

International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJAES doi: 10.14419/ijaes.v5i2.8132 Research paper



Corporate governance and tax aggresiveness, an evidence on manufacturing companies in Indonesia

Ida Farida Adi Prawira *

Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economic and Business Education, Indonesia Education University 229th Dr.Setiabudhi Street, Bandung, West Java, Indonesia 40154 *Corresponding author E-mail: ida.farida@upi.edu

Abstract

The aim of this research is to find out about the influence of corporate governance (Size of Board Commissioners and size of directors) toward tax aggressiveness in manufacturing companies, which are listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange. The sample of this research was 58 manufacturing companies over three years is 2013-2015 with a total of 174 samples, using a purposive sampling method. In this research, researchers used documentation study to collected secondary data with web browsing. Data analysis was performed with the classical assumption and hypothesis testing with multiple linear regression method. To analyze data using SPSS software version 21. The results of hypothesis testing showed that have an influence among corporate governance (Size of Board Commissioners and size of directors) toward tax aggressiveness in manufacturing companies with an indicator books tax different.

Keywords: Corporate Governance; Tax Aggressiveness; Manufacturing Companies.

1. Introduction

The practice of tax avoidance is now a major problem throughout the world. Panama Papers shows how much potential tax avoidance that occurred including in Indonesia. Perhaps, a report called Panama Papers from the international Consortium of Investigative Journalists (ICIJ) is a frightening specter for world public official's politicians, super-rich and celebrities whose names are mentioned in the document (Sudiarta, 2016). Australia began an investigation of 800 companies and individuals whose names are included in the document. Likewise, other countries such as India, France, Italy, and New Zealand. In Indonesia, the Minister of Finance has requested the Directorate General of Taxes to study the document. Panama Papers data becomes additional information in testing compliance of tax payments, complementing data already owned by DGT, especially Taxpayer data that earn overseas income.

Due to the nature of tax evasion that does not violate regulations, the DGT cannot impose legal sanctions on companies, although this behavior will reduce the state revenue from the tax sector (Mangoting, 1999). While corporate tax evasion has an indirect effect on society, tax evasion firms give a bad impression because people perceive that this activity will limit the transfer of income to the wider community (Fuest and Riedel, 2009). Whereas according to the society, the company should participate in improving the welfare of the community through the payment of taxes (Prawira, 2016).

The condition of corporate governance turned out to affect the decisions taken company. In companies with poor governance, tax evasion activities are not valuable to shareholders, and even reduce the value of the company itself (Desai and Dharmapala, 2006; Wahab and Holland, 2012). Desai and Dharmapala (2006) show that companies with poor governance, when an increase in profits for managers (in the form of compensation), decreases tax

avoidance, which should be done for shareholders. While companies with good governance turned out to have a higher level of tax avoidance

Corporate governance plays a role for the company to improve the company's performance. Implementation of corporate governance can encourage management to manage companies more efficiently and implement appropriate measures for the benefit of the company. This will affect the better internal control so that the entire management of the company will be more effective and efficient. Conflicts of interest that occur between principals, and agents can be addressed through good corporate governance as a mechanism used to control managers (Shleifer & Vishny, 1997) the application of corporate governance principles is able to reduce aggressive tax action and aggressive financial reporting. Corporate governance is believed to be capable of limiting management space so that it will be difficult to take aggressive tax action and financial reporting simultaneously. Corporate governance policies can reduce the opportunistic actions of managers in maximizing their own interests.

The strong corporate governance of a company is determined by the size of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors (Minnick & Noga, 2010). Board of commissioners is the core of corporate governance that is assigned to ensure the implementation of corporate strategy, supervise the management in managing the company, and oblige the implementation of accountability (Irawan & Aria, 2012). Irawan & Aria also stated that in addition to the board of commissioners in command of supervising, the board of directors of management plays an important role in choosing a strategy by the company to increase wealth. Management is obliged to utilize the company's resources efficiently and improve the company's performance so that the value of the company increases. One strategy that is done is with the efficiency of tax payments. Therefore, the indicators of corporate



Copyright © 2017 Ida Farida Adi Prawira. This is an open access article distributed under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

governance in this study are the size of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors within the company.

2. Literature reviews

Companies should be able to take advantage of the loopholes that exist in the tax laws. This action is often also called aggressive action in taxation. The definition of aggressive tax action is an act designed or manipulated to reduce fiscal profit through appropriate tax planning, which is not classified as tax evasion. Tax aggressiveness is also measured using the size of tax management, by calculating the ratio of a book tax differences (Hanlon & Slemrod, 2009). The result of tax management is the real amount of tax paid by the company listed in the income statement of the company (Frank et al., 2012).

The literature on the influence of corporate governance on tax aggressiveness has been found. Among them by Armstrong et al. (2012), which conducts research on the compensation relationships received by corporate executives, in particular, on compensation received by the tax director, of corporate tax management. In the study, they used two types of proxy for executive compensation. The first proxy is the total value of compensation received by the executive for a year. The second proxy is the compensation mix, ie the ratio of each component of the executive compensation to the total compensation received. They attest to a strong negative relationship between compensation received by the effective tax rate GAAP.

