International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7 (4.10) (2018) 1100-1104

International Journal of Engineering & Technology

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJET

Research paper



Interval Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Weak Bi-Ideals of Gamma Near-Rings

K.Arulmozhi[1]*, V.Chinnadurai[2], A.Swaminathan[3]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies(VISTAS), Chennai.
[2]Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar.
[3]Department of Mathematics, Akshya College of Engineering, Chennai.
**Corresponding author E-mail: arulmozhiems@gmail.com*

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept of interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings is a generalized concept of interval valued fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ- near-rings. We also characterize some results and illustrate our examples.

Keywords: *Γ-near-rings, intuitionistic fuzzy set, fuzzy weak bi-ideals, interval valued fuzzy weak bi-ideals.*

1. Introduction

Zadeh [22] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965 and [23] generalized it also into fuzzy subsets of interval value.Atanassov [1] introduced the fuzzy sets of the intuitionist as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. The near ring was introduced by Pilz [15]. The gamma ring was introduced by Satyanarayana [16] in 1984.Tamizh chelvam et al.[17] the concept of bi-ideals of near-ring was applied to Γ-near-rings. Kim et al.[10] was proposed to the idea of fuzzy ideals of near-rings . In 1998, Fuzzy ideals in Gamma- near-rings was proposed by Jun et al.[9].The notion of fuzzy bi-ideals of near-rings was introduced by Manikantan [11] and discussed. Meenakumari et al.[13] studied the fuzzy bi-ideals in gamma -near-rings. The concept of weak bi-ideals of near-rings was introduced by Yong Uk Cho et al. [21]. Thillaigovindan at al.[18] studied the interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of semi-groups. Chinnadurai et al. [6] studied the fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near rings. Thillaigovindan et al.[19] worked on interval valued fuzzy ideals of near-rings.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [15] The algebraic system (R,+,·)is called near ring if its satisfies (R,+) is a group not necessarily abelian and (R,·) is a semigroup and distributive law:
(x+z)·y=x·y+z·y valid for all x,y,z∈R. We use the word near-ring to mean right near-ring . We denote xy instead of x·y.

Definition 2.2[16] A Γ- near-ring is a triple (M,+,Γ) where
(i) (M,+) is a group,
(ii) Γ is a nonempty set of binary operators on M such that for each α∈Γ,(M,+,α) is a near-ring,
(iii) xα(yβz)=(xαy)βz for all x,y,z∈M.

Definition 2.3[13] A Γ-near-ring M is said to be zero-symmetric if its satisfy the condition xα0 = 0 for all x∈M and α∈Γ.
Throughout this paper M denotes a zero-symmetric right Γ-near-ring with atleast two elements.

Definition 2.4[16] A subset A of a Γ-near-ring M is called a left(resp. right) ideal of M if (A,+) is a normal subgroup of (M,+), (i.e) x-y∈A for all x,y∈A and y+x-y∈A for x∈A, y∈M.
uα(x+v)-uαv∈A (resp. xαu∈A) for all x∈A, α∈Γ and u,v∈M.

Definition 2.5[16] Given two subsets A and B of M, we define AΓB={aαb|a∈A,b∈B and α∈Γ} and define another operation * of M define by AΓ*B={a γ (a'+b) - aγa' |a, a'∈A, γ∈Γ, b∈B}.

Definition 2.6[17] A subgroup B of (M, +) is called a bi-ideal of a near ring M if BΓMΓB ⊆ B.

Definition 2.7[6] A subgroup H of (M, +) is said to be a weak bi-ideal of M if HΓHΓH ⊆ H.
The characteristic function of M is denoted by **M**.

