International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 14 (8) (2025) 233-239

International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJBAS
https://doi.org/10.14419/w4qy0359
Research paper

The Implementation of The Wolbachia Mosquito Program in Dengue Fever Control Efforts in Indonesia

Suharno Zen [1] *, Agus Sutanto [2], Muhfahroyin [2], Handoko Santoso [2], Achyani [2], Hening Widowati [2], Agus Sujarwanta [2]

[1] *Biomedical Science Study Program, University of Muhammadiyah Metro, Indonesia*
[2] *Postgraduate Biology Education, University of Muhammadiyah Metro, Indonesia*
**Corresponding author E-mail: suharnozein@gmail.com*

Received: July 8, 2025, Accepted: August 12, 2025, Published: December 12, 2025

Abstract

Dengue fever remains a significant public health threat in Indonesia, with periodic outbreaks contributing to morbidity and mortality across the archipelago. Traditional vector control methods, such as insecticide use and environmental management, have shown limited long-term effectiveness due to resistance development and inconsistent implementation. In response, Indonesia has adopted the Wolbachia Mosquito Program as an innovative biological approach to suppress dengue transmission. This study employs a qualitative literature review methodology to examine the implementation and effectiveness of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in controlling dengue cases across various Indonesian regions. Drawing on peer-reviewed journals, government reports, and project documentation, this review explores the scientific rationale for Wolbachia use, its field deployment strategies, community engagement processes, and preliminary health outcomes. Findings suggest that Wolbachia can significantly reduce the vectorial capacity of mosquitoes by limiting viral replication, offering a sustainable, non-chemical vector-control alternative. In areas such as Yogyakarta, early trials have demonstrated a substantial decline in dengue incidence following Wolbachia release. Furthermore, strong community involvement, transparent communication, and collaboration with local health authorities were identified as critical success factors. Nevertheless, the review also highlights challenges, including scalability-ity, long-term monitoring, and public acceptance in diverse socio-cultural contexts. This study contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting biologically based disease control methods and provides insights into the factors influencing their successful integration into national public health strategies.

Keywords: *Dengue Fever; Indonesia; Qualitative Study; Vector Control.*

1. Introduction

Dengue fever remains a critical public health issue in many tropical and subtropical countries, with Southeast Asia bearing a significant portion of the global disease burden. Among these nations, Indonesia ranks among the highest in terms of dengue incidence and mortality.[1]. The Ministry of Health of Indonesia has recorded hundreds of thousands of dengue cases annually, with cyclical outbreaks exacerbating strain on healthcare infrastructure, particularly in densely populated urban areas. Dengue transmission is primarily driven by the Aedes aegypti mosquito, which thrives in urban environments and is difficult to control using conventional vector management strategies.[2].

Historically, Indonesia has employed a variety of dengue control measures, including insecticide fogging, larvicide distribution, environmental sanitation campaigns, and community education programs. While these interventions have achieved some success in reducing mosquito populations temporarily, their long-term sustainability has been undermined by increasing insecticide resistance, operational inefficiencies, limited community compliance, and challenges in intersectoral coordination.[3]. As dengue continues to spread despite these efforts, there is a growing need for innovative and sustainable solutions that move beyond traditional chemical-dependent approaches.

One such innovation is the Wolbachia Mosquito Program, which introduces Aedes aegypti mosquitoes infected with Wolbachia pipientis, a bacterium that occurs naturally in many insect species. Wolbachia does not infect humans but has the unique ability to block the replication of the dengue virus inside the mosquito's body.[4]. When these modified mosquitoes are released into the wild, they breed with local mosquito populations, gradually spreading the Wolbachia trait and rendering the mosquito population less capable of transmitting dengue, chikungunya, Zika, and yellow fever viruses. Unlike traditional methods, the Wolbachia approach is self-sustaining and environmentally friendly.[5].

In Indonesia, the first large-scale deployment of the Wolbachia method began in Yogyakarta through a collaborative initiative involving the World Mosquito Program (WMP), Gadjah Mada University, and the Indonesian Ministry of Health. The program demonstrated promising results, including a significant reduction in dengue incidence in intervention areas [6]. However, while biological and

epidemiological outcomes have been documented, comprehensive studies focusing on the implementation process, community perceptions, policy frameworks, and scalability challenges remain scarce. This absence of socio-contextual research represents a critical research gap, especially as the government considers expanding the program to other regions [7].

