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#### Abstract

In the present paper, by introducing a new subclass of multivalent functions with respect to $(j, k)$ - symmetric points, we have obtained the integral representations and conditions for starlikeness using differential subordination.
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## 1. Introduction, Definitions And Preliminaries

Let $\mathscr{H}$ be the class of functions analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathscr{H}(a, m)$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{H}$ consisting of functions of the form $f(z)=z+a_{m+1} z^{m+1}+a_{m+2} z^{m+2}+\cdots$.
Let $\mathscr{A}_{p}$ be the class of functions $f(z)$, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. And let $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}_{1}$.
We denote by $\mathscr{S}^{*}, \mathscr{C}, \mathscr{K}$ and $\mathscr{C}^{*}$ the familiar subclasses of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of functions which are respectively starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex in $\mathbb{U}$.
Let $\mathscr{S}$ be the subclass of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of all functions which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Also, let $\mathscr{P}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

which are analytic and convex in $\mathbb{U}$ and satisfy the condition

$$
\mathfrak{R}(p(z))>0,(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ such that $|w(z)|<|z|$ and $f(z)=g(w(z))$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.
Motivated by the concept introduced by Sakaguchi in [8], recently several subclasses of analytic functions with respect to $k$-symmetric points were introduced and studied by various authors (see [1], [2], [9], [10] and [12]). Parvatham in ([7]) introduced and investigated $K_{n}(\alpha, h)$ - so called class of $\alpha$ starlike functions with respect to $n$ symmetric points.
Let $k$ be a positive integer and $j=0,1,2, \ldots(k-1)$. A domain $D$ is said to be $(j, k)$-fold symmetric if a rotation of $D$ about the origin through an angle $2 \pi j / k$ carries $D$ onto itself. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ is said to be $(j, k)$-symmetrical if for each $z \in \mathbb{U}$
$f(\varepsilon z)=\varepsilon^{j} f(z)$,
where $\varepsilon=\exp (2 \pi i / k)$. The family of $(j, k)$-symmetrical functions will be denoted by $\mathscr{F}_{k}^{j}$. For every function $f$ defined on a symmetrical subset $\mathbb{U}$ of $\mathbb{C}$, there exits a unique sequence of $(j, k)$-symmetrical functions $f_{j, k}(z), j=0,1, \ldots, k-1$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f_{j, k}
$$

Also let $f_{j, k}(z)$ be defined by the following equality
$f_{j, k}(z)=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{f\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}{\varepsilon^{v p j}}, \quad\left(f \in \mathscr{A}_{p} ; k=1,2, \ldots ; j=0,1,2, \ldots(k-1)\right)$.
where, $v$ is an integer.
This decomposition is a generalization of the well known fact that each function defined on a symmetrical subset $\mathbb{U}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ can be uniquely represented as the sum of an even function and an odd functions (see Theorem 1 of [5]). It is obvious that $f_{j, k}(z)$ is a linear operator from $\mathbb{U}$ into $\mathbb{U}$. The notion of $(j, k)$-symmetrical functions was first introduced and studied by P. Liczberski and J. Polubiński in [5].
The following identities directly follow from (3):
$f_{j, k}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)=\varepsilon^{v p j} f_{j, k}(z)$
$f_{j, k}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)=\varepsilon^{v p j-v} f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)$
$f_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)=\varepsilon^{v p j-2 v} f_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}(z)$
In [4], Karthikeyan et.al., investigated the class
$\mathscr{S}_{j, k}^{p}(b ; \alpha, \beta)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}: \alpha<\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z f^{(m+1)}(z)}{f_{j, k}^{(m)}(z)}-p+m\right)\right\}<\beta, 0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta\right\}$.
Motivated by the above concept, in this paper, we introduce and investigate a new subclass of multivalent functions with respect to symmetric points. We now define the following:
Definition 1.1. The function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}$ and $\frac{f(z) f^{\prime}(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{U}$ is said to be in the class $\mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ of $p$-valently functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ if and only if it satisfies the condition
$\alpha<\Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)\right\}<\beta, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$,
where, $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $f_{j, k}(z) \neq 0$ is defined by the equality (3). Similarly, we say that a function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}$ is in the class $\mathscr{C}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
z f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