Definition 2.8[23] If X be any set. A mapping $\eta: X \to D[0,1]$ is called an i.v fuzzy subset of X, $\tilde{\eta}(x) = [\eta^-(x), \eta^+(x)]$, where $\eta^-(x)$ and $\eta^+(x)$ are fuzzy subsets of X such that
$\eta^-(x) \le \eta^+(x)$ for all x∈X

Definition 2.9[18] By an interval number $\tilde{a}$, we mean an interval $[a^-, a^+]$ such that $0 \le a^- \le a^+ \le 1$ and where a^- is the lower limits and a^+ is the upper limits of $\tilde{a}$. D[0,1] is the set of all closed subintervals of [0,1] We also identify the interval [a,a] by the number a∈[0,1]. $\tilde{a}_i = [a_i^-, a_i^+], \tilde{b}_i = [b_i^-, b_i^+] \in D[0,1], j \in \Omega$,

$$\max^1\{\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j\} = [\max\{a_j^-, b_j^-\}, \max\{a_j^+, b_j^+\}],$$
$$\min^1\{\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j\} = [\min\{a_j^-, b_j^-\}, \max\{a_j^+, b_j^+\}],$$
$$\inf^1\tilde{a}_j = [\cap_{j\in\Omega} a_j^-, \cap_{j\in\Omega} a_j^+],$$
$$\sup^1\tilde{a}_j = [\cup_{j\in\Omega} a_j^-, \cup_{j\in\Omega} a_j^+].$$

and let $\tilde{a} \le \tilde{b} \Leftrightarrow a^- \le b^-$ and $a^+ \le b^+$,
$\tilde{a} = \tilde{b} \Leftrightarrow a^- = b^-$ and $a^+ = b^+$,
$\tilde{a} < \tilde{b} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{a} \le \tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{a} \ne \tilde{b}$,
$k\tilde{a} = [ka^-, ka^+]$, whenever $0 \le k \le 1$.

Copyright © 2018 Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Definition 2.10[18] Let $\tilde{\eta}$ be an i.v fuzzy subset of X, $[t_1,t_2] \in D[0,1]$.Then $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta};[t_1,t_2]) = \{x \in X | \tilde{\eta}(x) \geq [t_1,t_2]\}$ is called upper level subset of $\tilde{\eta}$.

Definition 2.11[8,14,19] If $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are i.v fuzzy subsets of M .Now, $\tilde{\eta} \cap \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\eta} \cup \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\eta} + \tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\eta} * \tilde{\lambda}$ are fuzzy subsets of M, defined as follows.For x,y,z ∈M.

$$(\tilde{\eta} \cap \tilde{\lambda})(x) = \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(x)\}.$$
$$(\tilde{\eta} \cup \tilde{\lambda})(x) = \max^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(x)\}.$$

$$(\tilde{\eta}+\tilde{\lambda})(x) = \begin{cases} \{\sup^i_{x=y+z}\{\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\} & \text{if } x \text{ is expressible as } x=y+z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\lambda})(x) = \begin{cases} \{\sup^i_{x=y\alpha z}\{\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\} & \text{if } x \text{ is expressible as } x=y\alpha z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.12[1] An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly IFS) A in a non-empty set X is $A = \{x, (\mu_A(x), \eta_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ where the functions $\mu_A : X \to [0,1]$ and $\eta_A : X \to [0,1]$ define the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of $x \in X$ to the set A,,$0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \eta_A(x) \leq 1$,and $A = (\mu_A, \eta_A)$.

Definition 2.13[6] An i.v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\eta}$ in M is called an i.v fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of M if $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy normal divisor with respect to the addition,
$\tilde{\eta}(u\alpha(x+v)-u\alpha v) \geq \tilde{\eta}(x)$, (resp. $\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha u) \geq \tilde{\eta}(x)$ for all $x,u,v \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. The condition of definition 2.12 means that $\tilde{\eta}$ satisfies:$\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}$,
$\tilde{\eta}(y+x-y) \geq \tilde{\eta}(x)$, for all $x,y \in M$
Note that $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of M, $\tilde{\eta}(0) \geq \tilde{\eta}(x)$ for all $x \in M$, 0 is the zero element of M.

Definition 2.14 An i.v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\eta}$ of M is called an i.v fuzzy bi-ideal of M if $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}$ for all
$x,y \in \tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(z)\}$ for $x,y,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

3. I.V intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings.

Definition 3.1 An i.v fuzzy set $\tilde{\eta}$ of M is called an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M, if
$\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x),\tilde{\eta}(y)\}$,
$\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x),\tilde{\lambda}(y)\}$,
$\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x),\tilde{\eta}(y),\tilde{\eta}(z)\}$,
$\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x),\tilde{\lambda}(y),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}$ for all $x,y,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$.