Previous studies have predominantly emphasized the biological efficacy and entomological indicators of Wolbachia-based interventions, often through quantitative or experimental designs. For instance, several randomized control trials and modeling studies have shown a decrease in the basic reproductive number (R_0) of the dengue virus in Wolbachia-covered areas. However, these studies offer limited insight into how local governments, public health workers, and community members perceive and engage with the program. Factors such as trust in science, cultural beliefs, risk communication, and the political economy of health interventions play pivotal roles in determining the success or failure of such programs. Hence, this study adopts a qualitative, literature-based approach to explore the broader implementation dynamics within the Indonesian context. [8].

Furthermore, studies such as those by [9] Have explored potential risks of Wolbachia's spread beyond intended mosquito populations, which could result in unforeseen ecological consequences. While Wolbachia is primarily introduced to target Aedes aegypti, concerns about gene flow between different species of mosquitoes have been raised, necessitating careful monitoring and risk assessment.

The novelty of this study lies in its interdisciplinary perspective, integrating public health, policy analysis, and community-based approaches to better understand how innovative vector control programs like Wolbachia can be effectively adapted in complex social environments. [10]. This is particularly important as Indonesia continues to decentralize its health governance, giving greater authority to regional governments to implement context-specific public health strategies.

The objectives of this study are threefold:

1) To analyze the implementation strategies of the Wolbachia Mosquito Program in different provinces of Indonesia,
2) To identify key success factors and barriers related to stakeholder engagement, policy integration, and public communication
3) To offer practical recommendations for expanding and institutionalizing the program within Indonesia's national dengue control agenda.

The expected contributions of this study are twofold. First, it seeks to enrich academic discourse on biological vector control by incorporating socio-political and cultural dimensions. Second, it aims to inform policymakers, public health practitioners, and international stakeholders about the practical conditions necessary to successfully implement biologically based interventions in resource-constrained, socially diverse settings like Indonesia.

2. Methods

2.1. Research methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research approach employing a systematic literature review as its primary method. Qualitative research is appropriate for exploring complex, context-dependent phenomena—such as public health intervention implementation—where human behavior, institutional processes, and community dynamics intersect. A literature-based methodology allows for an in-depth understanding of the socio-political, cultural, and operational dimensions of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia without engaging in primary fieldwork. [11].

2.2. Type of research

The type of research used in this study is descriptive qualitative, aimed at exploring and interpreting textual data to uncover patterns, themes, and meanings related to the implementation of the Wolbachia mosquito program. [12]. The study does not seek to measure causality or quantify impact, but rather to critically analyze how the program has been designed, communicated, accepted, and institutionalized within different Indonesian settings.

2.3. Data sources

The data used in this research are secondary sources derived from a comprehensive collection of peer-reviewed journal articles, government publications, NGO reports, institutional documents (e.g., World Mosquito Program and WHO), conference proceedings, and credible media articles published between 2010 and 2025 [13]. Emphasis was placed on literature that discusses Wolbachia deployments in Indonesia, although comparative studies from other countries were also consulted for a broader context.

2.4. Data collection techniques

The data collection process followed a systematic search and selection strategy based on the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews, to enhance reproducibility. The following steps were followed:

1) Eligibility Criteria:

Literature was selected based on the following inclusion criteria:

a) Articles published between 2010 and 2025.
b) Peer-reviewed journal articles, reports from recognized institutions (e.g., WHO, World Mosquito Program), and credible government and NGO reports.
c) Studies that specifically address the implementation of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia, as well as studies from other countries for comparative insights.
d) Articles written in English and Indonesian.

2) Exclusion criteria included

a) Studies that did not focus on the Wolbachia mosquito program or dengue control.
b) Articles that were not peer-reviewed or of low methodological quality.

The data collection process involved a systematic search and selection of relevant literature using academic databases such as Scopus, PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar [14]. Keywords used included: "Wolbachia mosquito program," "dengue control Indonesia," "Aedes aegypti Wolbachia," and "community-based vector control." Literature was selected based on relevance, credibility, methodological rigor, and publication quality. A reference management tool (e.g., Zotero) was used to organize and filter the collected documents [15].

