Pattern of interaction and relationships between family members
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Abstract

Studying a family as a unit to describe the relationships in will allow the researcher to investigate the family as the primary unit and as a whole, which means that the family, the individuals as family members and their relationship is in the foreground. The data derived using this approach comes from the family unit functioning as a whole and does not reflect the individual members’ contributions “solely” or in a combined way. Such an approach allows for more global understanding of the issues surrounding family relationships as health and social data can be collected from different aspects and dimensions within the family as a unit and it will add more significant data. Even though studying a family as a unit eliminates the non-independence issue it does raise concerns about ignoring many potentially important details of intra-family relationships. In conclusion, the premise of studying the family as a unit (family as the unit of analysis) is that the researcher can gain greater insight into families in general and a family’s relationships in particular.
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It is reported in much of the literature that the family is greater than or “different from” the sum of its parts (Gilliss & Davis, 1992; Zarnaghash, 2011). A description of the family as a unit has evolved over the time to emphasize the fact that “it is a complex unit with unique attributes of its own but containing component parts that are significant as individual units, both independently and collectively” (Gilliss & Davis, 1992; Rose, 2012). Such a description emphasizes the importance of the family to its individuals and vice versa, giving more understanding about the family as a unit when researchers also study its parts. Furthermore, the family has been identified as an entity that as a unit acts and reacts to events (Rose, 2012). It was believed that studying the family as a unit means studying the family separately from its parts. There is a conceptual confusion in the literature regarding what constitutes the family as a unit and the unit of analysis at the same time (Bussing et al., 2015; Gilliss & Davis, 1992) and this makes it difficult to develop the study design, adding further complexity to studying the family as a unit.

Studying a family as a unit to describe the relationships in will allow the researcher to investigate the family as the primary unit and as a whole, which means that the family, the individuals as family members and their relationship is in the foreground (Bussing et al., 2015; Kendall, Leo, Perrin, & Hatton, 2005). The data derived using this approach comes from the family unit functioning as a whole and does not reflect the individual members’ contributions “solely” or in a combined way (Rose, 2012). Such an approach allows for more global understanding of the issues surrounding family relationships as data can be collected from different aspects and dimensions within the family as a unit (Knafl, Darney, Gallo, & Angst, 2010) and it will add more significant data. Even though studying a family as a unit eliminates the non-independence issue it does raise concerns about ignoring many potentially important details of intra-family relationships. In conclusion, the premise of studying the family as a unit (family as the unit of analysis) is that the researcher can gain greater insight into families in general and a family’s relationships in particular.

Advantages of using theoretical frameworks that focus on the family as a unit include viewing the family as a whole more than each member separately (Gilliss & Davis, 1992; Neabel, Fothergill-Bourbonnais, & Dunning, 2000). Such models allow the researcher to view the “dynamic individual” the “dynamic unit” and their interrelatedness, as the family components are dependent on each other. Furthermore, studying the family as a unit requires integrating more than one theoretical model to conceptualize the problem at a family level (ALBashtawy, 2015; ALBashtawy, 2014; ALBashtawy et al., 2015; Taylor & de Vocht, 2011; Theule, Wiener, Tannock, & Jenkins, 2013), and this indeed contributes to the complexities of pursuing a family as a unit. In addition, the availability of theoretical models that can be used at the family level is scarce, since the majority of existing models were developed with the individual in mind and not the family as a unit. Systems theory is one example of the models that have been used to study the family as a unit, derived from the general systems theory: the assumption of this theory is that a family is greater than and different from the sum of its parts, and individuals in the fami-
ily are interdependent and interactive (Kaakinen, Coelho, Steele, Tabacco & Hanson, 2014). Once again, using such a model is not easy when it comes to studying the family as a unit because of the multi-level approach required in this model. Research design in studying family as a unit is another huge issue, and both quantitative and qualitative research can be used. For example, using exploratory design can help in gathering more information about the family as a unit since the purpose of such design is to generate ideas, or understandings about family that are not well understood (Kaakinen et al., 2014), in this instance the "family unit" is used. In other words, it can be used to find out the important issues and variables in such a system. This design is more flexible than other research designs. On the other hand, conducting such research on a family level may impose several constraints, such as who will constitute the sample, how a representative sample is recruited, how the researcher collects the appropriate information using the appropriate tools, data analysis issues, and so forth (AlAzzam et al., 2016; AlAzzam & ALBashtawy, 2016; ALBashtawy, 2012; ALBashtawy, 2017; Michielsen et al., 2013; Theule et al., 2013; Thomas, Skelley, Wheeler, & Gonzalez, 2016).