Remark 1.1. If $\lambda=0, j=k=p=1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, then $f(z)$ reduces to the well-known class of starlike functions of complex order. Similarly, if we let $\lambda=1, j=k=p=1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, then $f(z)$ reduces to the well-known class convex functions of complex order.
We observe that for a given $\alpha$ and $\beta(0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta), f \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ satisfies each of the following subordination equations

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right) \prec \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right) \prec \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z} .
$$

Both superordinate functions in the above expressions maps the unit disc onto right half plane, so it is obvious that the above expression is mapped on to a plane having real part greater than $\alpha$ but less than $\beta$.
Kuroki and Owa [3], defined an analytic function $p: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by
$p(z)=1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} z\right.}}{1-z}\right)$.
The above function $p$ maps $\mathbb{U}$ onto a convex domain $\Lambda=\{w: \alpha<\operatorname{Re}\{w\}<\beta\}$, conformally. Using this fact and the definition of subordination, we can obtain the following:
Let $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta$. Then $f \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if
$1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right) \prec p(z)$,
and $p(z)$ is of the form

$$
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

and $c_{n}=\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{n \pi}\right) i\left(1-e^{2 n \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}}\right)$.

Lemma 1.1. [6] Let the functions $q$ be univalent in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}$ and $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{U})$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z)), h(z)=\theta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that

1. $Q$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and
2. $\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

If

$$
\theta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \phi(q(z))
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we prove the integral representation of the function class $\mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta$ and $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then we have
$f_{j, k}(z)=\frac{1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{z} \exp \left\{\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{\zeta}\left[\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}\right)\right] d \zeta\right\} u^{\frac{1}{\lambda}+p-2} d u$
where $f_{j, k}(z)$ defined by (3), w(z) is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta$ and $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then we have
$1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)=1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)$,
where $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$. Substituting $z$ by $\varepsilon^{v} z$ in (7), we have
$1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) \varepsilon^{v} z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)+\lambda \varepsilon^{v} z\left(\varepsilon^{v} z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)+\lambda \varepsilon^{v} z f_{j, k}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}-p\right)=1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)\right.}}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}\right)$.
Using the identities (4), we have
$1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) \varepsilon^{v} z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)+\lambda \varepsilon^{v} z\left(\varepsilon^{v} z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) \varepsilon^{v p j} f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda \varepsilon^{v} z \varepsilon^{v p j-v} f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)=1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}\right)$
On simplifying, we get
$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) \varepsilon^{v-v p j} z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)+\lambda \varepsilon^{2 v-v p j} z\left(z f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}\right)$.
Let $v=0,1,2, \ldots,(k-1)$ in (10) respectively and summing them, we get
$\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{(1-\lambda) z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}\right.} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}\right)$
From this equality, we get
$\frac{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{p}{z}=\frac{\gamma}{k z} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} z\right)}\right)$.
Integrating, we get
$\log \left(\frac{(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p}}\right)=\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)\right.}}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)}\right)\right) d t$.
Simplifying (13), we have
$(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)=z^{p} \exp \left\{\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)\right.}}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)}\right)\right) d t\right\}$.
A simple computation in (14), gives the required conclusion of this theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}_{p}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ with $0 \leq \alpha<1<\beta$ and $0<\lambda \leq 1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z)=\frac{1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{z} \int_{0}^{u} \exp \left\{\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{1}{\zeta}\left[\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}\right)\right] d \zeta\right\}  \tag{15}\\
& \times {\left[p+\frac{\gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha)}} w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)\right] u^{\frac{1}{\lambda}+p-3} d \eta d u }
\end{align*}
$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$.
Proof. From (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}= & \left((1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)\right) \\
& \times\left[p+\frac{\gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}\right.} w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)\right] . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

From (14) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(1-\lambda) f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}= & z^{p-1} \exp \left\{\frac{\gamma}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)\right.}}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)}\right)\right) d t\right\} \\
& \times\left[p+\frac{\gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} w(z)}}{1-w(z)}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

On simplifying and integrating the above equality (17), we get (15).
If we put $\lambda=1, j=k=1$ in Definition 1.1 and Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary:
Corollary 2.3. If $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p}$ satisfies the analytic condition

$$
\alpha<\Re\left\{1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)\right\}<\beta,
$$

then the integral representation of $f(z)$ is given by
$f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} t^{p-1} \exp \left\{\gamma \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\zeta}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} \zeta\right)}\right)\right) d \zeta\right\} d t$.
Remark 2.1. If we put $\lambda=1, j=k=1$ in (5) then this result is reduced into the Corollary 2.5 in [4].
Remark 2.2. If we put $\lambda=0, j=k=1$ in (14), then

$$
f(z)=z^{p} \exp \left\{\gamma \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)}{1-w\left(\varepsilon^{v} t\right)}\right)\right) d t\right\} .
$$