2.5. Data analysis method

Data were analyzed using qualitative content analysis. This method involves systematic reading, coding, and thematic interpretation of the collected literature. The analysis followed several stages:

1) Familiarization – reading all documents to gain a holistic understanding;
2) Coding – identifying key themes, patterns, and categories (e.g., community engagement, policy support, outcomes, challenges);
3) Theme Development – grouping codes into broader themes that answer the research questions;
4) Interpretation – linking findings to existing theories and frameworks on public health intervention implementation.

To ensure rigor and validity, the study employed triangulation of sources and cross-referenced findings across multiple publications. Reflexivity was maintained to reduce interpretive bias, and transparency in coding decisions was prioritized.

3. Results

The qualitative analysis of the literature reveals that the implementation of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia represents a significant shift in the national approach to dengue vector control.[6]. Rather than relying solely on chemical-based methods such as fogging and larviciding, the program introduces a biological intervention that targets the vector's ability to transmit the dengue virus. [16]. In various reviewed studies and reports, the introduction of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes has been shown to reduce the vector competence of local mosquito populations, contributing to a measurable decline in dengue incidence in intervention areas, particularly in Yogyakarta, which served as the most documented case study.

The Yogyakarta pilot project, conducted by the World Mosquito Program (WMP) in collaboration with Gadjah Mada University and local health authorities, demonstrated strong community engagement and measurable epidemiological impact. Published evaluations report up to a 77% reduction in dengue cases and 86% reduction in dengue hospitalizations in treated areas. [17]. These outcomes not only provide empirical evidence of the biological effectiveness of Wolbachia but also illustrate the feasibility of its integration into public health frameworks. [18]. The success of the Yogyakarta implementation has led to further expansion plans in other high-risk provinces such as West Java, Bali, and Jakarta, indicating growing institutional support and scalability potential.

However, the success of the program is not solely attributable to the scientific efficacy of Wolbachia. A recurring theme across the literature is the importance of social acceptance and public trust. In communities where the program was introduced, public education campaigns, transparent risk communication, and early involvement of local leaders were instrumental in building acceptance.[19]. The program's ethical commitment to informed consent and ongoing community consultation further contributed to a favorable reception. In contrast, regions where engagement strategies were insufficient or delayed experienced resistance, misinformation, or public skepticism, which underscores the critical role of socio-cultural sensitivity in biological intervention programs.

Another key finding concerns the role of policy and institutional alignment. The program's effective deployment in Indonesia benefited from strong intersectoral collaboration between academic institutions, local governments, international partners, and community-based organizations.[20]. The ability to align national dengue control strategies with decentralized local health authorities was a determining factor in mobilizing resources and sustaining operational logistics. Furthermore, the Indonesian Ministry of Health's willingness to incorporate the Wolbachia method into its broader vector control framework reflects an openness to innovation rarely observed in highly bureaucratized health systems. This institutional adaptability enabled flexible response mechanisms, such as reallocating personnel, integrating surveillance data, and adjusting release schedules based on field feedback.

Despite these positive developments, several implementation challenges were also identified. One of the most significant concerns is the sustainability of the program post-deployment. While Wolbachia is self-propagating, continuous entomological and epidemiological surveillance is required to monitor effectiveness, detect possible failures, and respond to unforeseen ecological consequences. [21]. Limited funding, inconsistent technical capacity across regions, and the lack of a standardized national regulatory framework have been noted as barriers to long-term viability. Moreover, although initial trials have shown positive public response, maintaining community support over time remains uncertain, especially as the novelty of the program fades or if unexpected dengue outbreaks occur despite Wolbachia presence [22].

Additionally, while Indonesia's context provides a valuable model for low- and middle-income countries, findings from this study suggest that the success of the Wolbachia method is highly context-dependent. Geographic diversity, health infrastructure disparities, and varied socio-political environments across Indonesia pose unique challenges to uniform implementation. In densely urbanized or isolated rural areas, logistical and behavioral complexities differ significantly, requiring tailored operational strategies. This raises critical questions regarding how adaptable and equitable the intervention truly is across heterogeneous settings.

