis in patients with critical ischemia (Harada et al 2011). Immunosuppressive therapy is administered in cases with vital organ involvement. Use of synthetic analogues of prostacyclin like epoprostenol (Iloprost) is advocated in patients with critical peripheral ischemia (CPI) secondary to autoimmune rheumatic disease (ARD). Therapeutic advantage can be explained on the basis of vasodilatory, anti thrombotic, fibrinolytic and anti-inflammatory action. (Jeffery et al 2008). B-cell depletion therapy with rituximab has also been proposed in patients with severe ischaemia not responding to epoprostenol. (Rubenstein et al 2006).

Quinolones or third-generation cephalosporins are first line agents for management of typhoid fever. But combination of chloramphenicol and gentamicin or cefotaxime and amikacin has been used successfully in patients resistant to quinolones (Das 2000). Bone marrow suppression ( reversible with cessation of therapy), chloramphenicol induced aplastic anaemia (CIAA), Grey baby syndrome are some of the unique adverse effects reported with the use of this drug (Bali 2004).

### 4. Conclusion

High index of suspicion is needed to detect a DIV. Withdrawal of the offending agent is the only definitive management. Monitoring of ANCA, ANAs and anticardiolipin antibodies is advisable in serologically positive patients. This is the first case report of ANCA negative DIV secondary to oral intake of chloramphenicol
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