Awareness & attitude regarding biomedical waste disposal among post-graduate students, under-graduate students & auxiliary staff of a dental college – a questionnaire survey
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Abstract

Purpose: Indiscriminate disposal of bio medical waste poses a serious threat to environment and human health and is currently a burning issue with increasing health care facilities and associated waste generation. Hence this study assesses the awareness levels and attitude regarding biomedical waste disposal among post-graduates, under-graduates & auxiliary staff of a dental college.

Materials and Method: This was a cross-sectional study conducted among post-graduates, under-graduates & auxiliary staff using a questionnaire. A total of 120 participants, 40 in each group answered the questionnaire. The answers were analyzed and graded for each group.

Results: The results depict satisfactory awareness about biomedical waste disposal among post-graduates and under-graduates. However, the auxiliary staff lacks the awareness about proper biomedical waste disposal.

Conclusion: The study reveals that there is a need to increase knowledge among the auxiliary staff regarding biomedical waste management by continuing training program.
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1. Introduction

As stated by K. Park “Let the waste of the sick not contaminate the lives of healthy”.

Health care system in India has advanced terrifically, but this comes with production of a huge amount of Biomedical Waste, which poses a great threat to living beings and environment of a whole (Narang et al., 2012). Biomedical Waste according to (Management and Handling) Rules, 1998 of India can be defined as “Any waste, which is generated during the diagnosis, treatment or immunization of human beings or animals or in research activities pertaining thereto or in the production or testing of biological (Mathur et al., 2012).

Improper and inappropriate disposal has become a major health issue in the recent past. The medical waste generated in India is around 3 million tons per annum out of which about 10 to 35 % of medical waste generated is potentially hazardous, but owing to indiscriminate mixing of this with non-hazardous waste converts entirety waste hazardous (Begum A et al., 2015; Chaudhari K et al. 2015; Kaur D et al., 2015).

The hazardous waste thus generated can cause various diseases related to skin, GIT, respiratory disorders and major ones like HIV or hepatitis. Also if this waste is disposed of before suitable treat-ment it can affect environment rendering air, land and water bodies’ contaminated (Selvaraj et al., 2013).

Dental waste generated includes latex, cotton, plastic, glass, restorative materials, including amalgam, etc which is infected with blood, saliva, etc. of patient. Tracking of waste in US found dental waste to be 3% of total biomedical waste generated (Khandelwal V et al., 2013). Even though amount of dental waste seems to be small, but it can be as hazardous as medical waste leading to various health problems among the health care providers, patients’ etc. (Nayak A et al., 2015).

With increasing global awareness about biomedical Waste Management and associated hazards, this present study was conducted to assess the awareness & attitude regarding biomedical waste disposal among post-graduates, under-graduates & auxiliary staff in a private dental college in UP, India.

2. Materials and methods

The study was a questionnaire based study conducted at ITS Dental College, Muradnagar, Ghaziabad. The questionnaire consisted of 15 closed-ended questions (Figure 1), which were given to a total of 120 participants (40 Post-Graduate students, 40 Under-Graduate students & 40 Auxiliary Staff members). The questions
evaluated the basic knowledge and attitude towards the biomedical waste disposal of the participants. The auxiliary staffs requiring help was explained questions about the language of their understanding. All the questionnaires from each group were corrected. For ease of understanding overall correct answers obtained were categorized into Good (>12 correct answers), Fair (7-12 correct answers) and Poor (<7 correct answers). The data was compiled in Excel worksheet and percentage was used to interpret the results.

1. What do you mean by Biomedical waste?
   [A] Waste from house-hold
   [B] Waste usually generated during various activities like diagnosis, treatment, immunization or research activities in medical, dental or laboratory set-up.
   [C] Don’t know

2. How biomedical waste should be disposed of?
   [A] Dump directly into garbage bins
   [B] Handing it over to Bio-medical waste management agency
   [C] Don’t know

3. Are there any guidelines for biomedical waste disposal by Government in India?
   [A] Yes [B] No [C] Don’t know

4. Correct sequence of biomedical waste management?
   [A] Segregation ➔ Collection & Storage ➔ Transportation ➔ Treatment & Disposal
   [B] Collection ➔ Transportation ➔ Disposal
   [C] Don’t know

5. According to the government guidelines, untreated biomedical waste should not be stored beyond:
   [A] 24 hours [B] 48 hours [C] Don’t know

6. Glassware and metallic body implants are disposed in?

7. Infectious sharps and needles are disposed of in?

8. Infectious nonbiodegradable (Extracted teeth, human tissues, membranes, cotton dressing, suture material like black braided silk, vinyl etc.) are disposed of in?

9. Infectious nonbiodegradable (Gloves, IV set, Syringes, nylon sutures, non-resorbable GTR membranes etc.) are disposed in?