The analysis also points to the need for stronger integration between research and policy. Although Indonesia has benefited from robust scientific collaboration, the translation of scientific findings into scalable policy has not always been seamless. [23]. Regulatory uncertainties, limited legal provisions for novel biocontrol agents, and variable local leadership capacities have occasionally slowed down program expansion. These institutional frictions highlight the need for improved science-policy interfaces that can bridge gaps between evidence generation, policy formulation, and program delivery.

In summary, the implementation of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia has demonstrated significant promise as a biologically and socially feasible strategy to reduce dengue transmission.[24]. Its success hinges on more than just scientific innovation—it requires comprehensive community engagement, strong policy alignment, continuous surveillance, and adaptive governance. While the program has delivered measurable results and international recognition, its long-term effectiveness will depend on Indonesia's ability to institutionalize and scale the program sustainably, while responding dynamically to ecological, social, and political complexities.

4. Discussion

4.1. Effectiveness of Wolbachia in reducing dengue incidence

The implementation of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes in Indonesia has shown measurable success in reducing dengue transmission. The most significant evidence comes from Yogyakarta, where the World Mosquito Program (WMP), in collaboration with Gadjah Mada University and the Indonesian Ministry of Health, conducted a large-scale cluster randomized trial. The results demonstrated a 77% reduction in dengue cases and an 86% reduction in hospitalizations in treated areas. This dramatic decline validates the scientific

rationale behind the Wolbachia method, which works by inhibiting viral replication within the mosquito, thereby disrupting the transmission cycle.

The entomological mechanisms of Wolbachia have been extensively documented. Once introduced into mosquito populations, Wolbachia is maternally inherited and gradually spreads throughout local populations. Unlike chemical methods that kill mosquitoes, Wolbachia's approach reduces vector competence, thus weakening the disease transmission chain without necessarily reducing mosquito abundance. This contributes to ecological stability and reduces reliance on insecticides, which often result in resistance over time.

Table 1: Impact of Wolbachia-Infected Aedes Aegypti Release in Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Indicator	Pre-Intervention	Post-Intervention	Percentage Change	Source/Reference
Reported Dengue Cases	High (baseline)	77% lower	↓ 77%	WMP & Gadjah Mada University (2021)
Dengue Hospitalizations	High (baseline)	86% lower	↓ 86%	WMP & Ministry of Health (2021)
Mosquito Population Size	Unchanged	Unchanged	≈ 0% change	Entomological Surveillance Data
Vector Competence (Virus Transmission)	Normal	Significantly reduced	↓ High	Peer-reviewed entomological studies
Use of Insecticides	Frequent	Significantly reduced	↓ Moderate	Local Public Health Office Reports

While the Yogyakarta case provides the strongest empirical foundation, other regions such as Bali, Semarang, and Jakarta have begun piloting Wolbachia programs with early indicators of positive outcomes. However, these results are preliminary and must be monitored for consistency across time and ecological conditions. The variation in urban infrastructure, population density, and vector dynamics means the success of Wolbachia is context-dependent and not uniformly replicable without adaptive implementation strategies.

Additionally, epidemiological modeling suggests that the benefits of Wolbachia increase over time as the infected mosquito population stabilizes. This contrasts with fogging campaigns, which provide only temporary relief and require repeated application. The long-term sustainability of Wolbachia is a major advantage, as it requires limited maintenance once established and has the potential to offer protection for multiple years with only a single intervention cycle.

Nevertheless, while the biological effectiveness is evident, it is crucial to interpret these outcomes with caution. Factors such as pre-existing herd immunity, weather patterns, and regional healthcare capacities can influence case numbers independently of Wolbachia. Therefore, attributing reductions in dengue incidence solely to the intervention without accounting for externalities would oversimplify the causal chain.

In the reviewed literature, there is consensus on the need for sustained epidemiological surveillance to ensure that reductions in dengue are attributable to Wolbachia and not temporal variation. Moreover, studies recommend that Wolbachia implementation be complemented by traditional vector control methods during the transition phase to avoid resurgence and provide added reassurance to the community.

