**Obstetric Admissions To The Critical Care Unit: A One Year Experience At A Tertiary Care Referral Centre Of The Developing Country**

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Pregnancy and delivery can involve complications that require critical care facilities. A critically ill obstetric patient is a patient who develops acute but potentially reversible life threatening complication during pregnancy or labour or puerperium for which she needs intensive monitoring, therapy and or life support system .Critically ill obstetric patients are usually young and initially healthy ,so prognosis should hopefully be better on receiving timely intensive care facilities, as compared to other patients admitted to a critical care unit. This is strengthened by a study of 58 obstetric patients showing an observed mortality rate significantly lower than the expected mortality rate calculated by APACHE II score. 1Nevertheless, potential for life threatening complications cannot be ignored, and despite therapeutic advances of last few decades, maternal mortality and morbidity continue to occur. Moreover, their management is complex due to the physiological changes of pregnancy and interventional dilemma due to concerns of fetal well being ,thereby emphasising the need for multidisciplinary approach ,which is, primarily, a close collaboration between intensivist and obstetrician. In developed countries obstetric patients account only for small proportion (0.1-0.9%) of ICU admissions, where as this figure rises to 8.5% in developing countries.2,3 Usually, critically ill obstetric patients are cared for in the general intensive care unit (ICU); an obstetric ICU is only available in a small number of medical centers in developed countries. Only few studies have been published concerning ICU admissions of obstetric patients in developing world, hence the present study was conducted to evaluate the obstetric admissions to CCU in the settings of tertiary referral hospital with an attempt to identify the risk factors influencing maternal outcome, indications of admissions and fetomaternal outcomes.

**1.1.Aims &Objectives**

To assess the indications for Critical Care Unit transfer of obstetric and post partum cases and describe the profile and feto maternal outcomes of such patients.

1. **METHODS**

One year prospective analysis of obstetric and post partum admissions was conducted in the the Critical Care Unit attached to Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata,from 1st May 2013 to 30th April2014**.**Consent was signed through patient or attendant before including them in the study. Detailed clinical history and examination was taken and entered into a suitable proforma. The data retrieved for analysis contained age, parity, gestational age, booking status, area of residence, mode of delivery, indication for CCU admission, intervention in CCU, length of stay and outcome. These patients were followed till discharged from hospital or till death which ever occured first.

 **2.1.Statistical analysis :**Data collected was analysed using mean, median, p values, frequency, percentages, paired and unpaired t test, test for equality of proportions using SPSS version 20.P value<0.05 taken as significant.

1. **RESULTS**

A total of 110 obstetric patients were admitted to CCU during this period. We did analysis of 50 such cases. There were a total of 12,866 admissions for obstetric reasons in our hospital. These admissions included patients who were admitted to the hospital for antenatal complications, deliveries, abortion and its complications, ectopic pregnancy, obstetric haemorrhage and complications of the puerperium. 0.9% (n=110) of the total obstetric patients admitted to the hospital during the one year period, required critical care services and obstetric cases represented 16.67% (n=110) of total admissions to CCU. The mean age of critically ill patients in our study is 25.4 years.

 **Table 1: Demographic Characteristics According To Maternal Outcome**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CHARACTERISTIC FEATURE** |  | **TOTAL** | **SURVIVOR** | **NON SURVIVOR** | **P VALUE** |
| **NO OF PATIENTS** | 50 | 34 | 16 |  |
| **AGE (YEARS)** | <=20 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0.324 |
| 21-25 | 17 | 11 | 6 |
| 26-30 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| >30 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| **BACKGROUND** | Urban | 30 | 22 | 8 | 0.322 |
| Rural | 20 | 12 | 8 |
| **CASTE** | Hindu | 35 | 23 | 12 | 0.597 |
| Muslim | 15 | 11 | 4 |
| **PARITY** | P0 | 21 | 16 | 5 | 0.134 |
| P1 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| P2 | 7 | 6 | 1 |
| P3 or more | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| **PRENATAL CARE**  | >=2visits | 35 | 26 | 9 | 0.5 |
| <=1visit | 15 | 8 | 7 |
|  |
| **REGISTRATION STATUS** | Registered | 19 | 14 | 5 | 0.146 |
| Referred | 31 | 20 | 11 |