Minnick and Noga (2010) also conducted research on the effects of management compensation on tax management, which found the effects of stock-based compensation packages, as one component of corporate governance, encouraged managers to manage taxes for the efficiency of corporate tax payments. It can add value from the company and give the benefit to the shareholders because it is positively related to the high rate of return to them. In his research, Minnick and Noga (2010) used the composition of directors, entrenchment, board compensation, and executive compensation as a proxy for corporate governance mechanisms.

In a study conducted by Noor et al. (2010) in companies in Malaysia by using the dependent variable in the form of an effective tax rates. The independent variables used by Noor et al. Ie firm size, return on asset (ROA), corporate debt, fixed asset intensity, inventory intensity, business differences, different taxation methods and different taxes. The result of the research is that firm size and inventory intensity have positive effect to the effective tax rate. For the ROA variable, corporate debt and fixed asset, intensity negatively affected the effective tax rate. For the business type of the company obtained the result that the product industry, trade and services, consumer products, agriculture, technology and property have a lower effective tax rate than other sectors.

Bernard (2011) in his research-related tax management using the characteristics of corporate governance and compensation as an independent variable. The results from his research found a positive relationship between the number of boards of commissioners with tax management and a significantly negative relationship between the proportion of an independent board of commissioners

and compensation with tax management. Tax management is measured using the ETR indicator.

Irawan and Aria (2012) in his research entitled The Effect of Management Compensation and Corporate Governance on Corporate Tax Management. Finding a positive and significant relationship between corporate governance and CETR compensation. The research combines research conducted by Minnick and Noga (2010) and Armstrong et al. (2012).

Sabli and Noor (2012) found that internal and external corporate governance mechanisms do not affect the company's effective tax rate. The independent variables used in this study are proportion of independent directors and institutional investors while the control variables used are firm size, leverage, return on asset and capital intensity ratio. The results of this study indicate that the company still has a high dependence on tax consultant assistance rather than top management in activity planning activities.

In 2015, Novriansyah Zulkarnaen conducted a study on the influence of corporate governance on tax management. Corporate governance such as independent commissioners, exclusive management compensation and institutional investors. The results of this study are independent commissioners, exclusive management compensation and institutional investors partially and silmultan influence on tax management.

Rashid, Noor, Mastuki & Bardai conduct research under the title Longitudinal Study of Corporate Tax Planning: Analysis on Companies' Tax Expense and Financial Ratios in year2015. Independent variables in this research are inventory intensity, capital intensity, research and development of intensity profitability, leverage. Dependent variable in this research is tax planning. By using multiple linear regression analysis, the result of this research is inventory intensity, capital intensity, research and development intensity; profitability (ROA) and leverage have significant relationship and negative relation with tax planning strategy (ETR).

3. Methodology

This study uses descriptive research method, which examines in depth and thoroughly so as to illustrate how the influence of corporate governance to tax aggressiveness. Increase in taxation cases up to 280 percent from 2013 to 2014 occurs dominated by manufacturing companies (Kristiyono, 2015), so the study population is 146 manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Data used in the form of financial statements of 2013, 2014, and 2015. Corporate governance is assessed by using the number of boards of commissioners with the number of boards of directors, while tax aggressiveness assessed by book tax difference (BTD). Data is processed by using linear regression.

4. Results and discussion

Based on the data of the company's annual report for the period of 2013-2015, the following describes the results of the board of commissioner size and board size data of 58 companies in the manufacturing sector listed on the BEI using the maximum value analysis method, the minimum value, and the mean value (mean).

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Corporate Governance Year 20)13-2015
---	----------

N-	V - 1-	Nama Damaahaan	Dewan Komisaris			Dewan Direksi		
NO KOde	Kode	Nama Perusanaan	2013	2014	2015	2013	2014	2015
1	AGII	Aneka Gas Industri Tbk.	6	6	8	5	5	6
2	ALDO	Alkindo Naratama Tbk.	3	3	3	3	3	3
3	AMFG	Asahimas Flat Glass Tbk.	11	11	11	6	6	6
4	APLI	Asiaplast Industries Tbk.	3	3	3	3	3	3
5	BTON	Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk.	3	3	3	2	2	2
6	CPIN	Charoen Pokphand Indone- sia Tbk	7	7	7	6	6	5
7	DPNS	Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk.	4	4	4	3	3	3
8	EKAD	Ekadharma International Tbk.	3	3	3	2	2	2