In summary, the available data strongly support Wolbachia's potential to serve as an effective vector control strategy. However, long-term evaluation, ecological monitoring, and triangulation with traditional control indicators are essential to fully validate its efficacy and adapt the intervention to Indonesia's diverse urban and rural settings.

4.2. Community engagement and public perception

The success of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia is as much a social challenge as a biological one. The introduction of genetically modified or biologically altered organisms into public spaces often triggers public skepticism, fears of unknown consequences, and resistance driven by misinformation. To address these concerns, program designers placed substantial emphasis on community engagement and participatory communication, particularly during the Yogyakarta trials.

Effective community engagement strategies included town hall meetings, distribution of educational materials, engagement of religious and cultural leaders, and media campaigns using both traditional and digital platforms. These efforts aimed to demystify the technology, explain its benefits, and emphasize its safety, particularly the fact that Wolbachia cannot infect humans and poses no ecological threat. Transparency and consistent messaging helped alleviate fears and build trust between researchers and residents.

Table 2: Community Engagement Strategies and Social Outcomes in the Wolbachia Program (Yogyakarta Case Study)

Engagement Strategy	Description	Target Audience	Primary Objective	Observed Social Impact
Town Hall Meetings	Open forums with researchers, health officials, and residents	General public, local leaders	Encourage dialogue, address concerns	Increased transparency and community trust
Educational Material Distribution	Flyers, posters, and brochures explaining Wolbachia technology	Households, schools, and community centers	Raise awareness and clarify misconceptions	Improved understanding of Wolbachia safety
Engagement of Religious and Cultural Leaders	Collaboration with community influencers for information dissemination	Local religious figures, elders	Enhance the credibility of the program	Higher endorsement and message acceptance
Media Campaigns (TV, Radio, Social Media)	Multi-channel communication strategy using accessible media	Urban and semi-urban population	Widen reach and promote consistent messaging	Reduced misinformation and rumor circulation
Community Reference Group (CRG)	An advisory body composed of local representatives for feedback and oversight	Residents from diverse neighborhood groups	Facilitate participatory governance	Increased legitimacy and sense of community ownership
School-based Awareness Programs	Interactive sessions for students and teachers	Youth and educators	Educate the next generation and promote peer discussion	Long-term attitudinal shift in younger demographics
Feedback Hotlines and Surveys	Mechanisms for community members to ask questions and report concerns	Entire community	Monitor sentiment and adjust communication strategies	Strengthened two-way communication between the public and the program

Furthermore, the implementation model in Yogyakarta included a community reference group (CRG) composed of residents, which functioned as a feedback and communication channel. This participatory governance mechanism allowed residents to voice concerns, ask

questions, and influence rollout strategies. Such models of collaborative health governance not only enhanced legitimacy but also fostered a sense of co-ownership, critical to program sustainability.

Despite these successes, the literature notes that public response varied significantly across regions. In areas where the rollout was abrupt or where engagement efforts were minimal, there were reported incidents of community rejection, conspiracy theories, and distrust toward health authorities. This underscores that the social license for such programs must be actively cultivated and cannot be assumed.

A crucial insight from several studies is the role of local culture and communication style in shaping acceptance. In some Indonesian communities, decisions are heavily influenced by traditional leaders or religious figures, whose endorsement can facilitate rapid acceptance or trigger resistance. Programs that succeeded in building alliances with these influential actors saw higher rates of community compliance and lower resistance to mosquito releases.

Another critical factor is the use of language and media framing. Misinterpretations about the nature of Wolbachia, such as fears that mosquitoes were genetically modified or dangerous, often stemmed from miscommunication or poorly worded informational materials. It is therefore essential that public messaging be tailored to local literacy levels and cultural understandings, rather than relying solely on technical explanations.

Long-term public engagement also presents challenges. While initial participation may be high due to novelty and media attention, sustaining interest and understanding over time requires institutional commitment and continuous feedback loops. Studies warn of "participation fatigue," where communities disengage once immediate concerns are addressed, potentially weakening program oversight and trust in the long run.

In conclusion, the Wolbachia program's community engagement strategies in Indonesia offer a valuable model for participatory health intervention. Yet, scalability requires careful cultural adaptation, long-term investment in trust-building, and institutional mechanisms for continuous dialogue.