Example 3.2 Let $M = \{0,a,b,c\}$be an gamma near-ring with "+" and $\Gamma = \{\gamma\}$ be a non-empty set of binary operations as shown in the following tables:

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

γ	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	a	a
b	0	0	b	b
c	a	a	c	c

$\tilde{\eta}(0) = [0.7,0.8], \tilde{\eta}(a) = [0.5,0.6]$, $\tilde{\eta}(b) = \tilde{\eta}(c) = [0.1,0.2]$ and $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = [0.1,0.2], \tilde{\lambda}(a) = [0.3,0.4]$
$\tilde{\lambda}(b) = \tilde{\lambda}(c) = [0.7,0.8]$ Then $B = (\tilde{\eta}_B, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.

Theorem 3.3 Let $B = (\tilde{\eta}_B, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ be an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy subgroup of M.Prove that$B$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M iff$\tilde{\eta} * \tilde{\eta} * \tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} * \tilde{\lambda} * \tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}$.

Proof. *Let* $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M and $x,y,z,y_1,y_2 \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $x = y\alpha z$ and $y = y_1\beta y_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta})(x) &= \sup^i_{x=y\alpha z}\{\min^i\{(\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta})(y),\tilde{\eta}(z)\}\} \\ &= \sup^i_{x=y\alpha z}\{\min^i\{\sup^i_{y=y_1\beta y_2}\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y_1),\tilde{\eta}(y_2)\},\tilde{\eta}(z)\}\} \\ &= \sup^i_{x=y\alpha z}\sup^i_{y=y_1\beta y_2}\{\min^i\{\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y_1),\tilde{\eta}(y_2)\},\tilde{\eta}(z)\}\} \\ &= \sup^i_{x=y_1\beta y_2\alpha z}\{\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y_1),\tilde{\eta}(y_2),\tilde{\eta}(z)\}\}\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\eta}$ *is an i.v fuzzy weak bi − ideal of* M.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}(y_1\beta y_2\alpha z) &\geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(y_1),\tilde{\eta}(y_2),\tilde{\eta}(z)\} \\ &\leq \sup^i_{x=y_1\beta y_2\alpha z}\tilde{\eta}(y_1\beta y_2\alpha z) = \tilde{\eta}(x).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda})(x) &= \inf^i_{x=y\alpha z}\{\max^i\{(\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda})(y),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\} \\ &= \inf^i_{x=y\alpha z}\{\inf^i_{y=y_1\beta y_2}\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(y_1),\tilde{\lambda}(y_2)\},\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\} \\ &= \inf^i_{x=y\alpha z}\inf^i_{y=y_1\beta y_2}\{\max^i\{\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(y_1),\tilde{\lambda}(y_2)\},\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\} \\ &= \inf^i_{x=y_1\beta y_2\alpha z}\{\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(y_1),\tilde{\lambda}(y_2),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}\}\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\lambda}$*is an i.v fuzzy weak bi − ideal of* M.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}(y_1\beta y_2\alpha z) &\leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(y_1),\tilde{\lambda}(y_2),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\} \\ &\geq \inf^i_{x=y_1\beta y_2\alpha z}\tilde{\lambda}(y_1\beta y_2\alpha z) \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}(x).\end{aligned}$$

If x cannot as $x = y\alpha z$, then $(\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta})(x) = \tilde{0} \leq \tilde{\eta}(x)$.
Conversely, assume that $\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}$. For $x',x,y,z \in M$ and $\alpha,\beta,\alpha_1,\beta_1 \in \Gamma$. Let x' be such that $x' = x\alpha y\beta z$.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) = \tilde{\eta}(x') &\geq (\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta})(x') \\ &= \sup^i_{x'=p\alpha_1 q}\{\min^i\{(\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta})(p),\tilde{\eta}(q)\}\} \\ &= \sup^i_{x'=p\alpha_1 q}\{\min^i\{\sup^i_{p=p_1\beta_1 p_2}\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(p_1),\tilde{\eta}(p_2)\},\tilde{\eta}(q)\}\} \\ &= \sup^i_{x'=p_1\beta_1 p_2\alpha_1 q}\{\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(p_1),\tilde{\eta}(p_2),\tilde{\eta}(q)\}\} \\ &\geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x),\tilde{\eta}(y),\tilde{\eta}(z)\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z) = \eta(x') &\leq (\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda})(x') \\ &= \inf^i_{x'=p\alpha_1 q}\{\max^i\{(\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda})(p),\tilde{\lambda}(q)\}\} \\ &= \inf^i_{x'=p\alpha_1 q}\{\max^i\{\inf^i_{p=p_1\beta_1 p_2}\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(p_1),\tilde{\lambda}(p_2)\},\tilde{\lambda}(q)\}\} \\ &= \inf^i_{x'=p_1\beta_1 p_2\alpha_1 q}\{\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(p_1),\tilde{\lambda}(p_2),\tilde{\lambda}(q)\}\} \\ &\leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x),\tilde{\lambda}(y),\tilde{\lambda}(z)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.4 Every i.v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of M is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of M.

