International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 14 (8) (2025) 276-283

International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJBAS
https://doi.org/10.14419/85dasv52
Research paper

Sustainable Sacred Spaces: An Interdisciplinary Framework Linking Theology, Environmental Science, and Architectural Design

Jonathan James O. Canete [1], Rainier Lawrence A. Valdez [1], Gregory S. Ching [2] *

[1] *Department of Innovation and Sustainability, De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines*
[2] *Graduate Institute of Educational Administration and Policy, National Chengchi University, Taipei, Taiwan*
**Corresponding author E-mail: gching@nccu.edu.tw*

Received: November 12, 2025, Accepted: December 7, 2025, Published: December 16, 2025

Abstract

This interdisciplinary conceptual paper examines sacred architecture as both a theological expression and an environmental system that shapes human experience through light, acoustics, materiality, and spatial proportion. It argues that churches are not only symbolic artifacts, but applied frameworks in which theology, aesthetics, and sustainable design converge. Drawing on lived theology and Hans Urs von Balthasar's theological aesthetics, the study interprets sacred spaces as perceptual environments that translate intangible experiences of faith into embodied sensory form. Situated within the global diversity of world Christianity, the paper highlights vernacular innovations, such as bamboo, adobe, and stone construction, that integrate local ecology, cultural identity, and theological meaning. Addressing a gap in existing sustainability models, which treat worship spaces as generic public buildings, the paper proposes a framework that explicitly links theological intent with environmental performance variables. Four principles, namely: form follows faith, beauty as invitation, culture matters, and ecological concern; are articulated as design heuristics that can inform daylighting strategies, acoustic clarity, thermal comfort, life-cycle assessment, and the specification of low-carbon or bio-based materials. Using a conceptual, interdisciplinary synthesis, the study positions sacred architecture as a domain where theological reflection and applied environmental research mutually inform one another, offering new pathways for spiritually resonant, culturally rooted, and ecologically responsible design.

Keywords: *Sacred Architecture; Sustainable Design; Environmental Science; Theological Aesthetics; Lived Theology; Vernacular Architecture; Human-Environment Interaction; World Christianity.*

1. Introduction

Sacred architecture is more than cultural heritage or stylistic expression; it is a built environmental system that shapes human experience through light, acoustics, thermal comfort, spatial proportion, and materiality (Lazar & Chithra, 2022). While Christian worship spaces have long communicated theological meaning, they are also infrastructures that mediate human-environment interaction, affecting perception, attention, communal participation, and well-being (Wang et al., 2022). This dual character: symbolic/theological and environmental/performative, positions sacred buildings as a compelling site for applied, interdisciplinary inquiry at the intersection of theology, architecture, environmental science, and design engineering.

Sacred architecture remains a central yet under-theologized dimension of Christian worship and identity. Across the centuries, churches have embodied theological and communal ideals through material space; functioning not merely as venues for religious ritual, but as visible and spatial expressions of the divine-human relationship (Kilde, 2008; Ricca, 2023). Whether in the soaring verticality of Gothic cathedrals or the grounded intimacy of vernacular chapels, church architecture reveals a community's theological imagination (Coleman, 2025). Yet, scholarly treatment of sacred architecture has often remained confined to art history, structural analysis, or aesthetic critique, lacking sustained theological engagement with how space itself forms, mediates, and embodies faith (Chiotti, 2022; Inge, 2003).

This conceptual paper seeks to reposition sacred architecture as an active participant in the construction of theological meaning. Rather than viewing built environments as inert backgrounds for worship, it asserts that sacred spaces shape the embodied experience of the holy, mediate divine presence, and communicate a theological worldview. When believers gather in churches, they are not simply occupying space; they are being formed by it. Architecture then becomes a kind of theological discourse, one that speaks through materials, spatial organization, light, acoustics, and orientation. Through this theological lens, sacred architecture is interpreted not merely as design, but as Lived Theology (Ammerman, 2021).

While Inge and Potamianos foreground the role of space and light in mediating presence and perception, Hans Urs von Balthasar moves this insight into the realm of theological aesthetics, arguing that beauty itself is not a secondary quality, but a primary theological category. Hans Urs von Balthasar (2009) proposed that beauty is not an accessory to theology, but one of its foundational categories. In the Glory of

the Lord, Balthasar argues that beauty, understood as the splendor of form, offers a unique access to divine revelation. It captivates, discloses, and invites; drawing the observer into relational encounter with the divine. Applied to sacred architecture, this perspective reveals how beauty is manifested in proportion, symmetry, harmony, and radiance, which functions as theological medium. Church buildings thus become not only aesthetic achievements, but aesthetic events, evoking contemplation, mystery, and theological imagination (Thiessen, 2004). Balthasar's theological aesthetics, therefore, provide a framework for understanding how architectural beauty both houses and generates theological meaning.

This perspective must also be contextualized within the dynamic and polycentric reality of world Christianity, where the meaning and form of sacred space vary across cultural, ecological, and political contexts. Sanneh (2003) emphasizes that when the gospel is embodied within local cultures, it assumes indigenous forms that reflect the values, symbols, and cosmologies of a people. As Christianity expands and diversifies, especially in the Global South, church architecture often diverges from classical Western models to reflect vernacular materials, ecological sensibilities, and communal priorities. Bamboo chapels in the Philippines, Adobe churches in Latin America, and repurposed storefront sanctuaries in urban Africa are not merely functional or economical choices, but rather they are theological innovations (Makrides, 2012), incarnating the gospel in ways that resonate with local life. These contextual expressions of sacred space invite intercultural and postcolonial readings of architecture as a theological act. The form a church takes is shaped not only by climate or cost, but by convictions about God, community, and creation. Such reflections challenge dominant paradigms of sacred design and affirm the creative agency of local theologies in articulating divine presence through form and space. Architecture, in this light, is not simply inherited; it is constructed by communities actively interpreting their faith through material and spatial means (Sanneh, 2003).