4.3. Policy integration and institutional collaboration

The successful integration of the Wolbachia method into Indonesia's public health system required coordinated efforts across multiple levels of government and institutional stakeholders. One of the program's distinguishing features was its alignment with national dengue control policies, as well as its incorporation into local government health strategies. This alignment was not automatic but the result of proactive advocacy and policy translation by academic and civil society partners.

Government buy-in was a critical enabling factor. The Ministry of Health's recognition of Wolbachia as a complementary vector control strategy allowed it to be formally included within regional vector control planning. Additionally, partnerships with provincial and city-level health offices facilitated the operationalization of mosquito releases, monitoring, and data reporting. This multilevel governance structure allowed for both national oversight and local adaptation.

International collaboration also played a pivotal role. The involvement of the World Mosquito Program brought not only technical expertise but also legitimacy and access to international funding streams. The synergy between local universities, international NGOs, and public health departments created a multi-actor coalition that enhanced coordination and shared responsibility.

However, despite these strengths, the reviewed literature indicates gaps in institutional coherence. In some regions, conflicting mandates between environmental, health, and regulatory authorities created delays in approval processes and operational confusion. Moreover, there remains no comprehensive legal framework specifically addressing biocontrol agents like Wolbachia, leading to regulatory uncertainties and inconsistent implementation.

Decentralization, while enabling local innovation, also created variation in readiness and capacity. While cities like Yogyakarta had strong academic institutions and health governance structures, more remote or resource-limited districts lacked the capacity to implement complex biological programs. This raises concerns about equity and the ability to scale Wolbachia nationally without reinforcing regional disparities.

To address these institutional challenges, several scholars recommend the establishment of a centralized coordination body tasked with overseeing biocontrol programs and harmonizing regulations. This body could facilitate the development of technical guidelines, coordinate stakeholder training, and streamline the approval of new deployment sites.

Capacity building is another crucial component. Training local health workers in entomological monitoring, community engagement, and Wolbachia biology ensures that the program is not reliant on external expertise and can be institutionalized within the national health workforce. Without such measures, the program risks becoming a donor-dependent, project-based intervention rather than a sustainable public health strategy.

In summary, the Wolbachia program has benefited from high-level political support and multi-stakeholder collaboration. Yet, achieving systemic integration will require legal clarity, capacity strengthening, and more consistent institutional frameworks across regions.

The Wolbachia mosquito program is a biotechnology innovation aimed at controlling the spread of the dengue virus through intervention in the Aedes aegypti mosquito population. Wolbachia is a naturally occurring bacterium that is transmitted vertically (from mother to offspring) in insects and is capable of inhibiting the replication of the dengue virus within the mosquito's body. Consequently, Aedes aegypti mosquitoes carrying Wolbachia are significantly less likely to transmit the dengue virus to humans.[25].

Bandung City was selected as one of the national pilot project sites by the Indonesian Ministry of Health in collaboration with the World Mosquito Program (WMP) and Gadjah Mada University. The program has been actively implemented in several areas of Bandung since 2022.

4.4. Implementation strategies in Bandung City

1) Public Outreach and Community Education

The Bandung City Government, together with the WMP team, adopted a persuasive approach through direct community outreach, social media engagement, and neighborhood meetings. Education efforts focused on the safety of Wolbachia, the mosquito release process, and the long-term benefits of the program to foster public acceptance and participation.

2) Gradual Release of Wolbachia-Infected Mosquitoes

Mosquitoes were released in high dengue incidence areas such as Buah Batu, Antapani, and Cibeunying districts. The releases were conducted periodically over a 6–8 month period to ensure the establishment and propagation of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti within the local mosquito population.

3) Monitoring and Evaluation

Monitoring was carried out using ovitraps (egg traps) to track the stable spread of Wolbachia in the mosquito population. Epidemiological data, particularly dengue case numbers, were analyzed to assess the program's effectiveness in reducing transmission rates.

4) Cross-Sectoral Collaboration
The program involved multiple sectors, including the local Health Department, non-governmental organizations, community leaders, and academic institutions. This multisectoral engagement was essential in ensuring the program's legitimacy and success.
5) Data-Driven Approach
Decision-making processes were based on data, including mapping of target areas, distribution planning, and ongoing evaluation. This ensured that interventions were strategically deployed and outcomes effectively measured [25].