Proof. Let B be an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of M. Then $\tilde{\eta}*M*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}*M*M \subseteq \tilde{\eta}*M \subseteq \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\lambda}*M*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}*M*M \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}*M \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}$.
since B be an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of M. This implies that $\tilde{\eta}*M*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}*M*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.5 Every i.v intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of M is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.
Proof. Assume that B is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal of M. Then $\tilde{\eta}*M*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}*M*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}$. We have
$\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}*M*\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}*M*\tilde{\lambda}$. This implies that $\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta}*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}*M*\tilde{\eta} \subseteq \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda}*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}*M*\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \tilde{\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.6 Every i.v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of M is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, every i.v intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of M is an i.v fuzzy bi-ideal of M. By Theorem 3.5, Thus$B$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.
However the converse of the Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 is not true by the following example.

Example 3.7 Let $M = \{0,a,b,c\}$ be a non-emptyset with binary operation + and $\Gamma = \{\alpha,\beta\}$.

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c

a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

α	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0	a
b	0	0	b	b
c	0	a	b	c

$\tilde{\eta}(0) = [0.7,0.8], \tilde{\eta}(a) = [0.3,0.4] = \tilde{\eta}(b)$ and $\tilde{\eta}(c) = [0.5,0.6]$. $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = [0.1,0.2], \tilde{\lambda}(a) = [0.5,0.6] = \tilde{\lambda}(b)$, $\tilde{\lambda}(c) = [0.3,0.4]$.Thus $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal .Now,$\tilde{\eta}$ is not an i.v fuzzy ideal and bi-ideal,
$\tilde{\eta}(b\gamma c) = \tilde{\eta}(b) = [0.3,0.4] \not\geq [0.5,0.6] = \tilde{\eta}(c), \tilde{\lambda}(a\alpha(0+0) - a\alpha 0) \leq \tilde{\lambda}(0) = [0.5,0.6] \not\leq [0.1,0.2]$
and $\tilde{\lambda}(c\gamma a\gamma c) = \tilde{\eta}(a) = [0.5,0.6] \not\leq [0.3,0.4] = \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(c), \tilde{\eta}(c)\}$.

Theorem 3.8 Let $\{\tilde{\eta}_i | i \in \Omega\}$ be family of i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideals of M, then $\bigcap_{i\in\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_i$ is also an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ and $\tilde{\eta} = \bigcap_{i\in\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_i$.
$\tilde{\eta}(x) = \bigcap_{i\in\Omega} \tilde{\eta}_i(x) = \left(\inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i\right)(x) = \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x)$.Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}(x-y) &= \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x-y)\\
&\geq \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \tilde{\eta}_i(y)\}\\
&= \min^i\left\{\inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(y)\right\}\\
&= \min^i\left\{\bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(y)\right\}\\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) &= \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x-y)\\
&\leq \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \tilde{\lambda}_i(y)\}\\
&= \max^i\left\{\sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(y)\right\}\\
&= \max^i\left\{\bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(y)\right\}\\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x\alpha y\beta z)\\
&\geq \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\min^i\{\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \tilde{\eta}_i(y), \tilde{\eta}_i(z)\}\\
&= \min^i\left\{\inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(y), \inf^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(z)\right\}\\
&= \min^i\left\{\bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(x), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(y), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\eta}_i(z)\right\}\\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(z)\}.\\
\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x\alpha y\beta z)\\
&\leq \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \tilde{\lambda}_i(y), \tilde{\lambda}_i(z)\}\\
&= \max^i\left\{\sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(y), \sup^i_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(z)\right\}\\
&= \max^i\left\{\bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(x), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(y), \bigcap_{i\in\Omega}\tilde{\lambda}_i(z)\right\}\\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y), \tilde{\lambda}(z)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.9 An intuitionistic fuzzy set B is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M if f $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}_B; [s_1, s_2])$ and $\tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}_B; [t_1, t_2])$ is a weak bi-ideal of M, for all $[t_1, t_2], [s_1, s_2] \in D[0,1]$.

