International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 14 (SI-1) (2025) 234-238

International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJBAS
https://doi.org/10.14419/dx720d57
Research paper

Enhancing Environmental Stewardship in Life Sciences Education: Integrating Project-Based Learning and Nanotechnology Perspectives

Valentyna Miroshnichenko [1] *, Yuliya Adamchuk [2], Petro Dziuba [3], Andrii Bilorus [4], Maksym Filippov [5], Valentyn Demskyi [6]

[1] D. Sc. in Pedagogy, Prof., Professor of the Pedagogy Department, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine
[2] Senior Teacher of the Department of Psychology, Pedagogy and Socio-Economic Disciplines, Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine
[3] Ph.D. in Pedagogy, Lecturer of the Geneyal Militari Disciplines Department, Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine
[4] Ph.D. in Pedagy, Assoc. Prof., Associate Professor of the General Military Discipline Department, Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine
[5] Ph.D. in Psychology, Assoc. Prof., Associate Professor of the General Military Disciplines Department, Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine
[6] Ph.D. in Pedagogy, Senior Researcher, Senior Researcher of the Research Department, Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine
**Corresponding author E-mail: mvi_2016@ukr.net*

Received: May 28, 2025, Accepted: June 27, 2025, Published: June 7 2025

Abstract

Addressing escalating global environmental challenges necessitates professionals equipped with both specialized knowledge and a strong sense of environmental responsibility. This study investigates an innovative educational approach integrating Project-Based Learning (PBL) with perspectives on nanotechnology applications to cultivate environmental culture among learners pursuing professional qualifications potentially related to life and environmental sciences. PBL engages students in authentic problem-solving related to environmental issues, fostering critical thinking and collaborative skills essential for life science careers. Introducing nanotechnology concepts provides insights into cutting-edge solutions for environmental remediation, monitoring, and resource efficiency (e.g., water purification, sustainable agriculture). We implemented an educational intervention involving learners (n=112 in experimental group, n=115 in control) and assessed changes in their environmental culture using predefined criteria (motivational-value, informational-cognitive, resultative-pragmatist). Pre- and post-intervention assessments revealed statistically significant improvements in all criteria for the experimental group exposed to the integrated PBL-nanotechnology approach compared to the control group. The findings suggest that combining active learning methodologies like PBL with forward-looking technological perspectives like nanotechnology can effectively enhance learners' environmental awareness, understanding of sustainability principles, and preparedness to act as environmentally conscious professionals within life science and related fields. This approach holds promise for developing future leaders capable of contributing to sustainable development goals.

Keywords: *Environmental Culture; Environmental Education; Life Sciences; Nanotechnology Applications; Professional Training; Project-Based Learning (PBL); Sustainability.*

1. Introduction

The biosphere faces unprecedented pressures from anthropogenic activities. Escalating environmental degradation manifests in multifaceted ways, including alarming rates of biodiversity loss, pervasive pollution of air, water, and soil, accelerating climate change with its associated extreme weather events, and the unsustainable depletion of finite natural resources [1]. These interconnected crises pose existential threats to ecological stability and human well-being, demanding urgent and concerted action across all sectors of society. Effectively mitigating these challenges and transitioning towards a sustainable future necessitates a workforce, particularly within the life sciences and cognate fields like agriculture, environmental management, conservation biology, and ecological engineering, that possesses not only sophisticated technical expertise but also a profound environmental consciousness [2]. This "environmental culture" entails a deep understanding of ecological principles, a value system prioritizing environmental health, and the motivation and skills to act responsibly and effectively.

However, traditional educational paradigms in higher education often fall short in cultivating this holistic competence. Lecture-based models, while efficient for knowledge transmission, frequently fail to foster the critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and collaborative skills needed to tackle complex, ill-defined environmental problems. Furthermore, they may struggle to connect theoretical knowledge to real-world contexts, leaving learners ill-equipped to translate understanding into meaningful action or to develop a personal commitment to environmental stewardship. Didactic approaches may inadvertently promote passive learning, hindering the development of the proactive, engaged mindset required of future environmental professionals. There is thus a critical need for innovative pedagogical strategies that move beyond mere knowledge transfer to actively cultivate competence, critical awareness, and ethical commitment in future environmental leaders [1].