As inferred from this table,no demographic data was found to be a risk factor for maternal mortality (P>0.05).42% were primigravida, 40% were primipara, 14% were second para, and 4% were multipara ,but primiparous group showed the maximum morality of 50%(10 out of 20 patients) , next being the primigravid group with a mortality rate of 23.8%(5 out of 21)whereas females with two or more pregnancies showed a steep decline in mortality to as low as 14.3%(1 out of 7) to 0% (0 out of 2 patients)in those with three or more pregnancies. 62%(n=31) of patients requiring obstetric critical care were referrals from peripheral units to our tertiary care centre, with 22%(n=11), 12%(n=6), 12%(n=6), 12%(n=6), 4%(n=2) of them being referred from subdivisional units or community health centre or State General hospital, primary health centres, district hospitals, private hospitals and private clinics respectively.

In our study antepartum admissions (n=42, 84%) were more as compared to postpartum admissions (n=8, 16%) .

**Table 2: Interventions Done Before CCU Transfer**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TYPE OF INTERVENTION** | **NO OF CASES** |
| 1.Hysterectomy | 8 |
| 2.BIIAL | 2 |
| 3.BIIAL+Hysterectomy | 1 |
| 4.Hysterotomy | 1 |
| 5.MRP | 1 |
| 6.Laparotomy | 4 |
| 7.Balloon Tamponade Following D&E | 1 |
| 8.Only VD  | 6 |
| 9.Only LSCS | 24 |
| 10.Died without operative intervention | 2 |
| TOTAL | 50 |

Table 2 shows that 16% (8 out of 50 patients) underwent hysterectomy, 4% bilateral internal iliac artery ligation and 2% both of these procedures before transfer to CCU.LSCS was the most common operative intervention performed(n=24,48%).

**Table 3: Primary Diagnosis On Admission**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COMPLICATION** | **PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS** | **TOTAL** | **SURVIVORS** | **NON SURVIVORS** | **MORTALITY%** |
| **OBSTETRIC (n=37)** | **Major haemorrhage** | 18 | 12 | 6 | 33.33% |
| 1.APH | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100% |
| 2.PPH | 8 | 6 | 2 | 25% |
| 3.Ruptured ectopic | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33% |
|
| 4.Haemoperitoneum following LSCS  |   |   |   |   |
| a.Broad ligament hematoma | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| b.Rectus sheath hematoma | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| 5.Post D&E | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50% |
| **HDP** | 17 | 12 | 5 | 29.40% |
| 1.Pre-eclampsia | 6 | 5 | 1 | 17% |
| 2.Eclampsia | 11 | 7 | 4 | 36% |
|
| **Sepsis** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| **GTN** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| **NON-OBSTETRIC****(n=13)** | **Anaesthesia complication** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| **Liver disorders** | 3 | 1 | 2 | 66.67% |
|
| **Respiratory failure** | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50% |
| **Cardiac disease** | 7 | 5 | 2 | 28.50% |
|

As is evident from this table obstetric and non obstetric complications accounted for 74% (n=37) and 26% (n=13) of admissions to critical care facilities, with major haemorrhage(n=18,36%) and HDP(n=17,34%) being the most frequent causes.

**Figure1:** The above figure illustrates that 62% of patients required haemodynamic support, 54% required ventilatory support, 44% required inotropic support, 38% required high flow oxygen support,34% and 24% required antihypertensives and anticonvulsant therapy respectively. Dialysis was required in 2% of patients.2% required evacuation of products of conception and hematoma respectively.

**Table 4: Blood Product Requirement**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **TYPE OF PRODUCT** | **NO.** | **PERCENTAGE** |
| No transfusion | 19 | 38% |
| 1-2 prbc/ wb | 14 | 28% |
| 3-6prbc/ wb | 11 | 22% |
| >6prbc/wb |  4 | 8% |
| FFP | 19 | 38% |
| Platelet | 1 | 2% |

Out of the 31 patients who received haemodynamic support, 28% of them required 1-2 prbc or whole blood and only 8% required more than 6 prbc or whole blood. 38% required FFP where as only 2% required platelet transfusion.