From the standpoint of applied sciences, this paper addresses a persistent gap in sustainable architectural design: existing environmental performance frameworks treat churches as generic public buildings and therefore overlook how theological intent influences light hierarchies, acoustic needs, circulation patterns, and material selection. As a result, parish councils and design teams lack a systematic way to translate spiritual aims, such as reverence, communion, contemplation, and creation care, into measurable performance targets. This paper proposes an interdisciplinary bridge between theological meaning and environmental metrics, linking categories such as reverence with daylighting ratios, proclamation with acoustic clarity, and stewardship with life-cycle carbon analysis. By aligning theological purpose with quantifiable design variables, the framework offers a more context-sensitive, performance-oriented approach to sustainable sacred architecture. This contribution situates the study firmly within the applied sciences by demonstrating how theological meaning can inform evidence-based decisions in daylight modeling, acoustic optimization, material sustainability, and energy performance.

This study is conceptual in nature and employs a qualitative, integrative methodology. First, we conducted a purposive review of scholarship in lived theology, theological aesthetics, world Christianity, and material theology, focusing on works that explicitly engage questions of space, embodiment, and built form. Second, we examined literature in environmental design and building performance, particularly in daylighting, acoustics, thermal comfort, indoor air quality, and life-cycle assessment; drawing on widely used metrics such as spatial daylight autonomy (sDA), daylight glare probability (DGP), reverberation time (RT60), speech transmission index (STI), energy use intensity (EUI), and embodied carbon indicators. Third, we synthesized these bodies of knowledge through a design-systems lens, constructing correspondences between theological categories (e.g., reverence, proclamation, communion, contemplation, stewardship) and environmental variables that can be modeled or measured. The resulting framework is thus a phenomenologically informed, design-systems synthesis rather than an empirical case study. Its purpose is to generate testable constructs and performance-guiding principles that can inform future simulation-based studies and post-occupancy evaluations of sacred spaces.

The remainder of the paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 outlines the interdisciplinary foundations of the framework, drawing on lived theology, theological aesthetics, and world Christianity. Section 3 articulates how theological meanings are translated into spatial and sensory variables. Section 4 proposes four guiding principles for sustainable sacred design. Section 5 develops environmental performance domains, indicative metrics, and material strategies. Section 6 concludes with implications and directions for future empirical research.

2. Interdisciplinary Foundations: Theology, Aesthetics, and Environmental Design

Sacred architecture, as both material reality and theological expression, plays a formative role in shaping the religious consciousness of Christian communities. This section foregrounds sacred architecture as an active participant in the life of faith by articulating a multidimensional theoretical framework that integrates lived theology, theological aesthetics, and the contextual diversity of world Christianity. By weaving together these three perspectives, the discussion proposes that church architecture is not merely a reflection of belief, but a formative site where belief is cultivated, embodied, and performed. Lived theology reveals how sacred spaces emerge from and shape the everyday spiritual practices of believers. Theological aesthetics, particularly through the work of Hans Urs von Balthasar, affirms the revelatory power of beauty in sacred form. World Christianity, meanwhile, situates sacred architecture as a dynamic expression of local theologies, cultural narratives, and socio-political realities. Together, these approaches enable a more holistic understanding of how sacred architecture mediates the intangible; translating spiritual truth into perceptible, embodied, and experiential space.

2.1. Lived theology and the spatial dimension of faith

Sacred architecture is more than a cultural artifact or aesthetic achievement; it is a dynamic site of theological formation. Among the most illuminating frameworks for interpreting church architecture as a lived reality is lived theology. Rooted in both theological and sociological traditions, this approach emphasizes the embodied, contextual, and practice-oriented dimensions of religious life. As Ammerman (2021) noted, religion is not only what people believe, but what they do with people, objects, and places in everyday life. Lived theology draws attention to how faith becomes tangible through ritual, memory, material culture, and spatial experience. When applied to architecture, lived theology proposes that churches are not passive containers for worship but active agents that shape theological experience. As Tuan (1977) suggests in humanistic geography, space becomes a place when imbued with meaning. Sacred architecture becomes such a place through the embodied rituals enacted within its walls, the prayers whispered in its shadows, and the relationships it hosts. Spatial layout, sensory design, and architectural vocabulary all contribute to the theological and affective landscape of believers. Inge (2003) further extends this view with his Christian theology of place, asserting that "God's action in the world has always been spatially located." Church buildings, then, are not spiritually neutral; they frame the posture of the praying body, the acoustics of sacred sound, and the visual focus of divine encounter. As such, space actively shapes theological imagination and perception. In this light, sacred architecture becomes a theological text; read not through language alone but through embodiment and atmosphere.

2.2. Theological aesthetics: Hans URS von Balthasar and the revelation of beauty

To further illuminate how sacred architecture mediates the divine, we turn to Hans Urs von Balthasar's theological aesthetics. In his seminal work The Glory of the Lord (2009), Balthasar argues that beauty is not an aesthetic luxury but a theological necessity. Beauty, he contends, is ontological; it reveals divine glory through form (Gestalt) and radiance (splendor). Within sacred architecture, Balthasar's vision becomes incarnate. When worshippers enter a church bathed in natural light, resounding with echoing silence, or adorned with symbolic art, they are not simply appreciating structure; they are actually encountering an aesthetic theology. Balthasar's emphasis on form allows us to view architectural elements, such as: arches, domes, thresholds, not merely as design features, but as sacramental mediators of divine presence. Rahner (1983) reminds us that authentic experiences of the sacred must engage the whole person; thus, architectural form is essential to cultivating theological encounter. As Kieckhefer (2004) noted, sacred architecture invites a "ritual sensibility," engaging the body in gestures of reverence and the mind in contemplation. Moreover, the composition of sacred space visually encodes theological themes: verticality suggests transcendence; horizontal openness, immanence. Ultimately, Balthasar's theology of beauty encourages us to view churches not only as shelters of faith, but as visual homilies. Just as the spoken sermon interprets Scripture, sacred architecture interprets theological vision through form, proportion, and sensory encounter. In doing so, it becomes a space of formation; a dialogical medium through which God becomes perceptible.

