



Estimation of stress-strength parameter for two-parameter weibull distribution

Narjes Amiri^{1*}, Reza Azimi², Farhad Yaghmaei³, Manoochehr Babanezhad⁴

¹Master Student, Department of Statistics, Faculty of Sciences, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran

²Department of Statistics, Parsabad Moghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Parsabad Moghan, Iran

^{3,4}Department of Statistics, Faculty of Sciences, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran

*Corresponding author E-mail: narjesamiri86@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the estimation of $R = P(Y < X)$ when X and Y are two independent random variables from two-parameter weibull distribution with different scale parameters and the same shape parameter. Assuming that the common shape parameter is known, MLE, UMVUE and Bayes estimators of R are obtained. We also derive a confidence interval and shortest confidence interval for R based on MLE of R . Monte-Carlo simulation are performed to compare the different proposed methods.

Keywords: Bayes estimator, Maximum likelihood estimator, shortest confidence interval, stress-strength model, uniformly minimum variance estimator

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the problem of estimating the stress-strength parameter $R = P(Y < X)$ when X and Y are two independent random variables from two-parameter Weibull distribution. $R = P(Y < X)$ is arisen when the random strength X exceeds the random stress Y and we are interested in calculating the probability of it. Because of that $R = P(Y < X)$ is called the stress-strength parameter. Due to practical point of view of reliability stress-strength model many authors represented a lot of papers about the estimation of $R = P(Y < X)$ for different distributions. Kundu and Gupta [4,9], Rezaei et al. [5], Panahi and Asadi [6], Krishnamoorthy et al. [12], Kundu and Raqab [13].

When the common shape parameter α is unknown, Kundu and Gupta [7] considered the estimation of R when $X \sim WE(\alpha, \lambda_1)$ and $Y \sim WE(\alpha, \lambda_2)$ are two independent Weibull distributions with different scale parameters. In this paper, we consider estimation of R when the common shape parameter α is known.

The layout of this paper is as follow: in section 2, we introduce the Weibull distribution. In section 3, we derive the estimation of R , in this section, the MLE, UMVUE and Bayes estimators of R are obtained. Simulation study for comparison between estimators are given in section 5.

2 Weibull distribution

Weibull is one of the most widely used distributions in reliability studies. It is often used as the lifetime distribution, because some failure models are described by their shape parameter. Therefore, the weibull distribution is important and has been studied extensively over the years.

A random variable X is said to have weibull distribution, if it's probability density function (PDF) is given by

$$f(x; \alpha, \lambda) = \alpha \lambda x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x^\alpha}, x > 0 \quad (1)$$

The cumulative distribution function of weibull distribution (CDF) is defined by

$$F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x^\alpha},$$

where α is a shape parameter and λ is a scale parameter.

3 Estimation of $R = P(Y < X)$

3.1 MLE of R

Let X and Y are two independent Weibull random variables with parameters α , and λ_1, λ_2 respectively. Therefore

$$R = P(Y < X) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^X f(x)f(y)dydx = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \quad (2)$$

Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ be a random sample from $WE(\alpha, \lambda_1)$, and $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m$ be a random sample from $WE(\alpha, \lambda_2)$, then the log-likelihood function of observed data is

$$L(\alpha, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (m+n)\ln\alpha - n\ln\lambda_1 - m\ln\lambda_2 + (\alpha-1) \times \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \ln y_j \right] - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha. \quad (3)$$

The MLE of λ_1 , and λ_2 , denoted by $\hat{\lambda}_1$ and $\hat{\lambda}_2$ respectively, can be obtained as follow

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = -\frac{n}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = -\frac{m}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^2} \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha = 0 \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha} \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{m}{\sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha} \quad (7)$$

Then,

$$\hat{R} = \frac{\frac{m}{\sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha}}{\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha} + \frac{m}{\sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha}} \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that

$$2\lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha \sim \chi_{(2n)}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha \sim \chi_{(2m)}^2$$

So

$$\hat{R} \sim \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} w} \quad (9)$$

Where w has F distribution with $2m$ and $2n$ degrees of freedom. So the PDF of $\hat{R}$ can be abtian as follow

$$f_{\hat{R}}(r) = \frac{\Gamma(n+m)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(m)r^2} \left(\frac{m\lambda_2}{n\lambda_1} \right)^m \times \frac{\left(\frac{1-r}{r} \right)^{m-1}}{\left(1 + \frac{m\lambda_2}{n\lambda_1} \left(\frac{1-r}{r} \right) \right)^{n+m}}, \quad (10)$$

Where $0 < r < 1$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$.