Proof. Assume that $B = (\tilde{\eta}_B, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M. Let $[t_1, t_2] \in D[0,1]$ such that $x, y \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$.
$\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\} \geq \min^i\{[t_1, t_2], [t_1, t_2]\} = [t_1, t_2]$.
Thus $x - y \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$.
Let $x, y, z \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.
We have $\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(z)\} \geq \min^i\{[t_1, t_2], [t_1, t_2], [t_1, t_2]\} = [t_1, t_2]$.
$x\alpha y\beta z \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$. Hence $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$ is a weak bi-ideal of M. Now $[s_1, s_2] \in D[0,1]$,
$x, y \in M \tilde{\lambda}(x-y) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\} \leq \max^i\{[s_1, s_2], [s_1, s_2]\} = [s_1, s_2]$
$\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y), \tilde{\lambda}(z)\} \leq \max^i\{[s_1, s_2], [s_1, s_2], [s_1, s_2]\} = [s_1, s_2]$.
Therefore $x\alpha y\beta z \in \tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}; [s_1, s_2])$. Hence $\tilde{L}(\tilde{\eta}; [s_1, s_2])$ is a weak bi-ideal of M. Conversely, assume $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$ and $\tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}; [s_1, s_2])$ are weak bi-ideal of M, for all $[t_1, t_2], [s_1, s_2] \in D[0,1]$ .Let $x, y \in M$. Suppose $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) < \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}$.Choose $[0,0] < [t_1, t_2] \leq [1,1]$, $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) < [t_1, t_2] < \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}$ .Thus $\tilde{\eta}(x) > [t_1, t_2], \tilde{\eta}(y) > [t_1, t_2]$ and $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) < [t_1, t_2]$ .Then we have $x, y \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$,but $x - y \notin \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$ a contradict.
Thus $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\}$.Choose $[0,0] > [t_1, t_2] \geq [1,1]$, $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) > [s_1, s_2] > \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\}$. Thus $\tilde{\lambda}(x) > [s_1, s_2], \tilde{\lambda}(y) > [s_1, s_2]$ and $\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) < [s_1, s_2]$.
Then we have $x, y \in \tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}; [s_1, s_2])$,but $x - y \notin \tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}; [s_1, s_2])$ a contradiction. Thus $\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\}$.If there exist $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ such that
$\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) < \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(z)\}$ .Choose $[t_1, t_2]$ such that $\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) < [t_1, t_2] < \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(z)\}$. Then
$\tilde{\eta}(x) > [t_1, t_2], \tilde{\eta}(y) > [t_1, t_2], \tilde{\eta}(z) > [t_1, t_2]$ and and but $x\alpha y\beta z \notin \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2])$, which is a contradiction. Now Choose $[s_1, s_2]$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z) > [s_1, s_2] < \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y), \tilde{\lambda}(z)\}$. Then $\tilde{\lambda}(x) < [s_1, s_2], \tilde{\lambda}(y) < [s_1, s_2], \tilde{\lambda}(z) < [s_1, s_2]$ and $[s_1, s_2]$ s.t $\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) > [s_1, s_2]$. $x, y, z \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [t_1, t_2]), x, y, z \in \tilde{L}(\tilde{\lambda}; [s_1, s_2])$ and but $x\alpha y\beta z \notin \tilde{U}(\tilde{\eta}; [s_1, s_2])$, which is a contradiction. Therefore B is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.

Theorem 3.10 Let B be i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M then $M_{\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\lambda}} = \{x \in M | \tilde{\eta}(x) = \tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(x) = (0)\}$ is a weak bi-ideal of M.