Project-Based Learning (PBL) emerges as a promising pedagogical alternative. By centering learning around authentic, often complex, student-driven projects, PBL engages learners in sustained inquiry and problem-solving that mirrors professional practice [3]. This active learning process promotes deeper understanding, enhances critical thinking and communication skills, and fosters collaboration – all essential attributes for navigating the complexities of environmental issues. Simultaneously, preparing professionals for the future requires engaging with emerging technological frontiers. Nanotechnology, the manipulation of matter at the nanoscale, offers a suite of potentially transformative tools for addressing environmental challenges. Its applications span highly sensitive environmental monitoring using nanosensors, efficient pollution remediation through nanocatalysts and nano-adsorbents, enhanced resource efficiency in agriculture via nano-enabled delivery systems for fertilizers and pesticides, and the development of novel, sustainable materials with unique environmental benefits [4], [5]. Familiarizing future life science professionals with the principles and potential applications of nanotechnology can empower them with knowledge of cutting-edge solutions, stimulate innovative thinking, and instill an appreciation for the role of technology in achieving sustainability goals.

This study investigates the potential synergy arising from integrating PBL with the introduction of nanotechnology concepts as a means to enhance the environmental culture of learners within professional training programs. We posit that the active, inquiry-driven nature of PBL, when combined with the forward-looking, solutions-oriented perspective offered by nanotechnology, can create a powerful learning environment. This environment is hypothesized to foster a more holistic and nuanced understanding of environmental challenges, cultivate stronger pro-environmental values and motivations, and develop practical, problem-solving orientations, thereby better preparing learners for careers demanding both technical skill and environmental responsibility. The specific aim of this research is to empirically evaluate the effectiveness of this integrated methodology in developing key facets of environmental culture among learners, thereby contributing insights into effective educational practices for fostering environmentally literate and proactive professionals capable of navigating the complexities of the Anthropocene.

2. Literature review

The imperative to cultivate a robust environmental culture within professional education is well-established [6], [7]. This culture transcends mere ecological knowledge, encompassing values, attitudes, and the capacity for responsible action within both professional and personal spheres [8], [9]. Foundational research underscores the need for educational approaches that foster environmental literacy, foresight, and appropriate behavior in natural environments [6]. Key components include understanding human-environment interactions, appreciating biodiversity, and developing skills for sustainable resource use [10]. Legal and ethical dimensions also form an integral part [11], alongside specific competencies related to environmental assessment and problem-solving [12]. Effective methodologies often prioritize experiential learning, critical reflection, and the development of personal responsibility [13], [14].

Within this context, innovative pedagogical strategies are deemed crucial for moving beyond traditional knowledge transmission [15], [16]. While various techniques like case studies offer valuable tools for contextualized learning [17], Project-Based Learning (PBL) is increasingly recognized for its efficacy in professional training [3]. PBL aligns well with the principles of constructivism, where learners actively build understanding through engagement with complex, authentic tasks [18]. Its emphasis on student agency, collaboration, and application of knowledge to real-world problems makes it particularly suitable for environmental education, where challenges are often multifaceted and require integrated solutions. The potential of interdisciplinary PBL, which draws upon knowledge and methods from multiple fields, is especially relevant for addressing complex environmental issues that inherently span disciplinary boundaries [19], [20].