2.3. World Christianity and the vernacularization of sacred space

As Christianity's center of gravity shifts toward the Global South, sacred architecture must also be interpreted through the lens of contextual theologies and vernacular forms. The rise of world Christianity calls for a pluralistic framework that acknowledges how local cultures, ecological realities, and socio-political conditions shape architectural expression (Sanneh, 2003). Churches in Africa, Latin America, and Asia often embody theological meanings that differ from those embedded in Western Gothic or Romanesque cathedrals. These differences are not deviations; they are theological articulations rooted in context. This vernacularization of sacred space resists universalist models of what a church ought to look like. Instead, it affirms the capacity of local communities to express faith through materials, symbols, and spatial arrangements drawn from their own worlds. As Bevans and Schroeder (2004) argues, contextual theology is not a derivative theology, but it is theology itself. A bamboo chapel in the Philippines or an adobe church in Peru is not merely a product of necessity, but a theological declaration of God's presence in the textures of local life.

Theologian Emmanuel Katongole (2010) explores how sacred spaces in African communities often intertwine memory, suffering, and hope. In refugee camps and post-conflict settings, constructing a church is a theological act; an assertion of identity, presence, and grace. Architecture, in this view, becomes a praxis of faith, built in resistance, resilience, and rootedness. Emerging ecotheologies also shape architectural innovation. Cudworth and Hobden (2021) argue that such ecological consciousness is not just ethical, but theological: sacred buildings testify to a theology of creation care. In this light, architectural choices become moral and spiritual acts. Sacred symbolism, too, varies by culture. While stained glass or Gothic arches might evoke reverence in Europe, indigenous motifs, such as ancestral trees, river stones, or rice granaries, carry spiritual weight elsewhere. Theologian Kwok Pui-lan (2005) calls for a "decolonizing hermeneutic" in sacred architecture, one that listens to the aesthetic wisdom of historically marginalized communities. Ultimately, sacred architecture in world Christianity becomes a dialogical act; a collaborative expression shaped by mutual engagement between architects, theologians, artisans, and worshipping communities. As Bosch (2011) reminds us, mission is a dialogue: the church's built form must always respond to the living contexts of its people.

3. Translational Dialogue: from Theological Meaning to Environmental Performance

When approached theologically, sacred architecture emerges not as a neutral enclosure for religious activity but as a vibrant medium through which theology is embodied, enacted, and communicated. Church buildings are not silent backgrounds to liturgical events; they are active participants in theological discourse. Through their spatial arrangements, material choices, and aesthetic qualities, sacred structures proclaim something about the nature of God, the identity of the Church, and the theological commitments of a worshipping community. Architecture becomes what many theologians have termed Material Theology; a concrete, tactile means through which divine realities are made visible, audible, and inhabitable (Redell, 2020). Theology, in this view, is not only preached or written; it is built, touched, and spatially encountered. The spatial logic of a sacred building; how people enter, move, pause, and gather, shapes the rhythms of liturgy and theological reflection. A building's layout can either enhance or hinder participation, contemplation, and spiritual encounter. Sacred architecture is thus not simply a container for sacred acts; it is constitutive of theological life. Through visual and spatial symbolism, churches communicate doctrinal truths: a cruciform floor plan may reflect Christocentric theology; a central altar design may emphasize Eucharistic centrality; a minimalist or open sanctuary may evoke divine mystery or transcendence (Stemp, 2010).

Architects attuned to sacred symbolism, such as Duncan Stroik (2012), intentionally incorporate design elements that speak theologically. Central axes, layered thresholds, or vertical transitions, such as ascending steps or narrowing corridors, can all signify theological motifs such as pilgrimage, ascent to the divine, or the passage from profane to sacred. Architecture, then, interprets theology through form, offering worshippers an atmosphere that teaches, orients, and transforms. Kieckhefer (2004) further expands this notion by asserting that architecture does not merely reflect theology; it forms it. Built environments actively shape religious consciousness and theological imagination. In this regard, churches are readable theological texts, not interpreted through exegetical tools alone, but through the lived and sensory experiences of those who inhabit them. Sacred architecture, seen through this lens, becomes theology incarnate; crafted not only in thought, but in wood, stone, glass, and space. When churches incorporate vernacular materials or community-informed designs, they do not merely reflect cultural taste; they express local theological identity.

A phenomenological lens adds further depth to this theological understanding. With its focus on lived experience, phenomenology draws attention to how light, sound, proportion, and texture shape the perception of the sacred. Light, in particular, is a long-standing theological motif; from the Shekinah glory in Hebrew Scripture to the Johannine image of Christ as Light of the World. In sacred architecture, light becomes a perceptible symbol of divine presence. Even in modern ecclesial architecture, natural light is often used to dramatize the altar, baptismal font, or sanctuary. Pallasmaa (2024) observes that skylights, clerestories, or slits of illumination are frequently positioned to guide perception and define spiritual hierarchy. Sound also plays a formative role in sacred space. The acoustic quality of a church affects how prayer, music, and silence are perceived. Echoing vaults may evoke awe and grandeur; softer materials may foster intimacy and quietude. As Begbie (2007) affirms, sound carries theological meaning; it helps shape emotional resonance and spiritual attentiveness.

Acoustics can reinforce modes of theological participation, whether through collective song or meditative solitude. The architecture of sound teaches the faithful how to listen for God's voice; whether in the exuberance of communal chant or the solitude of whispered prayer. Proportion, too, communicates theology. In churches, spatial proportions structure how bodies move, sit, kneel, and gaze, thereby guiding spiritual attention (Radermacher, 2016). A towering nave may lift the soul heavenward, while an intimate chapel may speak of humility and closeness to God. Proportion becomes a language of transcendence and immanence, of cosmic harmony and human scale (Willerslev & Pedersen, 2010). The tactile feel of wood, stone, or fabric can evoke different spiritual responses. Smooth marble may signify purity and eternity; rough timber may suggest humility, penitence, or connection to nature. Texture reminds us that divine encounter is not purely intellectual or visual; it is embodied. In this way, sacred space speaks to the senses, inviting believers into the mystery through touch as well as sight. These material and sensory elements, such as: light, sound, proportion, and texture, form a liturgical ecology that mediates divine presence. As Hans Urs von Balthasar (2009) insists, beauty is not peripheral to theology but central to its intelligibility. The form through which divine truth is revealed must itself reflect the splendor of that truth. Sacred architecture, then, becomes a theological landscape where God's presence is not only acknowledged cognitively, but encountered bodily and affectively.