**Table5: Length Of CCU Stay & Maternal Outcome**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LOS** | **TOTAL** | **SURVIVORS** | **NON SURVIVORS** | **MORTALITY%** |
| 1-3days | 30 | 21 | 9 | 30% |
| 4-7days | 18 | 12 | 6 | 33% |
| >7 days | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50% |
| Total | 50 | 34 | 16 |  |

The above table shows that 60% of patients stayed in the CCU for 1-3 days where as only 4% stayed for more than 7 days, with mortality being highest in the latter group (50%).

**Figure2**

Out of 45 patients, 30 had live births, 10 had IUFD and remaining five had undergone spontaneous abortion or medical termination of pregnancy. In our study 68% of patients who required critical care facilities improved where as 32% succumbed to death.

**Figure 3**

MODS (31.25%) and hypovolemic shock (18.75%) were the most common causes of maternal death in our study.

**4. DISCUSSION**

In our study, we found that 0.9% (n=110) of the total obstetric patients admitted to the hospital during the one year period, required critical care services which is comparable to study done by Wakankar in India.4Obstetric cases represented 16.67% (n=110) of total admissions to our CCU which is comparable to 14.7% of a Nigerian study.5 Obstetric admission rate to ICU was 0.75% in a study done by Aldawood et alin Saudi Arabia.6 These variations might be due to differences in defining major morbidity criteria for CCU admission and availability of an alternative facility for intermediate care. The relatively high admission rate in our study might be due to the lack of a HDU, and hence patients not suitable for ward observation were transferred to the CCU. Mean age of patients in our study was 25.4 years which is comparable to study conducted by Ghike S et al. 7

Karnad et al2 reported that 46.8% of patients were primigravidas, which is comparable to 42% (n=21) of our study. 62 %( 31 out of 50 patients) requiring obstetric critical care were referrals from peripheral units to our tertiary care centre which is similar to 61.8% reported by Bhadade.8 There was no significant association of any of the above demographic factors with mortality, similar to results obtained by Gupta S.9However , Munnur U10 showed that factors that were significantly associated with higher mortality rate were maternal age, absence of prenatal care, transfer to ICU >24 hrs after onset of the acute problem, and severity of illness at the time of admission as assessed by the APACHE II score where as number of previous pregnancies, parturient status (antepartum or postpartum) at the time of admission to ICU, gestational age, and emergency obstetric surgery did not influence outcome.

In our study, maximum number of obstetric admissions occurred during the antepartum period, with maximum admissions in the 3rd trimester (n=33, 66%), followed by the postpartum period (n=8, 16%). Only 8% (n=4) admissions were in the 1st or 2ndtrimester.Similar results were obtained in a study done by Yuel et al at CMC, Ludhiana.11Mean gestational age at the time of admission to hospital of antepartum patients was 34.2+6 weeks. These aspects of gestational age highlight the maximum occurrence of complications in the third trimester and postpartum period and thus the importance of close supervision of patients during these periods.

In our study, 16% (8 out of 50 patients) underwent hysterectomy, 4% bilateral internal iliac artery ligation and 2% both of these procedures before transfer to CCU.

Four of these were caesarean hysterectomies for intractable uterine haemorrhage due to atony; one was due to a ruptured interstitial ectopic pregnancy, one following broad ligament hematoma during LSCS, one due to torrential haemorrhage following D and E, and last one due to uterine perforation in choriocarcinoma.

As is evident from table 6% (3 out of 50 patients) underwent bilateral internal iliac artery ligation, indications being severe PPH along with hysterectomy, intractable haemorrhage from genital tract trauma following vaginal delivery and broad ligament hematoma following LSCS. In our study indications for laparotomy were uterine perforation following D and E, re operation for haemostasis in rectus sheath hematoma following LSCS, and salpingectomy (n=2) in remaining cases for ruptured tubal pregnancies. Manual removal of placenta was done under general anaesthesia for retained placenta following vaginal delivery of stillborn baby in one of the patients. One week later due to persistent bleeding per vaginum and ultrasound suggestive of retained products, this patient underwent dilatation and evacuation of retained products of conception. Only one patient with a previous uterine scar, required balloon tamponade, following dilatation and evacuation due to excessive haemorrhage during the procedure but later she succumbed to death probably due to delayed diagnosis of caesarean scar pregnancy.

The hysterotomy was performed at 24 weeks period of gestation, indication being chronic hypertension superimposed on severe pre eclampsia with signs of impending eclampsia in a patient with previous two LSCS.