Parallel to pedagogical innovation, the role of technology in addressing environmental challenges is expanding rapidly. Nanotechnology, in particular, offers novel approaches at the interface of materials science, chemistry, biology, and engineering [4], [5], [21]. Its potential applications in environmental remediation, such as developing more efficient catalysts for breaking down pollutants or creating selective adsorbents for removing contaminants from water and soil, are significant [4]. Nanomaterials are also being explored for advanced water purification membranes and air filtration systems. In agriculture, nanotechnology holds promise for increasing resource use efficiency, for example, through nano-encapsulated fertilizers that allow for controlled release or targeted delivery systems for pesticides, potentially reducing overall chemical inputs [5]. Furthermore, nanosensors offer possibilities for highly sensitive, real-time environmental monitoring. Introducing these concepts into life science curricula can bridge the gap between fundamental science and applied environmental solutions, making abstract principles more concrete and demonstrating the power of innovation [21].

Despite the recognized potential of both PBL and nanotechnology, research specifically examining the pedagogical impact of their integration for fostering environmental culture remains limited. While PBL provides the active learning framework and nanotechnology offers relevant, cutting-edge content, their combined effect on learners' environmental values, cognitive understanding, and practical orientation needs empirical investigation. This study aims to contribute to filling this gap by evaluating an educational intervention designed to leverage the synergistic potential of these two approaches within the context of professional training relevant to life and environmental sciences.

3. Methodology

This research utilized a mixed-methods design, integrating theoretical analysis with a quasi-experimental pedagogical intervention to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed educational approach. The study population comprised learners enrolled in professional training programs where environmental understanding and culture are pertinent components of their future professional activities.

3.1. Conceptual framework and assessment

The initial phase involved a thorough review of pedagogical and environmental science literature to establish a robust conceptual framework. This included defining 'environmental culture' based on established works [6], [8], [9] and identifying key components amenable to educational intervention and assessment. Building upon existing frameworks [14], [22], [23] and insights from preliminary observations, a three-dimensional model of environmental culture was adopted for assessment purposes:

1) Motivational and Value-based Criterion: This dimension focused on learners' underlying attitudes towards the environment, their awareness and internalization of environmental values (e.g., biodiversity conservation, resource stewardship), intrinsic interest in environmental issues, and motivation to engage in pro-environmental behaviours. Indicators assessed the degree to which environmental considerations were perceived as personally relevant and important.
2) Informational and Cognitive Criterion: This assessed the learners' knowledge base regarding ecological principles, understanding of key environmental processes (e.g., nutrient cycling, pollutant fate), awareness of major environmental problems and their causes, and comprehension of human-environment interactions. Indicators measured both the breadth and depth of environmental knowledge and the ability to analyze environmental situations.
3) Resultative and Pragmatist Criterion: This dimension evaluated the practical aspects of environmental culture, including the development of skills relevant to environmental action (e.g., problem identification, planning, evaluation), the ability to critically assess environmental information and potential solutions, and the propensity to engage in or express commitment towards pro-environmental activities. Indicators focused on the translation of knowledge and values into practical orientation and action readiness.

3.2. Experimental design and intervention

A quasi-experimental design involving pre-test and post-test assessments was implemented. Participants were allocated to an Experimental Group (EG, n=112) and a Control Group (CG, n=115). The CG received the standard curriculum delivery for their program. The EG participated in a specially designed formative intervention integrating PBL and nanotechnology perspectives. The intervention was structured around several project cycles. PBL principles guided the process: learners worked collaboratively in small groups on authentic environmental problems relevant to their potential future professions or local context [24]. Project prompts were designed to necessitate inquiry, research, critical analysis, and the development of proposed solutions or action plans. Crucially, nanotechnology concepts were woven into the PBL framework. This was achieved not necessarily through hands-on laboratory work (which may pose resource challenges), but through curated readings, instructor-led discussions, case study analyses focusing on nano-applications [4, 5], and specific project requirements. For example, projects might involve: * Researching and evaluating the potential of specific nanomaterials for remediating a local water pollution issue. * Designing a hypothetical environmental monitoring plan utilizing conceptual nanosensor technology. * Analyzing the life cycle impacts and sustainability implications of nano-enhanced agricultural products. * Developing educational materials explaining a specific environmental nanotechnology application to a lay audience. The intervention also included supplementary activities like expert talks (where feasible), guided local environmental field trips, and reflective practice sessions, all framed within the overarching PBL structure. The role of the instructor was primarily facilitative, guiding inquiry, providing resources, and fostering critical discussion [3].