Beyond sensory experience, sacred architecture embodies distinct ecclesiologies. Dulles (1991) in Models of the Church, outlines various theological visions of the Church, each of which shapes architectural expression. A "Church as Institution" model might be manifested in hierarchical spatial arrangements, processional paths, and elevated chancels, reinforcing the sacral authority of clergy. In contrast, a "Church as Community" model may be expressed through circular or centralized seating, inviting visibility, participation, and shared leadership. The "Church as Servant" model, shaped by social mission, might inspire flexible, multipurpose spaces that house both worship and outreach. Missional ecclesiology adds further dimensions to architectural design. Churches that prioritize public witness and hospitality may adopt open courtyards, transparent façades, or integrated public spaces. These spatial features blur the boundary between sacred and secular, inviting the world in and sending the faithful out. Architecture, in this sense, becomes a threshold theology; a visible enactment of the Church's openness to the world. As Kahn (1957) suggested in his seminal work, "architecture is the thoughtful making of space," and the threshold carries symbolic weight; linking earthly dwelling with sacred aspiration. Inclusion and accessibility also function as theological imperatives. How a church accommodates children, the elderly, and persons with disabilities reflects its anthropology and ecclesiology. Accessible sacraments, sensory-friendly spaces, and inclusive design features are not just matters of ethics or compliance; they are expressions of a theology of hospitality. When sacred architecture affirms that all people are created in the image of God and are integral members of the body of Christ, the space itself becomes a witness to grace, dignity, and belonging.

Finally, sacred architecture carries eschatological significance. As Jürgen Moltmann (2004) writes, the Church is a sign of the coming kingdom—a foretaste of the new creation. Church buildings, too, can gesture toward this hope. In this light, sacred space not only reflects the past and serves the present; it anticipates the future fullness of God's presence. Overall, sacred architecture is best understood not merely as a container for worship, but as theology made visible and inhabitable. It embodies ecclesiology, mediates divine presence, and forms the spiritual and ethical life of the community. By attending to the dialogue between architectural form and theological meaning, the Church can recover a deeper appreciation of space as sacramental, formative, and eschatological. They teach, welcome, challenge, and transform. To enter a sacred space is to step into lived theology; to dwell there is to be formed by it. These theological and phenomenological insights provide the basis for translating symbolic meaning into measurable environmental performance variables. Light, sound, proportion, and materiality can be evaluated not only aesthetically but through daylighting metrics (sDA, DGP), acoustic parameters (RT60, STI), thermal and spatial comfort models, and life-cycle material assessments. In this way, theological intention becomes design guidance, enabling architects and researchers to align spiritual aims with quantifiable environmental outcomes.

4. Applied Framework for Sustainable Sacred Design

The relationship between theology and architecture is not merely metaphorical; it is incarnational. Sacred architecture makes theological truth perceptible and inhabitable, giving material form to what is otherwise intangible: divine encounter, ecclesial identity, and spiritual longing. This section articulates four interrelated theological propositions as guiding principles for sacred architectural design: (1) Form follows faith, (2) Beauty as invitation, (3) Culture matters, and (4) Ecological concern. Together, these principles form a constructive theology of the built environment.

4.1. Form follows faith: Aligning architecture with theological purpose

The modernist axiom "form follows function" (Kearney, 1989), must be reimagined theologically: form follows faith. In sacred design, spatial form should be shaped not merely by utility, but by the theological identity and liturgical convictions of the worshipping community. This principle resists generic or nostalgic designs in favor of intentional, theologically rooted spaces. For instance, if the Eucharist is understood as the "source and summit" of Christian life (Second Vatican Council, 1963), then the altar should be architecturally central; perhaps even surrounded by the congregation in a semicircular plan to embody shared participation. Similarly, if a community emphasizes Scripture, the ambo (pulpit) may occupy a prominent spatial and acoustic position to reinforce proclamation. Importantly, ecclesiological models matter. Dulles' (1991) "Church as Servant" model may prompt flexible, multipurpose layouts to reflect mission and outreach. "Church as Mystical Communion" may call for circular or clustered seating to express intimacy and mutual visibility.

4.2. Beauty as invitation: Aesthetic form as theological mediation

Hans Urs von Balthasar (2009) noted that beauty is the splendor of truth; a vital category of revelation. In sacred architecture, beauty becomes an invitation into divine mystery. Architecture that harmonizes light, proportion, texture, and materiality become a threshold to transcendence. This proposition urges architects to treat form not just as structure, but as sacramental mediation. As Balthasar suggests, beauty captivates and draws, leading from aesthetic perception to theological encounter. Therefore, beauty forms sensibility. It trains the eyes, orients the body, and stills the heart. As Otto (1958) wrote, sacred experience is marked by the "*mysterium tremendum et fascinans*"; the overwhelming and alluring mystery of God. Sacred architecture that honors beauty shapes how believers feel the sacred. In this way, aesthetic form makes the divine perceptible through atmosphere, tone, and encounter.

4.3. Culture matters: Contextual theology and vernacular architecture

Faith is always embedded in context. Theology is not abstract or placeless; it is lived, spoken, and built within particular cultures. Sacred architecture must reflect this incarnational reality. The third proposition, "culture matters," asserts that sacred space should emerge from and respond to the symbolic, material, and historical world of the local community. As Sanneh (2003) and Kwok (2005) argue, Christianity has long translated itself through indigenous forms. Bamboo chapels in Southeast Asia, adobe sanctuaries in Latin America, or mud-brick churches in Africa are not architectural compromises, but theological affirmations: God is at home here. Imported architectural forms can reproduce colonial aesthetics, flatten local symbolism, or alienate worshippers from their own theological imagination. Contextual design, on the other hand. It values local artisanship, listens to indigenous cosmologies, and honors community memory. Contextual sacred architecture proclaims that the Word became flesh in every place.