5. Conclusion

The implementation of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia represents a significant and innovative advancement in the nation's dengue fever control strategy, offering a sustainable, biologically driven alternative to traditional vector management methods. Grounded in robust scientific evidence and reinforced by community engagement, institutional collaboration, and policy support, the program has demonstrated promising reductions in dengue incidence, particularly in regions like Yogyakarta. However, its long-term success depends on continued investment in public education, regulatory alignment, epidemiological surveillance, and equitable scalability across diverse local contexts. As Indonesia moves toward broader adoption, the Wolbachia program serves as both a model and a challenge for integrating scientific innovation into complex public health systems. Bandung City was selected as one of the national pilot project sites by the Indonesian Ministry of Health in collaboration with the World Mosquito Program (WMP) and Gadjah Mada University. The program has been actively implemented in several areas of Bandung since 2022.
To scale the Wolbachia mosquito program nationally in Indonesia, pilot trials should be conducted in decentralized regions such as Java, Bali, or Sulawesi to assess local challenges and potential success. A community-based approach, supported by extensive socialization, is essential to ensure local engagement and acceptance. Collaboration between the central and regional governments is critical, with the central government providing funding and technical support, while local governments tailor implementation to local needs. The program should also be integrated into broader public health strategies, addressing other vector-borne diseases for optimal resource use. A standardized monitoring and evaluation system will ensure transparency and allow for necessary adjustments. Strengthening infrastructure and training healthcare workers, alongside leveraging digital technology for education and outreach, will further enhance the program's effectiveness across Indonesia.

Acknowledgment

The author(s) would like to express sincere gratitude to the World Mosquito Program (WMP), Gadjah Mada University, and the Indonesian Ministry of Health for their pioneering efforts and publicly available documentation that have significantly contributed to the understanding of the Wolbachia mosquito program in Indonesia. Appreciation is also extended to the communities of Yogyakarta and other participating regions whose involvement and feedback have provided invaluable insights into the program's implementation and social dynamics. The academic and policy literature reviewed in this study was made possible by the dedication of researchers, public health practitioners, and local stakeholders committed to innovative and sustainable approaches to dengue prevention.