10. Biomedical Waste handlers should:
    [A] Be made aware of risks involved in handling biomedical waste.
    [B] Use Personal Protection Equipments like gloves, mask, protective glasses, gum boots etc.
    [C] Both of above
    [D] None of above

11. Are the Biomedical waste disposal charts in department of periodontics helpful?
    [A] Yes [B] No [C] Never noticed

12. Do you follow color coding while disposing waste during your clinical postings?
    [A] Yes [B] No [C] Sometimes

13. Can inappropriate biomedical waste disposal cause health hazards?
    [A] Yes [B] No [C] Don’t know

14. Biomedical waste disposal is an institutional problem & extra-burden?
    [A] Yes [B] No [C] Don’t know

15. Would you like to have a lecture or programme to enhance knowledge regarding biomedical waste management?
    [A] Yes [B] No [C] Not sure

Fig. 1: Questionnaire to Access the Awareness & Attitude of the Participants.

3. Results

All the 120 participants completed the questionnaire and submitted the forms. On evaluation the results revealed, 82% of Postgraduates had good knowledge and attitude for Bio-medical waste (BMW) disposal, and 18% had been fair while none fell into poor category. Among the under-graduates 43% good, 39% fair and 18% had poor knowledge and attitude for BMW disposal. For the auxiliary group (Aux) 23% good, 37% fair, 40% had poor knowledge and attitude regarding BMW disposal (Figure 2).
Fig. 2: Comparison of Three Groups Regarding Awareness & Attitude towards BMW Disposal.

Table 1 depicts results of understanding of color coding for BMW disposal, and Table 2 demonstrate results to questions regarding the attitude of participants towards BMW disposal.

### Table 1: Understanding of Color Coding Amongst the Three Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understanding of color coding</th>
<th>Post-Graduates</th>
<th>Under-Graduates</th>
<th>Auxiliary Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
<td>32 (80%)</td>
<td>29 (73%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>34 (85%)</td>
<td>22 (55%)</td>
<td>16 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>36 (90%)</td>
<td>24 (60%)</td>
<td>21 (53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>36 (90%)</td>
<td>23 (58%)</td>
<td>20 (50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Assessment of Attitude of Participants Regarding BMW Disposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude of Participants</th>
<th>PG</th>
<th>UG</th>
<th>AUX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMW Disposal as Health Hazard</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
<td>37 (93%)</td>
<td>36 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow BMW Disposal</td>
<td>40 (100%)</td>
<td>35 (88%)</td>
<td>31 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of Training For BMW</td>
<td>38 (95%)</td>
<td>36 (90%)</td>
<td>37 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never noticed charts near dustbins *</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
<td>3 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMW Disposal an extra-burden *</td>
<td>2 (5%)</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Depicts Negative Attitude

### 4. Discussion

This questionnaire survey was conducted to access the awareness and attitude of post-graduates (PG), under-graduates (UG) & auxiliary staff of private dental college regarding biomedical waste disposal. To simplify the scoring was categorized into Good (>12 correct answers), Fair (7-12 correct answers) and Poor (<7 correct answers). As expected the overall awareness of PG’s was better than UGs, which was in turn better than auxiliary staff. About 82% of PG’s had good and 18% had fair awareness regarding BMW. Furthermore, UGs impressed with 43% falling into good, 39% in fair and 18% in poor category. Amongst auxiliary staff 23% had good, 37% fair and 40% poor awareness. The results of present study show impressive results for PG group with not even a single candidate falling in poor category with regard to overall assessment of BMW, which was similar to a previously reported study (Chaudhari et al., 2015) However, most of the studies have not segregated results of dentist group into PG and UG group. On evaluating UG group, we can see satisfactory knowledge similar to studies done (Narang et al., 2012; Chaudhari et al., 2015; Sharma et al., 2013; Saini et al., 2013). For auxiliary group, the results were unsatisfactory, which were similar to previous studies (Narang et al., 2012; Sharma et al., 2013). However, when evaluating awareness for color coding for all the groups it was seen that results were better compared to other studies (Narang et al., 2012; Sharma A et al., 2013; Malini A et al., 2015) for auxiliary group and comparable to other studies (Saini R et al., 2013; Chaudhari K et al., 2015) for PG and UG group. This can be attributed to usefulness for color coding charts near the dustbins. Regarding the attitude for safe BMW management, it was found that all the groups had a positive approach. The results were comparable to studies by Chaudhari et al., 2015; Malini et al., 2015) and better compared to study (Radha R et al., 2012). Safe management of BMW is duty and responsibility of every single healthcare provider. Most of the above-mentioned studies noted satisfactory awareness among the dentist. However, auxiliary staff remained matter of concern. Studies have advocated use of color coding charts in institutes (Sharma et al., 2013), training program (Mohan et al., 2012), attitude of staff etc as paramount importance for maintaining standards of BMW management. In our study, we found successful implementation of color coding charts was useful for all the groups. Also, most of the participants had positive attitude towards BMW management. So, continuous
training of participants should be considered so as to achieve high goals set for management of BMW.

5. Conclusion

Within the limits of present study it can be concluded:

- PG group had better awareness regarding BMW management than UG group which in turn fared better than Auxiliary group.
- All groups had a positive attitude towards BMW management.
- Usefulness of color coding charts can be considered as highlight of the study.

Further continuous training should be integral part of BMW management, and its usefulness should be evaluated.
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