4.4. Ecological concern: Sustainability as spiritual witness

The final proposition, "ecological concern," recognizes that sustainable design is not merely ethical; it is theological. In a time of climate crisis, how we build sacred spaces reflects how we understand creation, stewardship, and eschatological hope. The Church's built environment must bear witness not only in word and sacrament, but in its ecological footprint. Ecological theology (Canete et al., 2025; Moltmann & Kohl, 2004) affirms that creation is not a passive backdrop, but a participant in divine life. Churches designed with passive solar orientation, local and non-toxic materials, rainwater harvesting, or landscape integration become catechetical spaces, teaching that holiness includes ecological care. It critiques consumerism, spectacle, and waste, which gestures toward simplicity, reverence, and sustainability. In this way, sacred architecture contributes to the perceptible expression of cosmic communion, reminding the Church that the Earth is not a stage for worship; it is a sacred co-celebrant.

4.5. Positioning the framework relative to LEED, WELL, and the living building challenge

Most sustainability frameworks, such as LEED, WELL, and the Living Building Challenge (LBC) provide robust criteria for evaluating energy use, indoor environmental quality, materials health, and site ecology. However, these systems treat churches as generic assembly buildings and do not account for theological intent, liturgical function, or symbolic meaning. As a result, they offer limited guidance on how daylighting hierarchies, acoustic profiles, spatial procession, or material selection should support reverence, proclamation, contemplation, or communal participation. LEED and WELL optimize performance but remain silent on how environmental variables should align with theological purpose, while LBC emphasizes regenerative design without addressing cultural or liturgical identity.

The four principles proposed in this paper complement these frameworks by offering a sacred-space–specific layer: form follows faith links performance targets to theological identity; beauty as invitation identifies sensory qualities essential to religious experience; culture matters foregrounds vernacular and postcolonial considerations absent from technical systems; and ecological concern aligns stewardship theology with measurable sustainability criteria. Together, these principles fill conceptual gaps in existing certification systems and offer a more holistic, spiritually attuned basis for sustainable sacred design.

4.6. Implications for theology, ministry, and design

These four propositions: form follows faith, beauty as invitation, culture matters, and ecological concern, extend beyond architectural theory. They challenge theologians, ministers, and designers to recognize sacred space as a theological medium: one that forms identity, expresses doctrine, and fosters communion.

Theological Reflection - As Inge (2003) and Balthasar (2009) insist, theology is also spatial and aesthetic. The altar's placement, the nave's axis, the light's direction; all shape how believers understand grace, presence, and community. The Church must reclaim space and materiality as theological categories, integrating architectural analysis into ecclesiology, sacramental theology, and spiritual formation.

Pastoral and Liturgical Practice - Ministers and parish leaders are co-creators of sacred space. Choices about seating, processions, visibility, and acoustics are theological decisions that impact participation and reverence. Liturgical planning must account not only for rubrics but for spatial logic. If the liturgy is meant to be intelligible, participatory, and transformative (Second Vatican Council, 1963), the architecture must facilitate those qualities.

Collaborative and Inclusive Design - Church design must be collaborative, which means engaging architects, artists, liturgists, elders, youth, and the broader community. Inclusive design processes help ensure that sacred buildings welcome rather than alienate, invite rather than impose.

Education and Formation - Seminaries and architecture schools must foster cross-disciplinary formation. Theological education should engage art, aesthetics, and space; architectural training should include theology, liturgy, and ritual practice. Studios, fieldwork, and joint workshops can cultivate sacred spaces that are theologically resonant and pastorally effective (Kieckhefer, 2004; Tuan, 1977).

Postcolonial and Cultural Humility - In a global and postcolonial Church, sacred architecture must resist aesthetic imperialism. It must listen to local wisdom, indigenous forms, and ecological realities. Churches in the Global South must not be replicas of European cathedrals, but expressions of contextual theology.

These four propositions also serve as practical design heuristics that can be paired with environmental performance metrics. Form follows faith may guide spatial layout and daylighting distribution (sDA, DGP). Beauty as invitation can inform decisions on acoustic clarity (RT60, STI) or surface reflectance. Culture matters supports the use of vernacular, low-carbon, or bio-based materials evaluated through life-cycle assessment (LCA). Ecological concern aligns with passive design strategies, energy use intensity (EUI) reduction, natural ventilation modeling, and landscape-based stormwater management. Linking theological purpose with quantifiable environmental variables provides architects and engineers a structured basis for performance-oriented sacred design.

5. Applied and Environmental Implications

Sacred architecture is not only a bearer of theological meaning, but also a built environmental system whose performance can be described, evaluated, and improved. Translating theological intent into environmental variables enables evidence-informed design and retrofits that support reverence, participation, and ecological stewardship. These metrics were particularly selected because they are widely used in

building performance simulation and post-occupancy evaluation, and thus offer an accessible bridge between theological intent and standard environmental assessment practice.