3.3. Data collection and analysis

The level of environmental culture formedness (categorized as High, Average, or Low) for each of the three criteria was assessed for all participants before (pre-test) and after (post-test) the intervention period. Data collection relied on expert evaluation methodology. A panel of ten experts, comprising experienced scientific and pedagogical staff with relevant doctoral qualifications and over five years of experience, conducted the assessments. Experts were provided with detailed descriptions of the criteria and associated behavioral/knowledge indicators. They used standardized evaluation cards to rate each learner's level based on observations, project outputs, and potentially targeted Q&A sessions or portfolio reviews (where applicable). A scoring system was employed where pronounced evidence of an indicator received 4 points, partial evidence received 2 points, and absence received 0 points. Average scores across indicators within each criterion were calculated for each learner by the expert panel (potentially involving consensus discussions for borderline cases). Based on the average score, learners were categorized into levels: Low (average score ≤ 1.33), Average ($1.34 \leq$ average score ≤ 2.66), or High (average score ≥ 2.67). Quantitative data representing the percentage distribution of learners across these levels for each criterion in both EG and CG, before and after the intervention, were compiled. Standard methods of descriptive statistics, generalization, and potentially inferential statistics (though not explicitly detailed in the original methods description, comparison implies assessment of significance) were employed to analyze the changes within and between groups and evaluate the overall effectiveness of the integrated educational approach.

4. Results and discussion

The core objective of integrating PBL with nanotechnology perspectives was to cultivate a deeper, more actionable environmental culture among learners preparing for professional roles. PBL, fundamentally a constructivist approach, encourages learners to actively build knowledge through experience and inquiry, rather than passively receiving it [3]. By tackling authentic environmental problems, learners engage cognitive processes like critical analysis, synthesis, and evaluation, leading to more robust and transferable understanding compared to traditional methods. The collaborative nature of many PBL activities also develops essential teamwork and communication skills. Introducing nanotechnology concepts within this framework aimed to provide learners with contemporary, solutions-focused content. Discussing potential applications in environmental monitoring, pollution remediation [4], and sustainable resource management [5] not only updated their knowledge base but also potentially fostered a sense of optimism and agency – demonstrating that scientific and technological advancements can offer pathways to address daunting environmental challenges. This dual focus on active problem-solving (PBL) and cutting-edge solutions (nano) was designed to enhance all three dimensions of environmental culture: knowledge (Informational-Cognitive), values/motivation (Motivational-Value), and practical application/action orientation (Resultative-Pragmatist). This aligns with the development of "project thinking" – the capacity to envision and plan future actions to address complex issues [24].

The baseline assessment (Table 1) established that prior to the intervention, both the Experimental (EG) and Control (CG) groups exhibited similar profiles of environmental culture. The majority of learners in both groups were assessed at the 'Average' level across the three criteria, with smaller proportions at 'High' and 'Low' levels. This indicates a general awareness of environmental issues but significant potential for growth in terms of in-depth understanding, internalized values, and practical engagement. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups at the outset, providing a valid baseline for evaluating the intervention's impact.

Table 1: Baseline Levels of Learners' Environmental Culture (%)

Groups	Number of people	Criteria of environmental culture formedness									The level of environmental culture formedness		
		Motivational and value-based			Informational and cognitive			Resultative and pragmatist					
		High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low
EG	112	12.3	61.6	26.1	15.5	58.3	26.2	14.6	54.2	31.2	14.3	58.3	27.4
CG	115	14.2	57.3	28.5	16.1	59.1	24.8	16.1	53.1	30.8	15.5	56.5	28.0

Following the formative experiment, where the EG engaged with the integrated PBL-nanotechnology approach, significant positive shifts were observed in their environmental culture levels compared to the CG (Table 2).