9 INTP Indecentar Tangal Packases Tb Packases T									
10 JFA Jinfa Confect Induces in To, Reduce uns Set in Induces in To, Reduce uns Set in Induces in To, Set in Induces in PICO 14 Jinfa Confect Induces in To, To, Set in Induces in PICO 14 Jinfa Confect Induces in PICO 14 Jinfa Confect Induces in PICO 14 Jinfa Confect Induces in PICO 15 3 3 3 12 LION Lione Meeta Vorts Tb, PICO 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 SMCB Holvin Induces in Tb, PICO 8 8 8 8 6 6 7 14 PICO Sense Battraji (Presco) 7 </td <td>9</td> <td>INTP</td> <td>Indocement Tunggal Prakarsa Th</td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td>	9	INTP	Indocement Tunggal Prakarsa Th	9	9	9	7	7	7
DATA Tak. D J J J J J J J KDN KKN Kolowny Setia Industrial 4 4 3 3 3 12 LKDN Homes Tak. 4 4 4 3 3 3 13 MKN Homes Tak. 5 5 5 5 5 5 15 SMUR Homesia Tak. 8 8 8 6 6 7 15 SMUR Bennen Indoresia Tak. 8 8 8 6 7 7 16 SMUR Semen Indoresia Tak. 10 11 11 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	10	IDEA	Japfa Comfeed Indonesia	5	5	5	3	3	4
11 KDS1 Tok. Tok. 4 4 3 4 4 4 12 LON Lon Mesh Prima Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 13 LMSH Lionmesh Prima Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 15 SMRR Semen Bulancia (Persero) 5 5 5 5 6 7 16 SMRC Tok. 5 5 5 8 8 6 6 7 18 SRSN Iado Acidatuma Tok. 5 5 8 8 8 8 20 TOTO Surga Toto Inforesia Tok. 10 11 11 4 5 5 23 ALTO Astar Groparts Tok. 8 9 9 8 10 10 11 23 ALTO Astar Groparts Tok. 5 6 6 6 6 5 5 24 BATA Score Mart Tok. 5 6 6 6 6 5 5 25 INPS	10	JITA	Tbk. Kedawang Setia Industrial	5	5	5	5	5	+
12 LION Lion Metal Works Tab. 4 4 3 3 3 14 PICO Pelangi Inda Camido Tex 2 2 2 2 3 15 SMR Storm Endands (Persore) 5 5 5 5 5 16 SMC1 Hole in Indonesia (Persore) 7 7 7 6 7 17 SMGR Semon Indonesia (Persore) 7 7 7 6 7 18 SRN Indo Aciditama Tab. 5 5 5 8 8 8 19 TALF Tunss Afrie Tbc. 4 4 4 5 3 3 3 20 TOTO Surg Ton Indonesia Tbc. 3	11	KDSI	Tbk.	4	4	3	4	4	4
13 14 14 14 14 14 14Lionmesh Prima Takk 15 15333333315 15SMRR Smen Baturial (Consol Tokk Tok 1588866716SMRC Smen Baturial (Consol Tokk Tok 1688866717SMRC Smen Baturial (Consol Tokk 17755888818 10SRNN 10Indo-sic (Posen) 101111455518 10SRNN 10Indo-sic (Posen) 101111455510 10Asta International Tbk 1089101011111111 11Asta International Tbk 1098101011111112 14Asta International Tbk 1098133	12	LION	Lion Metal Works Tbk.	4	4	4	3	3	3
14 PRO. Pelangi hada Cambo Tb. 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 15 SMBR Serven Indonesia Tb. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 16 SMCR Serven Indonesia Tb. 8 8 6 6 7 7 17 SMCR Serven Indonesia Tb. 5 5 5 8	13	I MSH	Lionmesh Prima Thk	3	3	3	3	3	3
1. LCD Sermer Baurais (Persen) 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 15 SMCR Tok. 8 8 8 6 6 7 17 SMCR Thok. 8 8 8 6 7 7 18 SRN Indo Acidatars Tok. 5 5 5 8 8 8 19 TALF Super total filts. 4 4 1 3 3 3 3 20 TALF Super total filts. 1	14	PICO	Palangi Indah Canindo Thk	2	2	2	2	3	3
SMBR Setter Balance (versero) 5 6 6 7 16 SMCR The. Tor 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 7 18 SRSN Indo Acidatam Tak 5 5 8 8 8 7	14	1100		2	2	2	2	5	5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	15	SMBR	Semen Baturaja (Persero)	5	5	5	5	5	5
16 SMCB Hokim Indonesia (Tbk. 8 8 8 8 6 6 7 18 SRSN Indo Acidations (Tbk. 7 7 6 7 7 18 SRSN Indo Acidations (Tbk. 1 1 1 1 3			Tbk.	-	-	-			
NMCR Semen Indonesia (Penero) 7 7 7 6 7 7 18 SRSN Indo Acidatum Tok 5 5 8 8 8 19 TALF Turas Alím Tok. 4 4 5 3 3 20 TOTO Surya Tolo Inforensia Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 21 TRST Mass Resinsa Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 23 RATO Avara International Tok. 8 9 10 11 10 11 23 RATO Avara International Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 24 REC Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 25 INDS Indospring Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 26 REC Tok. 7 6 6 6 3 37 NIC State Colonindo 4 4 3 3 3 38 MIM Selarat Semprona 4 4 3 3 3 310 MIM Sela	16	SMCB	Holcim Indonesia Tbk.	8	8	8	6	6	7
1 SAUR Thic. 1	17	SMCD	Semen Indonesia (Persero)	7	7	7	6	7	7
18. SRS.N Indo Acidams Tak 5 5 8 8 8 20 TOTO Surga Toto Indonesia Tok. 10 11 11 4 5 5 21 RKD Attr Attr 11 11 4 5 5 22 AUTO Attr Oright's Tok. 9 9 10 10 11 10 22 ASH Attr Attr Attr 5 6 4 5 5 23 AUTO Attr Oright's Tok. 5 6 4 5 5 5 24 BATA Sepati Bat. Tok. 5 6 4 3 3 3 3 25 RIOS Indio Acidams Tok. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 26 IFCC Parino Cable Company 4 4 3 3 3 3 27 RIAN KIALM Kabelindo Munifactor 4 4 3 3 3 3 38 MDS Seland Sengura Tok. 5 5 3 3 3 3 31 Abs. Seland Sengura Tok. 7 6 6 <td>17</td> <td>SMOK</td> <td>Tbk.</td> <td>1</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> <td>0</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td>	17	SMOK	Tbk.	1	/	/	0	/	/