5.1. Performance domains and indicative metrics

Daylighting and Visual Focus - Target spatial daylight autonomy (sDA 300/50%) at congregational seating, with controlled illuminance gradients to emphasize altar/ambo; limit discomfort glare (DGP) near focal liturgical elements.
Acoustics and Intelligibility - Balance reverberation time (RT60) for music with speech transmission index (STI) for proclamation; mitigate late reflections around the ambo to reduce cognitive load.
Thermal and Air Quality - Use adaptive thermal comfort approaches aligned with occupancy rhythms; integrate cross-ventilation and low- volatile organic compounds (VOC) finishes to support health and contemplative calm.
Materials and Carbon - Prefer vernacular, renewable, or high-recycled materials (e.g., engineered bamboo, adobe with lime stabilization, recycled glass); conduct life-cycle assessment (LCA) to manage embodied carbon.
Biophilic and Regenerative Features - Courtyards, planted edges, and views to sky/greenery reduce stress and cue transcendence; capture rainwater for irrigation and ritual use where appropriate.
Table 1 summarizes how theological intentions within sacred architecture can be translated into environmental design variables that are measurable, adaptable, and context-sensitive. Each theological category, such as: reverence, proclamation, communion, contemplation, and stewardship, which corresponds to a specific design intention that can be supported by environmental performance indicators. For instance, reverence and mystery are expressed through controlled lighting hierarchies that focus the gaze and modulate contrast, while maintaining visual comfort through appropriate illuminance ratios and low daylight glare probability (DGP) values. Proclamation and the Word depend on acoustic clarity; optimal reverberation time (RT60) and speech transmission index (STI) levels ensure that spoken liturgy and music remain intelligible yet resonant. The sense of communion and community is strengthened by spatial arrangements that maximize mutual visibility and minimize background noise, promoting social connection and shared participation. Similarly, contemplation and calm emerge from thermal and sensory comfort: air quality, temperature, and material tactility; that encourage stillness and embodied prayer. Finally, stewardship and creation care extend theological meaning into environmental ethics by integrating low-energy strategies, life-cycle awareness, and the use of local or renewable materials. Together, these correspondences demonstrate how spiritual values can inform design decisions in quantifiable yet contextual ways. The table does not prescribe universal standards; rather, it offers illustrative performance ranges that can guide architects and planners in aligning sacred intent with sustainable environmental practice.

Table 1: Translating Theological Intent into Environmental Design Variables

Theological category	Design intention	Environmental variables (indicative)	Example targets
Reverence / Mystery	Visual hierarchy; threshold experience	Illuminance ratio, DGP, surface reflectance	Altar vertical illuminance ≥ 300–500 lx; DGP < 0.35 at pews
Proclamation / Word	Speech clarity at ambo	RT60, STI, early decay time	RT60 ~ 1.2–1.8 s (music-oriented) with STI ≥ 0.6 near ambo
Communion / Community	Mutual visibility & proximity	Plan geometry, sightlines, background noise	Sightline clearance ≥ 15°; background LAeq < 40 dB
Contemplation / Calm	Sensory quiet & thermal ease	IAQ, adaptive comfort, material tactility	CO_2 < 1000 ppm; PM2.5 < 15 μg/m³; thermal acceptability ≥ 80%
Stewardship / Creation care	Lower energy & embodied carbon	EUI, LCA, % local/renewable materials	EUI below local median; ≥ 50% bio-based/recycled content where feasible

5.2. Mixed-methods evaluation

We also encourage pairing environmental measurements (sDA/UDI, DGP, RT60/STI, EUI, IAQ) with phenomenological and psychosocial instruments (e.g., perceived awe, belonging, intelligibility, calm). This mixed-methods posture respects theological depth while producing actionable performance feedback.

5.3. Design heuristics

Form follows faith - map liturgical priorities to sightlines, focal luminance, and circulation; make the altar/ambo/baptismal font legible by light and location.
Beauty as invitation - choreograph light, proportion, and texture as perceptual thresholds; avoid purely utilitarian envelopes that blunt wonder.
Culture matters - specify local craft and materials; encode community memory in motifs and spatial rituals; resist aesthetic importation.
Ecological concern - treat energy, water, and carbon as moral design briefs; prioritize passive strategies before mechanical add-ons.
While Table 1 shows how theological intentions can be translated into performance variables, Table 2 shows the reverse movement: how material choices themselves can carry theological and cultural meaning, while also delivering environmental benefits. In many contexts of world Christianity, the selection of bamboo, earth, timber, stone, or recycled glass is not only a response to cost or availability; it is a theological act of localization. Materials become a way of saying "God is at home here." Bamboo exemplifies this integration, in Southeast Asian and Pacific settings, it signals local craft, modesty, and growth, but at the same time it offers excellent strength-to-weight and very fast renewability, making it ideal for low-embodied carbon structural frames or shading screens. Adobe or earth conveys groundedness and memory of place; an architecture that rises from the soil of the community itself, while its thermal mass and moisture-buffering properties help stabilize indoor temperatures and reduce reliance on mechanical cooling. Timber carries incarnational overtones of warmth and nearness, and technically it is easy to work, pleasant to touch, and useful for acoustic diffusion panels that soften reverberation in worship spaces. Stone continues the long Christian tradition of durable, pilgrimage-oriented building; its mass improves acoustic damping and extends service life, supporting a theology of endurance. Finally, recycled glass allows designers to make the symbolism of light and transfiguration tangible, while also incorporating recycled content and modulating daylight for altars, baptisteries, or devotional niches. Taken together, the table illustrates that vernacular does not translate to inferior. Locally rooted, theologically resonant materials can at the same time meet sustainability goals (lower carbon, better thermal behavior, longer service life). For architects, pastors, and parish councils,

this means material specification can be discussed not only in terms of budget and aesthetics, but also in terms of ecological witness and contextual theology.

Table 2: Vernacular Materials, Theological Rationales, and Applied Properties for Sustainable Sacred Design

Material	Theological/vernacular rationale	Applied property	Implication
Bamboo	Local craft; humility; growth	High strength-weight, rapid renewability	Low embodied carbon structure & screens
Adobe/earth	Groundedness; memory of place	Thermal mass, hygroscopicity	Stable indoor temps; reduced HVAC
Timber	Incarnation; warmth	Tactile comfort; workable acoustics	Diffusion panels; humanized interiors
Stone	Endurance; pilgrimage	Mass; durability	Acoustic damping; long service life
Recycled glass	Light/transfiguration	Translucency; recycled content	Daylight modulation; symbolic glazing

Overall, this conceptual paper enables design teams to move from abstract aspirations ('a more reverent space' or 'a church that honors creation') to performance-focused design briefs that can be modeled, measured, and iteratively improved. For instance, a hypothetical project: Retrofits in a Tropical Parish Church. "Consider a mid-20th-century parish church in a tropical city, originally designed with small clerestory windows, minimal cross-ventilation, and heavy reliance on artificial lighting and mechanical cooling. Applying the proposed framework, the parish council and design team begin by articulating their theological priorities: enhanced reverence at the altar, stronger sense of communion among congregants, and visible commitment to creation care. These aims are then translated into environmental targets: improved spatial daylight autonomy at the nave with controlled DGP around the altar; RT60 and STI balanced for both congregational singing and intelligible preaching; and reduced EUI through passive shading, roof insulation, and increased use of local, low-carbon materials."