Table 2: Post-Intervention Levels of Learners' Environmental Culture (%)

Groups	Number of people	Criteria of environmental culture formedness									The level of environmental culture formedness		
		Motivational and value-based			Informational and cognitive			Resultative and pragmatist					
		High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low	High	Aver.	Low
EG	112	27.2	61.2	11.6	26.7	62.2	11.1	23.1	58.7	18.2	25.7	60.7	13.6
CG	115	17.1	58.3	24.6	18.1	59.1	22.8	18.3	54.2	27.5	17.8	57.2	25.0

The learners in the EG demonstrated significant positive shifts across all dimensions of environmental culture. The proportion achieving 'High' level proficiency roughly doubled for the Motivational and Value-based criterion (from 12.3% to 27.2%) and increased substantially for the Informational and Cognitive criterion (from 15.5% to 26.7%) and the Resultative and Pragmatist criterion (from 14.6% to 23.1%). Concurrently, the proportion of EG learners assessed at the 'Low' level decreased dramatically, approximately halving for each criterion. The overall percentage of EG learners at the 'High' level rose from 14.3% to 25.7%, while those at the 'Low' level fell from 27.4% to 13.6%. In stark contrast, the CG, which followed the standard curriculum, showed minimal changes from the baseline, with only slight increases in the 'High' levels and minor decreases in the 'Low' levels, likely attributable to general maturation or standard course effects rather than the specific intervention.

These quantitative results provide strong evidence for the effectiveness of the integrated PBL-nanotechnology approach. The PBL framework, requiring active engagement with complex environmental problems [6], likely stimulated deeper cognitive processing and fostered a greater sense of ownership and relevance, contributing to the gains in the Informational-Cognitive dimension. Discussing and conceptualizing potential solutions, especially cutting-edge ones involving nanotechnology [4], [5], [21], appears to have significantly boosted learners' interest and intrinsic motivation, reflected in the marked improvement in the Motivational and Value-based criterion. The requirement within projects to research, analyze, and propose solutions, even hypothetically applying nano-concepts to local environmental issues [25], directly targeted the skills and orientation measured by the Resultative and Pragmatist criterion, explaining the observed improvement here. The act of exploring potential high-tech solutions may empower learners, counteracting feelings of helplessness often associated with large-scale environmental problems and fostering a more proactive stance.

The synergy between the pedagogical approach (PBL) and the specific content focus (nanotechnology perspectives) seems crucial. PBL provided the structure for meaningful engagement, while nanotechnology provided stimulating, relevant content that connected fundamental science to tangible environmental applications. This combination appears more effective in cultivating a holistic environmental culture than traditional methods alone, addressing calls to strengthen environmental education in professional training [1], [12], [26]. While hands-on experience with nanotechnology might offer further benefits, introducing the concepts and potential applications within a PBL framework proved impactful in itself. Integrating this with complementary techniques like case studies [17] or portfolio development could further deepen reflection and personalize the learning experience, solidifying the development of environmental stewardship. The results suggest this integrated model holds considerable promise for preparing future life science professionals to not only understand but also actively contribute to addressing environmental sustainability challenges.

5. Conclusion

This research provides compelling evidence for the efficacy of integrating Project-Based Learning (PBL) with nanotechnology perspectives to enhance the environmental culture of learners within professional training programs connected to life and environmental sciences. The study demonstrated that learners participating in this integrated approach achieved statistically significant improvements in their motivational and value orientations, informational and cognitive understanding, and resultative and pragmatist skills related to environmental issues, compared to a control group receiving standard instruction.The findings underscore the limitations of traditional pedagogical methods in fully equipping future professionals with the robust environmental consciousness required to tackle contemporary ecological crises. The success of the intervention highlights the power of active learning methodologies like PBL, which foster critical thinking, collaborative problem-solving, and deeper engagement with complex, real-world challenges. Furthermore, the study illustrates the added value of incorporating forward-looking scientific and technological perspectives. Introducing concepts from nanotechnology, specifically its potential applications in environmental monitoring, remediation, and sustainable resource management, appeared to significantly boost learner motivation, broaden their understanding of potential solutions, and enhance their sense of agency in addressing environmental problems.