19 TALF Tunes Alfa Tible. 4 4 5 3 3 3 20 TOTO Surger Toto Indonesin Thk. 0 11 11 14 5 5 21 TRST Tria Sentosa Thk. 3 3 3 4 4 4 23 AUTO Astra Otopatris Tbk. 9 9 8 11 10 9 24 BATA Seque Ban Tbk. 5 6 6 6 6 5 5 25 INDS Indospring Tbk. 3<	18	SRSN	Indo Acidatama Tbk	5	5	5	8	8	8
50 TOTO Surp Toto Indonesia TMc. 10 11 11 4 5 5 21 TKT Triat Sections TMc. 8 9 10 10 11 11 22 ASH Astra International TMc. 8 9 10 10 11 11 23 AUTO Astra Oroparts TMc. 5 6 4 5 5 5 25 INDS Indopring TMc. 5 6 4 4 3 3 3 26 JECC Tob. 2 6 6 6 6 5 5 37 SKLM Kall Wire & Cable TMc. 3	10	TALE	Tunas Alfin Thk	4	4	5	3	3	3
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20	TOTO	Suma Toto Indonesia Thir	10	11	11	1	5	5
21 IKS1 Iras Sentosa Ibk. 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 22 ASII Astra Otopater Tbk. 9 9 8 11 10 9 23 AUTO Astra Otopater Tbk. 9 9 8 11 10 9 24 BATA Septi Bala Tbk. 3	20		Surya Toto Indonesia Tok.	10	11	11	4	3	5
22 ASII Astra International Tbk. 8 9 10 10 11 11 23 AUTO Astra International Tbk. 5 6 4 5 5 5 24 BATA Sepata Bata Tbk. 5 6 4 5 5 5 25 INDs Indopring Tbk. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 26 JECC Tbk. Cable Tbk. 5 6 6 6 6 5 3	21	TRST	Trias Sentosa Tbk.	3	3	3	4	4	4
23 AUTO Astra Olegariay Table, 9 9 8 11 10 9 24 BATA Separation Bata Table, 5 6 4 5 5 25 INDS Indespring Table, 3 3 3 3 3 3 26 JECC Jembo Cable Company Table, 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 27 KBLI KMI Wire & Cable Table, 3	22	ASII	Astra International Tbk.	8	9	10	10	11	11
24 BATA Sepate Bain Tak. 5 6 4 5 5 5 25 IROC Jenabo Cable Company Intel Company Tak. 4 4 4 3 3 3 26 JECC Jenabo Cable Company Intel Company NIPS KILI KMI Wice Cable Tak. 5 6 6 6 6 5 27 KBLI KMI Wice Cable Tak. 3 3 3 3 3 3 29 NIPS Nipress Tak. 3 3 3 3 3 3 30 RICY Rickly Putra Globalinato Tak. 4 4 6 3 3 3 31 SCCO MINT Nasantas functionatoria Tak. 7 5 5 3 3 3 33 UNT Nasantas functionatoria Tak. 7 6 6 3 3 4 40 Daya-Varia Laboratoria Tak. 7 7 7 6 6 5 5	23	AUTO	Astra Otoparts Tbk.	9	9	8	11	10	9
25 INDS Indogring TbL. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 26 JECC TbL. TbL. SA 4 4 4 3 3 3 27 KBLM KM Wre & Cabe TbL. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 28 KBLM Kabelindo Mumi TbL. 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 30 RICY TbL 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 31 SCCO Supreme Cabe Manufac- 4 4 4 4 3	24	BATA	Sepatu Bata Tbk	5	6	4	5	5	5
L Intro In	25	INDS	Indospring Thk	3	3	3	3	3	3
26 JECC	23	1105	Jamba Cabla Company	5	5	5	5	5	5
27 KBL1 KM Ware & Cable Tbk. 5 6 6 6 6 5 28 KBLM Kabelindo Mumi Tbk. 3 <	26	JECC	This	4	4	4	3	3	3
21 KBL KAUI WIG & CADE 10E. 3 </td <td>27</td> <td>VDI I</td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td><i>r</i></td> <td><i>c</i></td> <td>6</td> <td><i>c</i></td> <td>~</td>	27	VDI I		~	<i>r</i>	<i>c</i>	6	<i>c</i>	~
28 KBLM Kabelindo Murmi Ibk. 3 <td>27</td> <td>KBLI</td> <td>KMI wire & Cable 1bk.</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td>	27	KBLI	KMI wire & Cable 1bk.	5	6	6	6	6	5
29NIPSNipress Tick.33343330RICYTicky Purt Clobalindo Tok.44433331SCCOSupreme Clobe Manufac- turing44533331SCCOSupreme Clobe Manufac- turing44533333UNITNusantara Inti Corpora Tok Tok.22222234ADESTok.722222235AISATiga Plar Sightera Food Tok.777555536CEKATok.76633440HMSPH.M. Sampoerna Tok.77744441ICBP Makmur Tok76633442INDFTok.77744443KAEFKinia Farma Tok.77744444KIBFKaibe Farma Tok.755555544KIBFKaibe Farma Tok.755555544KIBFKaibe Farma Tok.7555555544KIBFKaibe Farma Tok.555555555555 <td>28</td> <td>KBLM</td> <td>Kabelindo Murni Tbk.</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td>	28	KBLM	Kabelindo Murni Tbk.	3	3	3	3	3	3
30RICYRicky Pura Globalindo Tok44433331SCC0Supreme Cable Manufac- uring44533332SMSMSelamat Sempura Tik.55333333UNITNusanara Inti Corpora Tik2222222234ADESTok.744333335AISATiga Plar Sejahtera Food Tok.43333336CEKAWilliar Cabaya Indonesia Tok.5555538DVLADarya-Varia Laboratoria Tok.97766639GGRMGudang Garam Tok.76666639GGRMGudang Garam Tok.77666644IRDBFIndofood Sukses Makmur Tok.99988845KAEFKimia Ferma Tok.555555546MLBIMulti Bitang Indonesia Tok.755555547MYORMayora Indah Tok.55555555548MF2Myora Indah Tok.555555555555555	29	NIPS	Nipress Tbk.	3	3	3	4	3	3