The same limitations apply to other quantitative research designs: descriptive, correlational, experimental, and longitudinal. The advantages of using these methods are decided by the fact that the researcher will be able to capture the richness of conceptualization and insight that characterizes the family as a unit. However, the cost of implementing such studies would be vast, as would the amount of data that would be derived from the sample (Zarnaghash, 2011).

The qualitative approach allows the researcher to discover and uncover the “perceptions”, “meanings”, “understandings”, “multiple realities” and “psychosocial context” in families (Kaakinen et al., 2014; Rose, 2012; Thomas et al., 2016), which will help in generating more information about studying the family as a unit, and will provide insights into the issues and concerns surrounding the approach. The outputs of such design can then be used as a base for implementing quantitative designs to further investigate the phenomena of the family as a unit (Zarnaghash, 2011).

Researchers have to consider the effect of the research setting on the study, especially when the participants’ home is the place of collecting data. Some of the issues concern the privacy of the participants; it is essential to maintain the privacy of the family unit members, especially children and care receivers and those who are vulnerable to other family members in terms of "power differential". This issue may arise as a result of the need sometimes to interview more than one family member at the same time and in the same place in front of each other (Alhalaiaq et al. 2015; Batia & ALBashtawy, 2013; Bashayreh et al., 2013; Chen & Boore, 2009; Kaakinen et al., 2014; Khamaisheh & ALBashtawy, 2013; Khamaisheh & ALBashtawy, 2015; Qaddumi et al., 2016; Rohnay, Ahmad, Rozainee & Shahrazad, 2011; Saifan, et al., 2015; Tabweh et al., 2015).

To study the relations in families, the researcher may conduct studies that use self-reporting or observation techniques or both to collect the required data ( AlAzzam, Sulaiman & ALBashtawy, 2017; ALBashtawy et al., 2016; Ayoub et al., 2016; Gilliss & Davis, 1992; Theule et al., 2013). Self-reporting provides rich data, but the main threat of this approach is bias from the informant and the "coder"; the informant’s responses provide their own reality about the family, and bias from the coder is this who interprets and reinterprets the informant’s data through a “personal perceptual screen” (Michielsen et al., 2013; Theule et al., 2013). In terms of observation, this can be an expensive technique depending on the observation settings and the way of observation. The final aspect of studying the family as a unit is the data analysis. As already mentioned, to gain information about the relationships in the family unit, data have to come from multiple family members as a unit. However, analyzing and interpreting this variety of information in a conceptual, meaningful way in order to summarize the combined results is difficult (Cohen, Thompson, Yates, Zimmerman, & Pullen, 2015; Friedlander, Kivlighan Jr, & Shaffer, 2012; Gray, 2003; Lamont et al., 2013). There is no consensus on how to analyze this data (Friedlander et al., 2012).

In conclusion, the premise of studying the family as a unit (family as the unit of analysis) is that the researcher can gain greater insight into families in general and a family’s relationships in particular. However, several issues may arise in this process, including concern over bias if not all the members of a given family are willing to participate; such bias may come from both the informants and the coder. Furthermore, “recruitment”, “retention”, and coordination of the family as a unit can be difficult from a practical standpoint. In addition, scoring problems occur when using multiple family members as a source of data because of the fact that most instruments are designed for individual use. All these issues may affect the reliability and validity of the study, which may have an impact on the generalizability and the significance of the study results.
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