In practice, this leads to design moves such as: enlarging and re-orienting openings to admit diffuse daylight toward the sanctuary, adding operable louvered panels for cross-ventilation, replacing some concrete elements with engineered bamboo shading devices, and installing acoustic timber diffusers near the choir area. Trade-offs are navigated explicitly: larger openings that improve daylight and airflow must be carefully detailed to avoid distracting glare at the altar, and added acoustic absorption must not compromise natural ventilation pathways. Through iterative simulation and community consultation, the congregation arrives at a retrofit that demonstrably lowers energy use, improves thermal and acoustic comfort, and is perceived by parishioners as more reverent, communal, and ecologically responsible. This kind of scenario illustrates how the theological–environmental correspondences proposed in this paper can guide concrete design decisions.

5.4. Positioning the framework relative to LEED, WELL, and the living building challenge

The proposed framework is not intended to replace existing sustainable building systems such as LEED, WELL, or the Living Building Challenge (LBC), but to complement them in sacred space contexts. These systems provide robust criteria for energy performance, indoor environmental quality, material health, and site ecology, yet they typically treat worship spaces as generic assembly buildings. Consequently, they do not address how specifically theological aims, such as cultivating reverence, articulating ecclesial identity, or expressing creation care as spiritual witness; should shape performance targets. The four principles proposed here ('form follows faith,' 'beauty as invitation,' 'culture matters,' and 'ecological concern') supply a theological and phenomenological layer that can be superimposed on LEED, WELL, or LBC checklists. For example, LEED daylight credits can be evaluated not only for numerical sDA values, but also for how light hierarchies support liturgical focal points; WELL acoustic criteria can be interpreted through the lens of proclamation and communal participation; and LBC material imperatives can be discerned as practices of creation care and postcolonial justice. In this way, our framework bridges a gap between performance-based certification systems and the sacred-specific aspirations of Christian communities.

6. Conclusion

Sacred architecture is not a peripheral aesthetic concern; it is a central theological medium. Drawing from lived theology, theological aesthetics, and contextual theology, this study has shown how sacred space is not simply where worship occurs; it is a participant in worship, shaping spiritual perception, doctrinal understanding, and communal identity. Church buildings are more than structures; they are sacramental spaces where belief takes form and transcendence becomes tangible. Whether constructed from stone or bamboo, in traditional or vernacular style, sacred architecture renders theological meaning perceptible through light, sound, form, and place. It mediates divine presence not only through symbolism but through experience; through how the faithful move, dwell, and encounter the holy. To design, interpret, or inhabit a church is to engage in a profoundly theological task; one that demands imagination, reverence, and discernment. Sacred architecture reveals that theology is not only proclaimed from pulpits or written in texts; it is built in brick, carved in wood, filtered through stained glass, and felt through silence. The church building is not simply where we gather; it is how we believe.

To support empirical application, the proposed framework suggests several testable hypotheses grounded in previous studies on daylighting, acoustics, indoor environmental quality, and material perception. First, prior research in worship and contemplative environments indicates that stronger daylighting hierarchy, such as brighter illumination at the altar and reduced glare in congregational seating; may be associated with higher reported reverence, awe, or contemplative focus. Second, studies in architectural acoustics suggest that greater speech clarity generally improves intelligibility and may enhance congregational participation and perceived liturgical engagement. Third, research on indoor environmental quality shows that comfortable thermal conditions and adequate ventilation are linked to subjective calm and reduced cognitive load, which may support prayerful or meditative experience. Fourth, environmental psychology literature suggests that vernacular or bio-based materials, including bamboo, adobe, timber, or stone; which can foster cultural resonance, place attachment, and a sense of rootedness compared with generic or imported materials. These hypotheses demonstrate how theological intentions can be operationalized through measurable environmental conditions, providing a pathway for future studies using post-occupancy evaluation, phenomenological surveys, or cross-cultural comparative designs.

Beyond its theological significance, this study carries practical implications for architects, theologians, and sustainability practitioners. By framing sacred architecture as both a medium of theology and an environmental system, it invites interdisciplinary collaboration in church design, retrofitting, and evaluation. Practically, the proposed framework encourages stakeholders to integrate theological meaning with measurable performance, such as daylight balance, acoustic clarity, material sustainability, and community participation, in order to create spaces that are spiritually resonant and environmentally restorative. However, this conceptual synthesis also has limitations. It does not yet include empirical testing or quantitative modeling of the proposed environmental variables, nor does it account for the economic and regulatory constraints that influence real-world church construction. Future research may therefore combine architectural simulation, post-occupancy evaluation, and phenomenological study to assess how lighting, acoustics, and material choice concretely affect worshippers'

perception and well-being. Comparative studies across cultural and climatic contexts could further refine how theological symbolism and environmental performance intersect. In sum, the framework offered here is both a theological vision and an applied research agenda; one that calls scholars and designers alike to build sacred spaces where sustainability, community, and divine encounter harmoniously dwell.

The proposed framework also opens several pathways for empirical investigation. First, post-occupancy evaluations of existing churches, including surveys, behavioral mapping, and sensory assessments; which can examine how lighting, acoustics, and spatial configuration shape reported spiritual experience, reverence, and communal participation. Second, quantitative studies may correlate measurable environmental variables (e.g., acoustic clarity, daylighting balance, ventilation quality) with perceived theological or liturgical outcomes, such as intelligibility of Scripture, sense of awe, or depth of congregational engagement. Third, environmental life-cycle assessments (LCA) comparing vernacular materials (such as bamboo, adobe, or timber) with conventional construction systems could clarify how cultural and ecological considerations interact in sacred design. Fourth, cross-cultural comparative research could explore how different Christian communities in the Global South and Global North perceive sacredness through environmental cues. Finally, mixed-method studies, combining phenomenology, environmental psychology, and building-performance analysis; which would allow researchers to evaluate how theological intention and environmental performance converge in lived experience. Together, these directions demonstrate how the framework may evolve into a scientifically grounded research agenda.