The undelivered patients died with foetus in utero. Foetuses were delivered by hysterotomy and post mortem caesarean section respectively. The post mortem hysterotomy was performed at 16 weeks of gestation in a 35 year old G3P1L1A1 with anaemia and Hepatitis B associated with decompensated liver failure where as post mortem caesarean section was performed at 35 weeks of gestation in a primigravida with twin pregnancy with history of treatment for primary infertility who presented to us with cardiac failure and succumbed to death eventually. When cardiac arrest occurs in late pregnancy, cardio-pulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is difficult .The airway should be secured without delay, and the patient should be positioned to ensure left lateral displacement of the uterus. Unfortunately, this results in less effective CPR. A decision regarding Caesarean delivery should be made immediately. Current data suggest that this should be performed within 5 minutes, to ensure viability of the foetus.12

Obstetric and non obstetric patients contributed to 74% (n=37) and 26% (n=13) of total admissions to CCU. This is similar to study done by Bhatt et al.13The leading reason for admission in the obstetric group was major haemorrhage (n=18,36%) in antepartum, postpartum, post abortal , post ectopic and post caesarean section patients, with 8 out of 18 cases being due to post partum haemorrhage. Major causes of PPH were related to uterine atony (6), retained placenta following vaginal stillbirth (1), perineal trauma (1).Uterine atony was noted in 4 cases and 2 cases following LSCS and Vaginal Delivery respectively. All 4 cases of PPH following LSCS were seen in post caesarean pregnancies with one having scar rupture and another having placenta acreta. Out of 2 cases of PPH following vaginal delivery, two were following stillbirths. It is well known that IUFD is a risk factor for PPH.

HDP formed the second largest group in this category as 34% (n=17) required critical care and 11 of these admissions were due to eclampsia. Although it was the second most common reason for admissions of the obstetric patients to the CCU, maternal mortality rate from this complication (36%) was higher than that due to obstetric haemorrhage (33.33%). The higher maternal morbidity and mortality due to eclampsia in developing countries has been ascribed to late referral, delay in hospitalization, late transportation, unbooked status of patients and multiple seizures prior to admission. This is similar to study conducted in Nigeria.5Main non obstetric indication for CCU admission was cardiovascular disorder (n=7) followed by hepatic disorders (n=3), respiratory disorders (n=2), anaesthetic complication (n=1). Cardiovascular problems included valvular heart disease (n=5), acute heart failure (n=1) and peripartum cardiomyopathy (n=1) similar to results obtained by Bhatt et al.13Among indirect causes, cardiac and liver failure was most common causes of death, accounting for 25% of all deaths**.** None of the diagnosis was found to be a significant risk factor for maternal mortality (P>0.05). Some of the associated medical conditions included nutritional anaemia (n=10, 20%,), mitral valve disease (n=5,10%) ,hypothyroid (n=4,8%),asthma(n=3,6%),tuberculosis(n=1,2%),SLE(n=1,2%),chronic hypertension(n=1,2%) similar to results obtained by Gupta S.9

Among CCU interventions, mechanical ventilation , transfusion of blood and blood products , ionotropes , high flow oxygen ,anti-hypertensives , anticonvulsants ,dialysis, evacuation of hematoma and retained products of conception was required in 54%(n=27), 62%(n=31),44%(n=22),38%(n=19%),34%(n=17),24%(n=12),4%(n=2),2%(n=1),2%(n=1) respectively similar to results shown by Bhatt et al13 and Gupta S.9 Leung et al14 and Lapinsky et al*.*15 in their studies showed that mechanical ventilation was required in 12-55% of the obstetric patients admitted to an ICU. However, during the course of treatment none of these interventions was not found to be a significant risk factor for mortality (P>0.05) similar to results of Gupta S.9

We found that as the duration of ventilation increased, the rate of mortality increased being 26.31% (5 out of 19)mortality in those who were on ventilator for 1-3days to80%(4 out of 5) in those for 4-6days to 100% (o out of 3)in those requiring mechanical ventilation for 7 or more days with significant difference in mortality for those requiring ventilation for more than seven days (p<0.001).This may be due to increased ventilator associated infections with increasing duration of mechanical support. Only one tracheostomy was performed in a patient who was on ventilator for 10 days. She had pre existing SLE and eventually succumbed to death. Bhatt et al 13 and Bhadade et al8 in their studyalso reported one tracheostomy amongst 65 and 122 patients respectively.