Take $p=1$, this result was proved by K.Kuroki and S.Owa [3].
Theorem 2.4. Let the function $h(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ be defined by
$h(z)=\delta+(\delta+\kappa) \frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha)} z\right.}}{1-z}\right)+\kappa\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi}\right) i \frac{z\left(1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{11-\alpha)}{\beta-\alpha)}}\right)}{(1-z)\left(1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{11-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} z\right)}\right.}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\kappa\left(\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left[\log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} z}}{1-z}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa>0, \kappa+\delta>0$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\frac{f_{j, k}(z)}{z} \neq 0$ satisfies the condition
$\delta+\frac{(\delta+\kappa)}{\gamma}\left[\frac{F(z)}{F_{j, k}(z)}-1\right]+\frac{\kappa}{\gamma^{2}}\left[\frac{F(z)}{F_{j, k}(z)}-1\right]^{2}+\frac{\kappa}{\gamma}\left[\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F_{j, k}(z)}-\frac{z F(z) F_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(F_{j, k}(z)\right)^{2}}\right] \prec h(z)$,
where
$F(z)=(1-\lambda) z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime} \quad$ and $\quad F_{j, k}(z)=(1-\lambda) f_{j, k}(z)+\lambda z f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)$
then $f \in \mathscr{S}_{1}^{j, k}(\gamma ; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by
$p(z)=1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{F(z)}{F_{j, k}(z)}-1\right) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; z \neq 0 ; f \in \mathscr{A})$,
where $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \in \mathscr{P}, F(z)$ and $F_{j, k}(z)$ defined by (20). On simplification, we get
$z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[\frac{z F^{\prime}(z)}{F_{j, k}(z)}-\frac{z F(z) F_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(F_{j, k}(z)\right)^{2}}\right]$.
Thus by (19), we have
$\kappa z p^{\prime}(z)+\kappa p^{2}(z)+(\delta-\kappa) p(z) \prec h(z)$.
Let
$g(z)=1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i \frac{(1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)}} w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right)$.
Set

$$
\theta(w)=\kappa w^{2}+(\delta-\kappa) w \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(w)=\kappa
$$

it can be easily verified that $\theta$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}, \phi$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ with $\phi(0) \neq 0$ in the $w$-plane. Also, let

$$
Q(z)=z g^{\prime}(z) \phi(g(z))=\kappa z g^{\prime}(z)
$$

and

$$
h(z)=\theta(g(z))+Q(z)=\kappa(g(z))^{2}+(\delta-\kappa) g(z)+\kappa z g^{\prime}(z)
$$

Since $g(z)$ is convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ provided $\alpha \geq 0$, it gives that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. In view of the result proved in [3], $g(z)$ given by (23) is starlike for $\alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}\right)=\mathfrak{R}\left\{\kappa\left(\frac{g(z)}{z g^{\prime}(z)}(g(z)-1)+1\right)+\delta \frac{g(z)}{z g^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0 .
$$

By the application of Lemma 1.1, we get the required assertion of this theorem.
If we put $\lambda=0, \gamma=1$ in Theorem 2.4, we get the following corollary:
Corollary 2.5. Let the function $h(z)$ be defined as in (18). If $f \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\frac{f_{j, k}(z)}{z} \neq 0$ satisfies the condition

$$
\kappa\left\{\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f_{j, k}(z)}-\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z) f_{j, k}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(f_{j, k}(z)\right)^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(f_{j, k}(z)\right)^{2}}\right\}+\delta\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{j, k}(z)}\right) \prec h(z)
$$

then
$\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{j, k}(z)} \prec 1+\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\pi} i \log \left(\frac{1-e^{2 \pi i\left(\frac{1-\alpha)}{(\beta-\alpha)} z\right.}}{1-z}\right)$.
Remark 2.3. If we take $j=k=1$ in the corollary 2.5, then this result was analogous to the result obtained by Xu et al. in [11].
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