3.0 R.C.T Tbk. Tbk. 4 4 4 4 5 5 31 SCCO surgene Cable Manufac- uring 4 4 5 3 3 3 32 SMSM Selamat Semuma Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 3 33 UNIT Nusantara Init Corpora Tbk 2 2 2 2 2 2 34 ADES Akasha Wira International Tbk. 4 4 4 3 3 3 35 AISA Tiga Plar Sejahtera Food Tbk. 4 4 4 3 3 3 37 DLTA Delta Djakara Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 38 GRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 7 7 6 6 40 HMSP Hodofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 10 9 8 8 41 LCBP Indofood Sukses Makmur 9 9 10 9 8 8 42 INDF Tbk. <td>20</td> <td>DICV</td> <td>Ricky Putra Globalindo</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td>	20	DICV	Ricky Putra Globalindo	4	4	4	2	2	2
31SCC0Supreme Cable Manufac- turing44533332SMSMSelamat Sempura Tbk. Tok.55533333UNITNusanara Inti Corpora Tbk. Tbk.222222234ADESAkasha Wira International Tbk.44433335AISATige Flar Sejahera Food Tbk.344333336CEKATbinar Cahaya Indonesia Tbk.3555555537DLTADelta Dijkarta Tbk. Tbk.76633440HMSPH.M.Sampoerna Tbk. Tbk.77744441ICBPMakmur Tbk Makmur Tbk77744442INDFTbiofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk.55567745MERKMackes Makmur Tbk.755333346MLB1Makmur Tbk. Tbk.555677748MPCAMayora Indah Tbk. Makmur Tbk.555555544KAEFKinnia Farma Tbk. Tbk.5555555544MERKMayora Indah Tbk. Tbk.55533<	30	RICY	Tbk	4	4	4	3	3	3
31 SCC0 uring 4 4 5 3 3 3 32 SMSM Selamat Sempura Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 3 31 UNT Nusantara lini (corpora Tbk. 2 2 2 2 2 2 34 ADES Atasha Wira International Tbk. 4 4 4 3 3 3 35 AISA Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Food Tbk. 4 3 3 3 3 3 36 CEKA Wilmar Cabaya Indonesia 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 37 DLTA Delta Djakarta Tbk. 5			Supreme Cable Manufac-						
32 MSM Selamat Sempura Tok. 5 5 3 3 3 3 33 UNIT Nusantara Ini Corpora Tok. 2	31	SCCO	turing	4	4	5	3	3	3
3.2 SMSM Selama Sempura 10k. 5 5 5 5 3 3 3 33 UNT Nusantara Init Corpora Tbk. 2 <td>22</td> <td>C) (C) (</td> <td></td> <td>~</td> <td>~</td> <td>~</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td>	22	C) (C) (~	~	~	2	2	2
33 UNIT Nusantan intr Corpora ibk 2 <	32	SMSM	Selamat Sempurna Tbk.	5	5	5	3	3	3
34ADESAkasha Wira International Tob.44433335AISATiga Pilar Sejahtera Food Tob.43333336CEKATiga Pilar Sejahtera Food Tob.344333337DLTADelta Diakata Tob.55555538DVLADarya-Varia Laboratoria Tob.97766639GGRMGudang Garam Tob.77744440HMSPH.M. Sampoena Tob.7776641ICBPMakmur Tok7776642INDFIndofood CBP Sukses Tob.991098843KAEFKimia Farma Tok.55555544KLBFKabe Farma Tok.755333445MEKMerck Tob.7553333446MIBIMuli Bintang Indonesia Tob.7553333447MYORMayora India Tob.55555555555555555555555555555555<	33	UNIT	Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk	2	2	2	2	2	2
3.5 ADDS Tbk. F <thf< td=""><td>24</td><td>ADES</td><td>Akasha Wira International</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>2</td></thf<>	24	ADES	Akasha Wira International	4	4	4	2	2	2
35AISATige plar Sejahtera Food Tbk.43365536CEKAWilmar Cahaya Indonesia Tbk.34433337DLTADelta Djakarta Tbk.55555538DVLADarya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk.97766639GGRMGudang Garam Tbk.76633440HMSPH.M. Sampoerna Tbk.77744441ICBPIndofood CB Sukses Makmur Tbk991098842INDFTbk.55555543KAEFKimis Farma Tbk.55555544KLBFKalbe Farma Tbk.75555545MERKMerck Tbk.755555546MLBITbk.75533 <td< td=""><td>54</td><td>ADES</td><td>Tbk.</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td></td<>	54	ADES	Tbk.	4	4	4	3	3	3
35 AISA Tbk. 4 3 3 6 5 5 36 CEKA Tbk. 3 3 4 4 3 3 3 37 DLTA Delta Djakarta Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 38 DVLA Darya-Varia Laboratoria 9 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Guang Garam Tbk. 7 6 6 3 3 4 40 HMSP H.M. Samporena Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood Sukses Makmur 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 4 4 7 8 7 7 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	~~		Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Food				-	-	_
36 CEKA Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia Tok. 3 4 4 3 3 3 37 DLTA Delta Djakarta Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 38 DVLA Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk. 9 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 40 HMSP H.M. Sampoema Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 10 9 8 8 42 INDF Tbk. S 5 </td <td>35</td> <td>AISA</td> <td>Thk</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td>	35	AISA	Thk	4	3	3	6	5	5
36 CERA Trunk Canaya induceda 3 4 4 3 3 3 37 DLTA Detta Djakarta Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 38 DVLA Darya-Varia Laboratoria 9 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 6 6 3 3 4 40 HMSP H.M. Sampoerna Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 6 7 7 44 KLBF Kabe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 6 7 7 7 45 MERK Mayora Indha Tbk. 5 5			Wilmar Cabaya Indonesia						