Then $100(1-\gamma)\%$ the confidence interval is

$$\left[\frac{1}{1 + (1/\hat{R}_{ML} - 1)F_{2n, 2m, 1-\gamma/2}}, \frac{1}{1 + (1/\hat{R}_{ML} - 1)F_{2n, 2m, \gamma/2}} \right] \quad (11)$$

We can also obtain the shortest confidence interval for R by using

$$Q = \frac{1-R}{R} \times \frac{\hat{\lambda}_2}{\hat{\lambda}_1} \quad (12)$$

As a pivotal quantity which it's limiting distribution is F with $2m$ and $2n$ degrees of freedom. This confidence interval is as follow

$$\left[\frac{1}{1+(1/\hat{R}_{ML}-1)b}, \frac{1}{1+(1/\hat{R}_{ML}-1)a} \right] \quad (13)$$

We must choose a and b such that two below equations (14 and 15) are hold simultaneously

$$\int_a^b h_Q(t) dt = 1 - \alpha \quad (14)$$

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{\hat{R}} - 1 \right) a + 1 \right)^2 h_Q(a) = \left(\left(\frac{1}{\hat{R}} - 1 \right) b + 1 \right)^2 h_Q(b) \quad (15)$$

where $h_Q(t)$ is a probability distribution function of F distribution with $2m$ and $2n$ degrees of freedom.

3.2 UMVUE of R

In this subsection we obtain the UMVUE of R using the Rao-Blackwell theorem and applying the result of Tong [1,2].

We know $(T_1, T_2) = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha, \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha)$ is a jointly sufficient statistic for (λ_1, λ_2) . Let

$$\psi(V_1, W_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & V_1 > W_1 \\ 0 & V_1 < W_1 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Where $V_1 = x_1^\alpha$ and $W_1 = y_1^\alpha$. It is easily seen that $\psi(V_1, W_1)$ is an unbiased estimator of R. So the UMVUE of R, say $\hat{R}_{umvue}$ can be obtained as

$$\hat{R}_{umvue} = E[Z(V_1, W_1) | (T_1, T_2)] = \iint_{\mathcal{B}} f_{V_1|T_1=t_1}(v_1) f_{W_1|T_2=t_2}(w_1) dv_1 dw_1, \quad (17)$$

Where $\mathcal{B} = \{(v_1, w_1): v_1 > w_1, t_1 > v_1, t_2 > w_1\}$,

$$f_{V_1|T_1=t_1}(v_1) = (n-1) \frac{(t_1 - v_1)^{n-2}}{t_1^{n-1}}, t_1 > v_1,$$

$$f_{W_1|T_2=t_2}(w_1) = (m-1) \frac{(t_2 - w_1)^{m-2}}{t_2^{m-1}}, t_2 > w_1,$$

Therefore

$$\hat{R}_{umvue} = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(n+i)\Gamma(m-i)} \left(\frac{t_1}{t_2} \right)^i, & t_1 < t_2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(n-j)\Gamma(m+j)} \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^j, & t_1 > t_2 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

3.3 Bayes estimator of R

In this subsection, we derive the Bayes estimator of R under SEL function. For this purpose, we assume independent Gamma priors on λ_1 and λ_2 , that is λ_1 and λ_2 follow $Gamma(a_1, b_1)$ and $Gamma(a_2, b_2)$ respectively. Then the posterior PDF's of λ_1 and λ_2 is

$$\lambda_1 | \alpha, data \sim Gamma(a_1 + n, b_1 + T_1) \quad (19)$$

$$\lambda_2 | \alpha, data \sim Gamma(a_2 + m, b_2 + T_2) \quad (20)$$

Where $T_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\alpha$ and $T_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^\alpha$. Note that, here α is known.