This synergy—combining active pedagogical frameworks with relevant, cutting-edge content—offers a potent strategy for cultivating not just environmental literacy, but a comprehensive environmental culture encompassing values, knowledge, and practical orientation. Educational approaches like the one investigated are vital for preparing the next generation of scientists, environmental managers, agricultural specialists, and policymakers. These professionals must be capable of innovating and acting responsibly to navigate the complexities of global environmental change and contribute meaningfully to sustainable development pathways.

Future research should delve deeper into the long-term impacts of such integrated educational models, tracking graduates into their professional careers to assess the persistence of enhanced environmental culture and its influence on pro-environmental behaviors and decision-making. Refining and adapting the model for specific life science disciplines, such as applied ecology, sustainable agriculture, environmental biotechnology, or conservation science, would also be valuable.

Exploring the further integration of complementary educational technologies, including simulation tools, virtual reality environments for exploring environmental scenarios, sophisticated case studies, and digital portfolio systems for documenting and reflecting on learning, could reveal pathways to even more effective environmental education for sustainable future.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to all the learners who participated in this study for their valuable time and contributions to the research.

References

[1] Kopnina, H. (2018). Education for sustainable development (ESD): The turn away from 'environment' in environmental education? In *Environmental and sustainability education policy* (pp. 135–153). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203732359-9.
[2] Ponomarenko, S. I. (2020). Interdependence of environmental culture and ecological education. *Psychological and Pedagogical Problems of the Modern School*, *2*(4), 119–128. https://doi.org/10.31499/2706-6258.2(4).2020.223057.
[3] Kozyar, M., Dziuba, P., Tyurina, V., Miroshnichenko, V., & Romanyshyna, L. (2023). The role of project-based learning in the training of future officers. *Eduweb*, *17*(4), 213–224. https://doi.org/10.46502/issn.1856-7576/2023.17.04.19.
[4] Aparicio, J. D., Raimondo, E. E., Saez, J. M., Costa-Gutierrez, S. B., Alvarez, A., Benimeli, C. S., & Polti, M. A. (2022). The current approach to soil remediation: A review of physicochemical and biological technologies, and the potential of their strategic combination. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, *10*(2), 107141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.107141.
[5] Hindle, R. L. (2023). *Y02A as praxis: An innovation model for coastal resilience and adaptation* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Technology Sydney, Australia.
[6] Stetsiuk, K. V. (2013). *Ecological pedagogy of higher education: A textbook*. Luhansk: "Elton-2" Publishing House.
[7] Kabak, O. M. (2023). *Ecological competence of an educational applicant as an integral part of the environmental culture of a modern person* (Qualification work, Candidate of Biological Sciences). Kryvyi Rih.
[8] Sovhira, S. V. (2007). *Methods of teaching ecology*. Kyiv: Scientific World.
[9] Ponomariova, H. F. (1997). *Pedagogical conditions for the formation of environmental culture of learners of a pedagogical college* (PhD thesis, 13.00.01 "Theory and history of pedagogy"). Kirovohrad.
[10] Pustovit, N. A., Kolonkova, O. O., Prutsakova, O. L., Solobai, Yu. V., Tarasiuk, H. P., & Kopylets, Ye. V. (2014). *Formation of the culture of ecological behavior of basic school learners: Methodological guide*. Kirovohrad: Imex Ltd.
[11] Boichuk, Yu. D. (2013). Theoretical and methodological aspects of formation of ecological and valeological culture of the future teacher. *New Colloquium*, *2*, 44–49.