10.17A Delta Delta Delta Jojaarta Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 38 DVLA Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tok. 9 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 40 HMSP H.M. Sampoerna Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk. 9 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kinia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 43 MAEK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5	36	CEKA	This	3	4	4	3	3	3
37 DLIA Delta Djakarta Tok. 5 </td <td></td> <td>DTT.</td> <td>IDK.</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td>		DTT .	IDK.	_	-	_	_	-	_
38 DVLA Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tok. 9 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tok. 7 7 7 7 6 6 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tok. 7 7 7 7 4 4 40 HMSP H.M.Sampoerna Tok. 7 7 7 7 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kinia Farma Tok. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kabe Farma Tok. 5 5 5 3 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tok. 5 5 5 3 3 3 3 50 SI	37	DLTA	Delta Djakarta Tbk.	5	5	5	5	5	5
35 5 7 7 7 6 6 3 3 4 39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur 9 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5	38	DVI A	Darya-Varia Laboratoria	9	7	7	7	6	6
39 GGRM Gudang Garam Tbk. 7 6 6 3 3 4 40 HMSP H.M. Sampoerna Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur 9 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kinia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 6 7 7 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 3 3 3 3 48 PYFA Pyridam Farma Tbk 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 51 SKDO Industri Jamu d	50	DVLA	Tbk.	,	/	/	/	0	0
40 HMSP H.M. Sampeerna Tbk. 7 7 7 4 4 4 41 ICBP Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk. 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk. 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kinia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 6 7 7 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk. 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 4 49 ROTI Industri Jamu dan Farma' Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 52 SKIT	39	GGRM	Gudang Garam Tbk.	7	6	6	3	3	4
Index Indofood CBP Sukses 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 7 6 41 ICBP Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk. 9 9 9 9 8 7 6 42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk. 5	40	HMSP	H.M. Sampoerna Tbk.	7	7	7	4	4	4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Indofood CBP Sukses						
42 INDF Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk. 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5	41	ICBP	Malamur Thl	9	9	9	8	7	6
42 INDF Indorood SURSES Makmur 9 9 10 9 8 8 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 6 7 7 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk. 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 4 49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido 4 4 5 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>									
A3 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 43 KAEF Kimia Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 44 KLBF Kaibe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 5 6 7 7 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk. 4 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 3 4 49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk. 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 52 SLIDO Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 <	42	INDF	Indolood Sukses Makmur	9	9	10	9	8	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Tbk.		-				
44 KLBF Kalbe Farma Tbk. 5 5 5 6 7 7 45 MERK Merck Tbk. 7 5 5 3 3 3 46 MLBI Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk. 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 5 3 3 4 48 PYFA Pyridam Farma Tbk 3 3 3 3 3 4 49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Janu dan Farmasi Sido 4 4 5 3 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3	43	KAEF	Kimia Farma Tbk.	5	5	5	5	5	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44	KLBF	Kalbe Farma Tbk.	5	5	5	6	7	7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45	MERK	Merck Tbk.	7	5	5	3	3	3
46 MLB1 Tbk. 4 4 4 4 7 8 7 47 MYOR Mayora Indah Tbk. 5 5 5 3 5 5 48 PYFA Pyridam Farma Tbk 3 3 3 3 3 4 49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo 6 6 6 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Jamu dan Farmasi 4 4 5 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical 4 4 4 3<			Multi Bintang Indonesia				_		_