Proof. Let $x, y \in M_{(\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\lambda})}$.Then
$\tilde{\eta}(x) = \tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\eta}(y) = \tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(x) = 0, \tilde{\lambda}(y) = 0$,
$\tilde{\eta}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y)\} = \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\eta}(0)\} = \tilde{\eta}(0)$,
and $\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\} = \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(0)\} = \tilde{\lambda}(0)$.
So $\tilde{\eta}(x-y) = \tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(x-y) = \tilde{\lambda}(0)$.
Thus $x - y \in M_{\tilde{\eta}}, x - y \in M_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. For every $x, y, z \in M_{\tilde{\eta}}$ and
$\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y), \tilde{\eta}(z)\} = \min^i\{\tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\eta}(0), \tilde{\eta}(0)\} = \tilde{\eta}(0)$
$\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z) \leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y), \tilde{\lambda}(z)\} = \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(0), \tilde{\lambda}(0)\} = \tilde{\lambda}(0)$.
Thus $x\alpha y\beta z \in M_{\tilde{\eta}}, x\alpha y\beta z \in M_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. Hence $M_{(\tilde{\eta},\tilde{\lambda})}$ is a weak bi-ideal of M.

4. Homomorphism of interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings

Definition 4.1 Let $f: M \to S$. Let $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ be i.v fuzzy subsets of M and S respectively. Then $f(\tilde{\eta})$, the image of $\tilde{\eta}$ under f is an i.v fuzzy subset of S, defined by

$$f(\tilde{\eta})(y) = \begin{cases} \sup^i_{x\in f^{-1}(y)} \tilde{\eta}(x) & \text{if} \quad f^{-1}(y) \neq \varphi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The pre-image of $\tilde{\eta}$ under f is an i.v fuzzy subset of M defined by $f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(x)) = \tilde{\delta}(f(x))$, and $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \in M | f(x) = y\}$.

Definition 4.2 [10] Let M and S be Γ-near-rings. A map $\theta: M \to S$ is called a homomorphism if
$\theta(x+y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y)$ and $\theta(x\alpha y) = \theta(x)\alpha\theta(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $f: M \to S$ be a homomorphism. If $B = (\tilde{\delta}_B, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of S, then $f^{-1}(B) = [f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_B), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B)]$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M.

Proof.Let $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(x-y) &= \tilde{\delta}(f(x-y)) \\
&= \tilde{\delta}(f(x) - f(y)) \\
&\geq \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(f(x)), \tilde{\delta}(f(y))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(x)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(y))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and} f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(x-y) &= \tilde{\lambda}(f(x-y)) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}(f(x) - f(y)) \\
&\leq \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(f(x)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(y))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}(y))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \tilde{\delta}(f(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&= \tilde{\delta}(f(x)\alpha f(y)\beta f(z)) \\
&\geq \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(f(x)), \tilde{\delta}(f(y)), \tilde{\delta}(f(z))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(x)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(y)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}(z))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{and} f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \tilde{\lambda}(f(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}(f(x)\alpha f(y)\beta f(z)) \\
&\leq \min^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(f(x)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(y)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(z))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}(y)), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}(z))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Converse of the Theorem 4.3 by strengthening the condition on f as follows.

Theorem 4.4 Let $f: M \to S$ be onto homomorphism . Let $B = (\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ be an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy subset of S. If $f^{-1}(B)[f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta}_B), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda}_B)]$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M, then $B = (\tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\lambda}_B)$ is an i.v intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then $f(a) = x, f(b) = y$ and $f(c) = z$ for some $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}(x-y) &= \tilde{\delta}(f(a) - f(b)) \\
&= \tilde{\delta}(f(a-b)) \\
&= f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(a-b) \\
&\geq \min^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(a), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(b)\} \\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(f(a)), \tilde{\delta}(f(b))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(x), \tilde{\delta}(y)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\lambda}(x-y) &= \tilde{\lambda}(f(a) - f(b)) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}(f(a-b)) \\
&= f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(a-b) \\
&\leq \max^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(a), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(b)\} \\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(f(a)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(b))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \tilde{\delta}(f(a)\alpha f(b)\beta f(c)) \\
&= \tilde{\delta}(f(a\alpha b\beta c)) \\
&= f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(a\alpha b\beta c) \\
&\geq \min^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(a), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(b), f^{-1}(\tilde{\delta})(c)\} \\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(f(a)), \tilde{\delta}(f(b)), \tilde{\delta}(f(c))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\tilde{\delta}(x), \tilde{\delta}(y), \tilde{\delta}(z)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z) &= \tilde{\lambda}(f(a)\alpha f(b)\beta f(c)) \\
&= \tilde{\lambda}(f(a\alpha b\beta c)) \\
&= f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(a\alpha b\beta c) \\
&\leq \max^i\{f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(a), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(b), f^{-1}(\tilde{\lambda})(c)\} \\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(f(a)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(b)), \tilde{\lambda}(f(c))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y), \tilde{\lambda}(z)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.5 Let $f: M \to S$ be an onto homomorphism. If $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M, then $f(\tilde{\eta})$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of S.