Since λ_1 and λ_2 are independent, using (19) and (20), the posterior PDF of R is

$$\pi(r | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = c(b_1 + T_1)^{n+a_1} (b_2 + T_2)^{m+a_2} \times \frac{r^{m+a_2-1} (1-r)^{n+a_1-1}}{[(1-r)(b_1+T_1)+r(b_2+T_2)]^{n+m+a_1+a_2}}, \quad 0 < r < 1. \quad (21)$$

$$\text{Where } c = \frac{\Gamma(n+m+a_1+a_2)}{\Gamma(n+a_1)\Gamma(m+a_2)}$$

Therefore, the Bayes estimator of R, $\hat{R}_{BS}$, is the posterior mean which can be obtained as follow

$$\hat{R}_{BS} = \int_0^1 r \pi(r | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) dr = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{v_2}{v_1} \right)^{\delta_2} \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1 + \delta_2} F_{2,1} \left(\delta_1 + \delta_2, \delta_2 + 1, \delta_1 + \delta_2 + 1; \frac{v_1 - v_2}{v_1} \right), & v_2 \leq v_1 \\ \left(\frac{v_1}{v_2} \right)^{\delta_1} \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_1 + \delta_2} F_{2,1} \left(\delta_1 + \delta_2, \delta_1, \delta_1 + \delta_2 + 1; \frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_2} \right), & v_2 > v_1 \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Where $\delta_1 = n + a_1$, $\delta_2 = m + a_2$, $v_1 = b_1 + T_1$, $v_2 = b_2 + T_2$.

4 Simulation

In this section we use numerical results to compare the performance of the different estimators obtained in section 3, with respect to their biases and mean square errors. For this purpose Monte Carlo simulation was used. The following steps were considered for obtaining these numerical results.

Step1: we generated $X_1, \dots, X_n$ from Weibull distribution for $n=15, 25$, $\lambda_1 = 4$ and $\alpha = 1.5$.

Step2: we generated $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$ from Weibull distribution for $m=15, 25, 50$ and $\lambda_2 = 2, 4, 6$ and $\alpha = 1.5$.

Step3: we compute the MLE of R using Eq. (8).

Step4: Eq.(18) was used for computing the UMVUE of R .

Step5: To obtain Bayes estimator Eq.(22) was used when the values of the prior parameters being $a_1 = 1$, $b_1 = 2$, $a_2 = 2$ and $b_2 = 3$.

Step6: We obtained the estimators based on $N=2000$ replications and calculate the MSE as follow

$$MSE = \frac{1}{2000} \sum_{i=1}^{2000} (\hat{R}_i - R)^2$$

where $\hat{R}$ is an estimator of R . All the results are given in table1.

From table 1, it is clear that when $m=n$ and n, m increase then the MSEs decrease. We observed that for fixed n as m increase MSEs decrease and also for fixed m as n increase the MSEs decrease.

It is also observed that MSEs of the Bayes estimators of R are smaller than the MSEs of the MLEs and UMVUEs. Also MLEs has MSEs less than the UMVUEs. So the performance of Bayes estimators are better than MLEs and the performance of the MLEs are better than UMVUEs.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we treated different point estimations of $R=P(Y<X)$ under Weibull distribution. The MLE and UMVUE of R were obtained that had closed forms. We obtained the Bayes estimator of R with respect to the square error loss function based on gamma priors exactly. We also represented a confidence interval and shortest confidence interval for R based on MLE of R . At last, we performed simulation study for comparing between three estimators. As the Bayes estimators has smallest MSEs among all estimators so we recommend to use the Bayesian estimators in practice.