47MYORMayora Indah Tbk.55535548PYFAPyridam Farma Tbk33333449ROTINippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk.66633350SIDOIndustri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido44533351SKBMSekar Bumi Tbk.66633352SKLTSekar Laut Tbk.34433353SSTPSiantar Top Tbk.33433254SQBBTaisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi44433355TCIDMandom Indonesia Tbk.7151536656TSPCTempo Scan Pacific Tbk.12111154457ULTJUltra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra333333358UNVRUnilever Indonesia Tbk.10910555Max12151511111111Mean55644444	46	MLBI	Thk	4	4	4	7	8	7
47 May a main rok. 5	17	MYOP	Mayora Indah Thk	5	5	5	3	5	5
48 PYFA Pyrfam rarma fok 5 5 5 5 5 5 4 49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk. 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido 4 4 5 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 6 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 53 SSTP Siantar Top Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3 3 3 3 3 3<	47	DVEA		2	5	5	5	5	1
49 ROTI Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 50 SIDO Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido 4 4 5 3 3 3 51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Bumi Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 53 SSTP Siantar Top Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3	48	PYFA	Pyridam Farma Tbk	3	3	3	3	3	4
FOROTTbk.Fo	19	ROTI	Nippon Indosari Corpindo	6	6	6	3	3	3
50SIDOIndustri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido44533351SKBMSekar Bumi Tbk.666333352SKLTSekar Laut Tbk.344333353SSTPSiantar Top Tbk.33433254SQBBTaisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi44433355TCIDMandom Indonesia Tbk.7151536656TSPCTempo Scan Pacific Tbk.12111154457ULTJUltra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra33333358UNVRUnilever Indonesia Tbk.10910555Min2222222Max55644444	4 7	ROIT	Tbk.	0	0	0	5	5	5
50 SIDO Sido 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 51 SKBM Sekar Buni Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 53 SSTP Siantar Top Tbk. 3 3 4 3 3 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Tra Tra 3	50	(IDO	Industri Jamu dan Farmasi	4	4	~	2	2	2
51 SKBM Sekar Bumi Tbk. 6 6 6 3 3 3 52 SKLT Sekar Laut Tbk. 3 4 4 3 3 3 53 SSTP Siantar Top Tbk. 3 3 4 4 3 3 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 58 UNVR Unilever Indonesia Tbk. 10 9 10 5 5 5 Min 2	50	SIDO	Sido	4	4	5	3	3	3
51 51 51 51 53 54 54 44 44 57 10 10 11 <t< td=""><td>51</td><td>SKBM</td><td>Sekar Bumi Thk</td><td>6</td><td>6</td><td>6</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td></t<>	51	SKBM	Sekar Bumi Thk	6	6	6	3	3	3
32 $3KL$ $3KL$ $3KL$ 3 4 4 3 3 3 3 53 $SSTP$ $Siantar Top Tbk.$ 3 3 4 3 3 2 54 $SQBB$ Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3	52	SKDM	Sekar Lout Thk	2	4	4	3	2	2
53 SSTP Stattar Top Tok. 3 3 4 5 5 2 54 SQBB Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi 4 4 4 3 3 3 55 TCID Mandom Indonesia Tbk. 7 15 15 3 6 6 56 TSPC Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. 12 11 11 5 4 4 57 ULTJ Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3 3 3 3 3 3 58 UNVR Unilever Indonesia Tbk. 10 9 10 5 5 5 Min 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4 4 4	52	SKLI	Sekai Laut IDK.	5	4	4	3	3	3
54SQBBTaisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi44433355TCIDMandom Indonesia Tbk.7151536656TSPCTempo Scan Pacific Tbk.12111154457ULTJUltra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra33333358UNVRUnilever Indonesia Tbk.10910555Min222222Max121515111111Mean556444	33	551P	Siantar Top Tbk.	3	5	4	3	5	2
Indonesi Image: Participant state Image: Participant Image: Participant Ima	54	SOBB	Taisho Pharmaceutical	4	4	4	3	3	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		~ 200	Indonesi				5	5	5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55	TCID	Mandom Indonesia Tbk.	7	15	15	3	6	6
57 ULtra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra 3 3 3 3 3 3 58 UNVR Unilever Indonesia Tbk. 10 9 10 5 5 5 Min 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4	56	TSPC	Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk.	12	11	11	5	4	4
57 ULTJ Tra 3 3 3 3 3 3 58 UNVR Unilever Indonesia Tbk. 10 9 10 5 5 5 Min 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4			Ultra Java Milk Industry &						
58 UNVR Unilever Indonesia Tbk. 10 9 10 5 5 5 Min 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4	57	ULTJ	Tra	3	3	3	3	3	3
Min 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4	58	UNVR	Unilever Indonesia Thk	10	9	10	5	5	5
Min Z <thz< th=""> Z <thz< th=""> <thz< th=""></thz<></thz<></thz<>	Min	UIVIN	onnever muonesia TUK.	2	2	2	2	5	2
Max 12 15 15 11 11 11 Mean 5 5 6 4 4 4	M			10	2	15	2 11	2	2
Mean 5 5 6 4 4 4	wax			12	15	15	11	11	11
	Mean			5	5	6	4	4	4