Proof. Since $f(\tilde{\eta})(x') = \sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x))$,
for $x' \in S$ and hence $f(\tilde{\eta})$ is nonempty. Let $x', y' \in S$ and
$\{x | x \in f^{-1}(x'-y')\} \supseteq \{x-y | x \in f^{-1}(x') \text{and} y \in f^{-1}(y')\}$
$\{x | x \in f^{-1}(x'y')\} \supseteq \{x\alpha y | x \in f^{-1}(x') \text{and} y \in f^{-1}(y')\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\eta})(x'-y') &= \sup^i_{f(x)=x'-y'}(\tilde{\eta}(z)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(x-y)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}\{\min^i(\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y'))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x)), \sup^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(y'))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f(\tilde{\eta})(x'), f(\tilde{\eta})(y')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'-y') &= \inf^i_{f(x)=x'-y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(z)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x-y)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}\{\max^i(\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y'))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\inf^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), \inf^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(y'))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(y')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\eta})(x'\alpha y'\beta z') &= \sup^i_{f(h)=x'\alpha y'\beta z'}(\tilde{\eta}(h)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}\{\min^i(\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y'), \tilde{\eta}(z))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x)), \sup^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(y')), \sup^i_{f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\eta}(z))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f(\tilde{\eta})(x'), f(\tilde{\eta})(y'), f(\tilde{\eta})(z')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'\alpha y'\beta z') &= \inf^i_{f(h)=x'\alpha y'\beta z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(h)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}\{\max^i(\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y'), \tilde{\lambda}(z))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\inf^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), \inf^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(y')), \inf^i_{f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(z))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(y'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(z')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.5*Let $f: M \to S$ be an onto homomorphism. If $\tilde{\eta}$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of M, then $f(\tilde{\eta})$ is an i.v fuzzy weak bi-ideal of S.*

Proof. Since $f(\tilde{\eta})(x') = \sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x))$,
for $x' \in S$ and hence $f(\tilde{\eta})$ is nonempty. Let $x', y' \in S$ and
$\{x | x \in f^{-1}(x'-y')\} \supseteq \{x-y | x \in f^{-1}(x') \text{and} y \in f^{-1}(y')\}$
$\{x | x \in f^{-1}(x'y')\} \supseteq \{x\alpha y | x \in f^{-1}(x') \text{and} y \in f^{-1}(y')\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\eta})(x'-y') &= \sup^i_{f(x)=x'-y'}(\tilde{\eta}(z)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(x-y)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}\{\min^i(\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y'))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x)), \sup^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(y'))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f(\tilde{\eta})(x'), f(\tilde{\eta})(y')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'-y') &= \inf^i_{f(x)=x'-y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(z)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x-y)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y'}\{\max^i(\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y'))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\inf^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), \inf^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(y'))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(y')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\eta})(x'\alpha y'\beta z') &= \sup^i_{f(h)=x'\alpha y'\beta z'}(\tilde{\eta}(h)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\eta}(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&\geq \sup^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}\{\min^i(\tilde{\eta}(x), \tilde{\eta}(y'), \tilde{\eta}(z))\} \\
&= \min^i\{\sup^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\eta}(x)), \sup^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\eta}(y')), \sup^i_{f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\eta}(z))\} \\
&= \min^i\{f(\tilde{\eta})(x'), f(\tilde{\eta})(y'), f(\tilde{\eta})(z')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'\alpha y'\beta z') &= \inf^i_{f(h)=x'\alpha y'\beta z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(h)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x\alpha y\beta z)) \\
&\leq \inf^i_{f(x)=x',f(y)=y',f(z)=z'}\{\max^i(\tilde{\lambda}(x), \tilde{\lambda}(y'), \tilde{\lambda}(z))\} \\
&= \max^i\{\inf^i_{f(x)=x'}(\tilde{\lambda}(x)), \inf^i_{f(y)=y'}(\tilde{\lambda}(y')), \inf^i_{f(z)=z'}(\tilde{\lambda}(z))\} \\
&= \max^i\{f(\tilde{\lambda})(x'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(y'), f(\tilde{\lambda})(z')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Atanassov.K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems. 20 (1986) 87-96.