References

- [1] H. Tong, A note on the estimation of $P(Y<X)$ in the Exponential case. *Technometrics*, 16,(1974), 625.
- [2] H. Tong, On the estimation of $P(Y<X)$ for Exponential families. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 19,(1977), 54-56.
- [3] Y.S. Sathe, S.P. Shah, On estimation $P(Y<X)$ for the exponential distribution, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 10, (1981), 39-47.
- [4] D. Kundu, R.D. Gupta, Estimation of $P(Y<X)$ for the generalized exponential distribution. *Metrika*, 61, (2005), 291-308.
- [5] S. Rezaei, R. Tahmasbi, M. Mahmoudi, Estimation of $P(Y<X)$ for generalized Pareto distribution. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 140, (2010), 480-494.
- [6] H. Panahi, S. Asadi, Estimation of $R=P(Y<X)$ for Two-Parameter Burr Type XII distribution. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 72, (2010), 465-470.
- [7] N. Odat, Estimation of reliability based on Pareto distribution. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 55, (2010), 2743-2748.
- [8] A. Chaturvedi, S.K. Tomer, UMVU estimation of the reliability function of the generalized Life distributions. *Statistical Papers*, 44,(2003), 301-313.
- [9] D. Kundu, R.D. Gupta, Estimation of $P(Y<X)$ for Weibull distributions. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 55, (2006), 270-280.
- [10] A.I. Shawky, F.H. Al-Kashkari, On a stress-strength model in Burr of type III. *Metron*, LXV, (2007), 371-385.
- [11] S. Babayi, E. Khorram, F. Tondro, Inference of $R=P(X<Y)$ for generalized Logistic distribution. *Statistics*, (2013).
- [12] K. Krishnamoorthy, S. Mukherjee, H. Guo, Inference on reliability in two-parameter exponential stress-strength model. *Metrika*, 65, (2007), 261-273.
- [13] D. Kundu, M.Z. Raqab, Estimation of $R=P(Y<X)$ for three-parameter Weibull distribution. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 79,(2009), 1839-1846.

Table 1: The bias and MSE values of the MLE, UMVUE and Bayes Estimator

n.m	λ_1, λ_2	R	MLE (bias, MSE)	UMVUE (bias, MSE)	Bayes (bias, MSE)
15,15	2	0.33	0.3391 (-0.005807, 0.006590)	0.3343 (-0.001005, 0.006903)	0.3471 (-0.013754, 0.002942)
		0.5	0.4998 (0.000185, 0.007856)	0.4998 (0.000193, 0.008391)	0.4456 (0.054329, 0.002639)
	4	0.6	0.5972 (0.002770, 0.007518)	0.6003 (-0.000347, 0.007974)	0.4941 (0.105864, 0.002390)
		0.33	0.3339 (-0.000572, 0.005263)	0.3329 (0.000399, 0.0055)	0.3684 (-0.035082, 0.002764)
	6	0.5	0.4971 (0.002883, 0.006497)	0.5004 (-0.000374, 0.006851)	0.4892 (0.010779, 0.002673)
		0.6	0.5946 (0.005385, 0.006611)	0.6002 (-0.000247, 0.006907)	0.5504 (0.049579, 0.002527)
15,25	2	0.33	0.3291 (0.004197, 0.004270)	0.3310 (0.002309, 0.004459)	0.3860 (0.002309, 0.002437)
		0.5	0.4937 (0.006317, 0.005285)	0.4994 (0.000619, 0.005522)	0.5285 (-0.028557, 0.002371)
	4	0.6	0.5936 (0.006413, 0.005126)	0.6012 (-0.001168, 0.005290)	0.6040 (-0.004028, 0.002136)
		0.33	0.3417 (-0.008403, 0.005269)	0.3349 (-0.001603, 0.005431)	0.3231 (0.010199, 0.002497)
	6	0.5	0.5023 (-0.002271, 0.006802)	0.4990 (0.000999, 0.007170)	0.4186 (0.081364, 0.002475)
		0.6	0.5982 (0.001824, 0.005961)	0.5975 (0.002511, 0.006276)	0.4658 (0.134229, 0.002090)
25,15	2	0.33	0.3363 (-0.002937, 0.003928)	0.3333 (-0.000014, 0.004037)	0.3434 (-0.010062, 0.002361)
		0.5	0.4976 (0.002433, 0.004773)	0.4975 (0.002483, 0.004967)	0.4606 (0.039436, 0.002317)
	4	0.6	0.5990 (0.000997, 0.004622)	0.6009 (-0.000906, 0.004789)	0.5244 (0.075580, 0.002184)
		0.33	0.3348 (-0.001505, 0.003038)	0.3349 (-0.001528, 0.003120)	0.3629 (-0.029585, 0.002065)
	6	0.5	0.4986 (0.001404, 0.003676)	0.5011 (-0.001075, 0.003787)	0.5031 (-0.003138, 0.002124)
		0.6	0.5959 (0.004067, 0.003449)	0.5997 (0.000273, 0.003530)	0.5777 (0.022303, 0.001918)