Blood products given included packed cells or whole blood (mean3.30 units), and fresh frozen plasma (mean 3.05 units). Vivian et al 16 in Hong Kong reported that 54% of their patients required blood transfusion. In view of the high mortality associated with anaemia, as studied by Munnur et al.10 and Karnad et al2 prevention and prompt treatment of the same would help in cutting short the stay and mortality of patients in the critical care unit. In addition to blood, 38% (n=19) required transfusion of FFP. Bhadade8 reported that 54% of patients required FFP. This difference might be due to the fact that in their study37 % were admitted with Hepatits as compared to only 6% with Hepatitis in our study. Thus, immediate and ample availability of blood and blood components in a critical care unit help in reducing the mortality of obstetrical emergencies. Out of the 31 patients who received blood and blood product transfusion, 2 developed transfusion related acute lung injury (TRALI).The above mentioned patients had received 2 units of whole blood each and 3 and 2 units of packed red blood cells respectively. One of them had pre existing dengue fever.

We found that 60% (n=30) stayed for less than 4 days in the CCU where as only 4% (n=2) stayed for more than 7 days, with mean length of stay being 3.4 days similar to Gupta S.9 Also, mortality rate was 31.25% in patients staying for less than or equal to 7 days of admission as compared to 50% for those staying more than a week in the CCU. In our study, the mean duration of stay in the CCU for survivors was 3.35 days whereas for non survivors it was 3. 6 days which was not significantly different.Gupta S9 found that the mean duration of stay in the ICU was significantly longer in survivors as compared to non-survivor, however mean length of survival in both studies are comparable.

In our study, out of 45 patients, 30 had live births, 10 had IUFD and remaining five had undergone spontaneous abortion or medical termination of pregnancy. Out of 30 live births, 5 had expired within three days of delivery. 25.6% of live births were low birth weight (<2.5kg).Mean birth weight was2.56kg.

In our study mortality was 32% (n=16) with 31.25 % (n=5 ) and 68.75% (n=11 ) of them being registered and referred cases respectively similar to results obtained by Bhatt P et al.13There was no significant difference in mortality between these two groups. Lapinsky et al15 reported mortality rates of critically ill obstetric patients admitted to the CCU in the range from 0 to 6% where as mortality rates in Indian series were 30-34%8, 13 which coincides with mortality rate of our study. Our hospital being a tertiary referral centre, majority of the patients are referred in advanced stage of disease, which might explain the higher mortality seen in our patients. The majority of our patients were admitted postoperatively, which could have adversely affected the outcome in some cases. Pre-eclamptic or eclamptic patients might benefit from preoperative admission to the CCU for medical conditions optimization before anaesthesia and surgery, instead of nursing them in the wards. There is also the need for co-operation between the obstetrician and anaesthetist in the management of these high-risk patients. The availability of prenatal care may be an important factor in successful outcome in critically ill obstetric patients.

Multi-organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) (n=5, 31.2%) was found to be the most common cause of maternal mortality, while other causes were hypovolemic shock (n=3, 18.75%) and septic shock syndrome ,liver failure and ICH(n=2, 12.5%) similar to results obtained by Bhatt et al13and Shaikh et al in Pakistan.17 Optimum care of circulation, respiration at an early stage can clearly minimize the incidence of multiorgan failure and late mortality in all critically ill obstetric patients. The intracerebral haemorrhage can be prevented by controlling the hypertension.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study highlights the large number of obstetric patients who require intensive care in developing country like India and also the relatively high maternal mortality rate. This may be because of the large number of deliveries taking place annually and also the poor availability and lack of prenatal check-ups .Moreover dedicated obstetric HDU in tertiary hospitals can ensure there is no delay in patient management and intensive care can be instituted at the earliest.

Our study had several limitations: it was conducted in a single centre, the sample size was small, APACHE score for severity of illness was not calculated and ICU mortality rate should be interpreted only after taking into account the severity of illness. There is ample scope for further elaborate study in this field and larger endeavours with large sample size will probably reveal more important facts regarding obstetric critical care.
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