[2] Booth.G.L, A note on Γ-near-rings, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 23 (1988) 471-475.

[3] Chandrasekhara Rao and V. Swaminathan, Anti-homomorphism in fuzzy ideals, International scholarly and scientific research and innovation. 4(8) (2010) 1211-1214.

[4] Chinnadurai.V and Kadalarasi.S, Interval valued fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra, Vol 6 (3) (2017)223-236.

[5] Chinnadurai.V, Arulmozhi.K and Kadalarasi.S, Interval valued fuzzy ideals of Γ-near-rings, Bulletin of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, Volume 8, (2018), 301-314.

[6] Chinnadurai. V, Arulmozhi K. and Kadalarasi S., Characterization of fuzzy weak bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings, International journal of Algebra and statistics, vol 6 (1-2)(2017), 95-104.

[7] Chinnadurai.V, Arulmozhi.K and Kadalarasi.S, Interval valued fuzzy bi-ideals of Γ-near-rings, Annamalai University Science Journal 51 (2018) 15-22.

[8] Chinnadurai.V and Kadalarasi.S, interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics. 11 (2016) 621-631.

[9] Jun.Y.B ,Sapanic.M and Ozturk.M.A, Fuzzy ideals in gamma near-rings, Tr. J. of Mathematics 22. (1998) 449-549.

[10] Kim.S.D and Kim.H.S, On fuzzy ideals of near-rings, Bulletin Korean Mathamatical Society. 33 (1996) 593-601.

[11] Manikantan.T, Fuzzy bi-ideals of near-rings, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics. 17(3) (2009) 659-671.

[12] Murali krishna Rao.M and Venkateswarlu.B , Anti-fuzzy k-ideals and anti-homomorphism of Γ-semirings, Journal of international Mathematical Virtual institute. 5 (2015) 37-54.

[13] Meenakumari.N and Tamizh Chelvam.T, Fuzzy bi-ideals in gamma near-rings, Journal of algebra Discrete Structures. 9(1,2) (2011) 43-52.

[14] Narayanan.AL and Manikatan.T, $(\in, \in \vee q)$ −fuzzy subnear-rings and $(\in, \in \vee q)$ −fuzzy ideals of near-rings, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing. 27 (2004) 983-998.

[15] Pilz.G, Near-rings, The theory and its applications, North-Holland publishing company Ameserdam 1983.

[16] Satyanarayana .Bh, Contributions to near-rings theory Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna University (1984)

[17] Tamizh Chelvam.T and Meenakumari.N, Bi-ideals of gamma near-rings, Southeast Bulletion of Mathematics. 27(2004) 983-998 .

[18] Thillaigovindan.N and Chinnadurai.V, Interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of semigroups, East Asian Mathematics Journal. 25(4) (2009)449-467.

[19] Thillaigovindan.N, Chinnadurai.V and Kadalarasi.S , Interval valued fuzzy ideals of nera-rings, The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics. 23(2) (2015) 471-484.

[20] Thillaigovindan.N, Chinnadurai.V and Coumaressane.S, T-fuzzy subsemigroups and T-fuzzy ideals of regular Γ-semigroups, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics. 11(4)(2016) 669-680.

[21] Yong Uk Cho, Tamizh Chelvam.T and Jayalakshmi.S, Weak bi-ideals of near-rings, J. Korea Soc. Math. Edue. Ser.B.Pure Appl. Math. 14(3)(2007) 153-159.

[22] Zadeh.L.A, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control. 8 (1965) 338-353.

[23] Zadeh.L.A, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, Inform., Sci. 8 (1975) 199-249.