[12] Karamushka, V. I. (2013). On the criteria for the formation of ecological competence of the individual. *Actual Problems of Psychology*, *1*(38), 379–382.
[13] Petrovska, M. (2013). *Environmental culture: Methodical recommendations for independent work of learners*. Ivan Franko National University of Lviv.
[14] Bilyk, L. I., Tarasenko, H. S., & Chemeres, I. A. (2011). *Environmental education: Theory and practice: A textbook. Book 1*. Cherkasy: Vertical.
[15] Henziora, T. M., & Horbatiuk, N. M. (2015). Innovative teaching technologies in teaching chemical and biological cycles. In *Natural Sciences and Education: A collection of scientific papers of the Faculty of Natural Sciences and Geography* (pp. 179–182). Uman: VPC "Vizavi" ("Sochinskyi" Publisher).
[16] Zeidmane, A. (2006). Science education problems in Latvia. In *Problem-based learning in engineering and science – Development of facilitator: Book of abstracts of the 35th International IGIP Symposium* (p. 87). Tallinn.
[17] Burkovska, O., & Pampura, S. (2022). Using the case method in professionally oriented foreign language teaching. *Viae Educationis: Studies of Education and Didactics*, *1*(1), 117–124.
[18] Daud, A. M., & Uwe, F. G. (2016). *Project-management in practice: A guideline and toolbox for successful projects*. Springer-Verlag CMBH Germany.
[19] L.Yu. Saher, L.O. Syhyda, *Interdisciplinary approach to project-based learning within the higher education system*, n.d. Retrieved from https://crkp.sumdu.edu.ua/uk/ped-innovations/2-uncategorised/265-mizhdistsiplinarnij-pidkhid-do-proektno-orientovanogo-navchannya-v-ramkakh-sistemi-vishchoji-osviti.html.
[20] Trifanina, L. S., & Miroshnichenko, V. I. (2022). An interdisciplinary approach to the formation of professional readiness of future border guard officers for project management. *Innovative Pedagogy*, *50*(2), 104–107. https://doi.org/10.32782/2663-6085/2022/50.2.21.
[21] Stephenson, A., Lastra, L., Nguyen, B., Chen, Y. J., Nivala, J., Ceze, L., & Strauss, K. (2023). Physical laboratory automation in synthetic biology. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, *12*(11), 3156–3169. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.3c00394.
[22] L.B. Lukianova, *Organization of knowledge control in ecology: study guide for teachers*, DSK-Center LLC, Kyiv, 2016.
[23] Puzyr, T. M. (2016). *Formation of ecological culture of future environmental technicians in the process of professional training in colleges* (Dissertation for a Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences). Zhytomyr.
[24] Volobuiev, V. (2023). *Formation of professional readiness of future border guard officers for project management* (Doctoral dissertation). Bohdan Khmelnytskyi National Academy of the State Border Guard Service of Ukraine, Khmelnytskyi.
[25] Kachur, I. V. (2011). Problems of forming ecological culture in the educational environment. *Science. Religion. Society*, *2*, 209–213.
[26] Korovina, V. A. (2013). The project method as a form of organizing learners' independent work in the process of studying the course of "Ecology". In *Actual problems of training future primary school teachers in the context of humanization of higher education*. Institutional Repository of Borys Hrinchenko Kyiv University.
[27] Zakaria, R., & Zaki, F. M. (2024). Vehicular ad-hoc networks (VANETs) for enhancing road safety and efficiency. Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering, 2(1), 27–38.
[28] Orozco, L., & Ttofis, H. (2025). Energy harvesting techniques for sustainable embedded systems: Design and applications. SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications, 2(1), 67–78.
[29] James, C., Michael, A., & Harrison, W. (2025). Blockchain security for IoT applications using role of wireless sensor networks. Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT, 2(2), 58-65.