Author Declaration on The Use of Generative AI

The authors affirm that no generative AI or automated tools were used for the conception of ideas, theoretical analysis, or interpretation of data in this study. Language refinement and editorial polishing were supported using Wordtune and ChatGPT (OpenAI, GPT-5) solely for improving clarity, coherence, and grammar. All substantive content, including conceptual framing, argument development, and citations was written, reviewed, and verified by the authors. The final manuscript was carefully checked to ensure accuracy, originality, and compliance with ethical and academic integrity standards.

References

[1] Ammerman, N. T. (2021). *Studying lived religion: Contexts and practices*. New York - Press. https://doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9781479804283.001.0001

[2] Balthasar, H. U. V. (2009). *The glory of the Lord: A theological aesthetics* (2nd ed., Vol. 1). Ignatius Press.

[3] Begbie, J. S. (2007). *Resounding truth: Christian wisdom in the world of music*. Baker Academic.

[4] Bevans, S. B., & Schroeder, R. (2004). *Constants in context: A theology of mission for today*. Orbis Books.

[5] Bosch, D. J. (2011). *Transforming mission: Paradigm shifts in theology of mission*. Orbis Books.

[6] Canete, J. J. O., Daton, E. M. G., & Ching, G. S. (2025). Reimagining ecofeminism: Religious hermeneutics and ecotheology as conceptual tools for intergenerational climate ethics. *Religions, 16*(4), 501. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel16040501.

[7] Chiotti, R. (2022). The architecture of eco-theology: Towards a new typology for Christian sacred space. *Religions, 13*(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13010029.

[8] Coleman, S. (2025). On the entanglements of heritage - Afterword to the Special Issue Sacred Heritage: Religions and Material Culture. *Religions, 16*(2), 207. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel16020207.

[9] Cudworth, E., & Hobden, S. (2021). Posthuman international relations: Complexity, ecologism and global politics. In D. Chandler, F. Müller, & D. Rothe (Eds.), *International Relations in the Anthropocene* (pp. 233–249). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-53014-3_13.

[10] Dulles, A. (1991). *Models of the church*. Image.

[11] Inge, J. (2003). *A Christian theology of place: Explorations in practical, pastoral and empirical theology* (1st ed.). Routledge.

[12] Kahn, L. I. (1957). Architecture is the thoughtful making of spaces. *Perspecta: The Yale Architectural Journal, 4*, 2-3. https://doi.org/10.2307/1566858.

[13] Katongole, E. (2010). *The sacrifice of Africa: A political theology for Africa*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.

[14] Kearney, J. W. J. (1989). Form follows function - and function follows philosophy: An architectural reponse. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal, 40*, 27-34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-6988.1989.tb00637.x.

[15] Kieckhefer, R. (2004). *Theology in stone: Church architecture from Byzantium to modernity*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/0195154665.001.0001.

[16] Kilde, J. H. (2008). *Sacred power, sacred space: An introduction to Christian architecture and worship*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195314694.001.0001.

[17] Kwok, P.-l. (2005). *Postcolonial imagination and feminist theology*. Westminster John Knox Press.

[18] Lazar, N., & Chithra, K. (2022). Role of culture in sustainable development and sustainable built environment: A review. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 24*, 5991–6031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-021-01691-8.

[19] Makrides, V. N. (2012). Orthodox Christianity, change, innovation: Contradictions in terms? In T. S. Willert & L. Molokotos-Liederman (Eds.), *Innovation in the Orthodox Christian tradition? The question of change in Greek Orthodox thought and practice* (pp. 19-50). Routledge.

[20] Moltmann, J., & Kohl, M. (2004). *The coming of God: Christian eschatology*. Fortress Press.

[21] Otto, R. (1958). *The idea of the holy* (J. W. Harvey, Trans.). Oxford University Press.

[22] Pallasmaa, J. (2024). *The eyes of the skin: Architecture and the senses* (4th ed.). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394200702.

[23] Radermacher, M. (2016). Space, religion, and bodies: Aspects of concrete emplacements of religious practice. *Journal of Religion in Europe, 9*(4), 304-323. https://doi.org/10.1163/18748929-00904001.

[24] Rahner, K. (1983). *The practice of faith: A handbook of contemporary spirituality*. Crossroad.

[25] Redell, P. C. (2020). *Avantgarde art and radical material theology: A manifesto*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429198939.

[26] Ricca, M. (2023). *Intercultural spaces of law: Translating invisibilities*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-27436-7.

[27] Sanneh, L. (2003). *Whose religion is Christianity? The gospel beyond the West*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.

[28] Second Vatican Council. (1963). *Sacrosanctum concilium*. https://www.vatican.va/archive/hist_councils/ii_vatican_council/documents/vat-ii_const_19631204_sacrosanctum-concilium_en.html

[29] Stemp, R. (2010). *The secret language of churches and Cathedrals: Decoding the sacred symbolism of Christianity's holy buildings*. DBP.

[30] Stroik, D. G. (2012). *The church building as a sacred place: Beauty, transcendence, and the eternal*. Hillenbrand Books.

[31] Thiessen, G. E. (Ed.). (2004). *Theological aesthetics: A reader*. SCM Press.

[32] Tuan, Y.-F. (1977). *Space and place: The perspective of experience*. University of Minnesota Press.

[33] Wang, S., de Oliveira, G. S., Djebbara, Z., & Gramann, K. (2022). The embodiment of architectural experience: A methodological perspective on neuro-architecture. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 16*, 833528. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2022.833528.

[34] Willerslev, R., & Pedersen, M. A. (2010). Proportional holism: Joking the cosmos into the right shape in North Asia. In T. Otto & N. Bubandt (Eds.), *Experiment in holism: Theory and practice in contemporary anthropology* (pp. 262-278). Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444324426.ch15.