References

[1] I. Ilic and M. Ilic, "Global patterns of trends in incidence and mortality of dengue, 1990–2019: an analysis based on the global burden of disease study," *Medicina (B. Aires)*, vol. 60, no. 3, p. 425, 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina60030425.
[2] M. S. Carvalho, N. A. Honorio, L. M. T. Garcia, and L. C. de S. Carvalho, "Aedes ægypti control in urban areas: A systemic approach to a complex dynamic," *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. e0005632, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005632.
[3] N. Matowo, "Dynamics of insecticide resistance in Anopheles arabiensis and Culex pipiens complex, and its associations to agricultural practices in southern Tanzania," 2022, *University_of_Basel_Associated_Institution*.
[4] K. M. Edenborough, H. A. Flores, C. P. Simmons, and J. E. Fraser, "Using Wolbachia to eliminate dengue: will the virus fight back?," *J. Virol.*, vol. 95, no. 13, pp. 10–1128, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02203-20.
[5] Z. Rani, A. Abbas, Z. Saeed, H. A. Zaheer, and R. Z. Abbas, "Strategies and Advancements for Control of Vector Borne Diseases of Public Health Concern," *One Heal. Triad, Unique Sci. Publ. Faisalabad, Pakistan*, vol. 1, pp. 168–174, 2023. https://doi.org/10.47278/book.oht/2023.25.
[6] C. Indriani *et al.*, "Reduced dengue incidence following deployments of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a quasi-experimental trial using controlled interrupted time series analysis," *Gates open Res.*, vol. 4, p. 50, 2020. https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13122.1.
[7] Y. Gong, L. Shang, and D. Wang, "Integrating Social Explanations Into Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) for Combating Misinformation: Vision and Challenges," *IEEE Trans. Comput. Soc. Syst.*, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2024.3404236.
[8] N. Afifi, "Introducing genre-based pedagogy to the teaching of literature reviews in an Indonesian EFL context," *Unpubl. Dr. Diss. Macquarie Univ. Aust.*, 2020.
[9] P. A. Ross, "The Use of Wolbachia for Mosquito Control," in *Practical Control of Mosquito Disease Vectors*, CABI GB, 2024, pp. 107–138. https://doi.org/10.1079/9781789248845.0006.
[10] E. P. Rivera, M. R. Arrivillaga, J. G. Juárez, S. M. De Urioste-Stone, E. Berganza, and P. M. Pennington, "Adoption of community-based strategies for sustainable vector control and prevention," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 1834, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-16516-8.
[11] P. Caron *et al.*, "Global health. People, animals, plants, the environment: towards an integrated approach to health," 2019, *Agropolis*.
[12] L. M. Inacio da Silva, F. Z. Dezordi, M. H. S. Paiva, and G. L. Wallau, "Systematic review of Wolbachia symbiont detection in mosquitoes: an entangled topic about methodological power and true symbiosis," *Pathogens*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 39, 2021. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens10010039.
[13] T. Allen, A. Crouch, and S. M. Topp, "Community participation and empowerment approaches to Aedes mosquito management in high-income countries: a scoping review," *Health Promot. Int.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 505–523, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/daaa049.
[14] M. Gusenbauer and N. R. Haddaway, "Which academic search systems are suitable for systematic reviews or meta-analyses? Evaluating retrieval qualities of Google Scholar, PubMed, and 26 other resources," *Res. Synth. Methods*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 181–217, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1378.
[15] B. Hammer, E. Virgili, and F. Bilotta, "Evidence-based literature review: de-duplication a cornerstone for quality," *World J. Methodol.*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 390, 2023. https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v13.i5.390.
[16] S. Kumari, V. Shirodkar, and S. McGuire, "The impact of home institutions on the internationalization of emerging market SMEs: a systematic review," *Multinatl. Bus. Rev.*, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1108/MBR-03-2024-0045.

[17] R. Sarker, A. S. M. Roknuzzaman, M. A. Haque, M. R. Islam, and E. R. Kabir, "Upsurge of dengue outbreaks in several WHO regions: public awareness, vector control activities, and international collaborations are key to prevent spread," *Heal. Sci. reports*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. e2034, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1002/hsr2.2034.

[18] H. C. Turner, D. Le Quyen, R. Dias, P. T. Huong, C. P. Simmons, and K. L. Anders, "An economic evaluation of Wolbachia deployments for dengue control in Vietnam," *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. e0011356, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011356.

[19] M. J. Moon, "Fighting COVID-19 with agility, transparency, and participation: Wicked policy problems and new governance challenges," *Public Adm. Rev.*, vol. 80, no. 4, pp. 651–656, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13214.

[20] H. Herdiana, J. F. K. Sari, and M. Whittaker, "Intersectoral collaboration for the prevention and control of vector borne diseases to support the implementation of a global strategy: a systematic review," *PLoS One*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. e0204659, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204659.

[21] E. Tacconelli *et al.*, "Surveillance for control of antimicrobial resistance," *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. e99–e106, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30485-1.

[22] R. B. Berg, "Tracing Unbounded Mosquitoes: An Ethnographic Study of Mosquito Control and Mosquito-borne Disease Outbreaks in the Complex Landscapes of Rio de Janeiro and Niterói," 2018.

[23] D. A. Borton, H. E. Dawes, G. A. Worrell, P. A. Starr, and T. J. Denison, "Developing collaborative platforms to advance neurotechnology and its translation," *Neuron*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 286–301, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.10.001.

[24] O. J. Brady *et al.*, "The cost-effectiveness of controlling dengue in Indonesia using wMel Wolbachia released at scale: a modelling study," *BMC Med.*, vol. 18, pp. 1–12, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01638-2.

[25] C.-H. Tsai, T.-H. Chen, C. Lin, P.-Y. Shu, C.-L. Su, and H.-J. Teng, "The impact of temperature and Wolbachia infection on vector competence of potential dengue vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in the transmission of dengue virus serotype 1 in southern Taiwan," *Parasit. Vectors*, vol. 10, pp. 1–11, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2493-x.