Source: company financial statements (data processed).

From the Table 1 above, it is seen that the calculation of the size of the board of commissioners and board of directors have almost the same value every year. The size of the board of commissioners at least from 2013 to 2015 is at PT. Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk. And PT. Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk. The two companies have 2

boards of commissioners. While the size of the largest board of commissioners in 2013 is at PT. Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk. A total of 12 people. In 2014 and 2015 PT. Mandom Indonesia Tbk. Has the largest board of commissioners as many as 15 people

In 2013 and 2014 the average size of the board of commissioners is as many as 5 people. And in 2015 increased to 6 people. The size of the largest board of directors in 2013 is at PT. Astra Otoparts Tbk. As many as 11 people. In 2014 and 2015 the size of the largest board of directors is at PT. Astra International Tbk. As many as the previous year that is as many as 11 people. Meanwhile, the size of the board of directors in 2013-2015 at PT. Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk, PT. Ekadharma International Tbk.dan PT. Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk. Has the smallest size that is as much as 2 people.In contrast to the size of the board of commissioners, the average size of the board of directors does not increase annually. The average size of the board of directors of 2013-2015 is the same as many as 4 people.

If viewed from year to year in 58 companies manufacturing sector in BEI period 2013-2015, the average size of board of commissioner and board size tends to be stable every year. This can be seen in Figure 4.3 below:



Fig. 1: Corporate Governance Averages2013-2015 Graphic.

Based on Figure 1. Shows the size of the board of commissioners in 2013 and 2014 did not increase, in both years the average size of the board of commissioners of 5 people. In 2015 the average size of the board of commissioners increased to 6 people, this year the average size of the highest commissioners compared with the previous year. In contrast to the size of the board of commissioners, the average size of the board of directors annually does not increase. In 2013-2015 the average size of the same board of directors as many as 4 people. This means that by 2015 it can be mentioned that corporate governance structure in the year is good compared to the previous year because it has a high board of mentioner size although the size of the board of directors in that year is the same as the previous year.

Tax aggressiveness is measured using Book Tax Different (BTD). The bigger the BTD, the bigger the company is tax aggresiveness. The calculation formulation is:

BTD = (Commercial profit-Profit Fiscal) (Total Asset)

Based on the data of the company's annual report for the period of 2013-2015, the following is a description of comparative data of tax aggresiveness with book tax different indicator on 58 firms in manufacturing sector listed on BEI using maximum value analysis method, minimum value and average value Mean).

From the Table 2. Above, it can be seen that the calculation of tax aggressiveness with Book Tax Different (BTD) is different. Based on Table2. above, shows the development of corporate tax aggresiveness megalami decreased every year. The average value of the highest different tax book is in the year 2013 at 0,03040. While the average value of the lowest different tax book is in the year 2014 that is equal to 0.01995.

In 2013, PT. Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk. The highest tax aggressiveness, seen from the value of book tax different highest compared with other companies that is equal to 0.25679. While the lowest value is in PT.KMI Wire & Cable Tbk.yaitu of -0.02755, which means that this year the company is not much to do tax aggresiveness, and the average value in this year amounted to 0.03040.

In 2014, the highest different tax book is achieved by PT. Kalbe Farma Tbk. That is equal to 0, 15478. While the lowest value achieved by PT. Charoen Pokphand Indonesia Tbk. Ie -0.05332, and the average value in this year is 0, 01995.

In 2015, the highest different tax book is achieved by PT. Mandom Indonesia Tbk. Which is equal to 0.20632, which means that this year the company is doing a high-tax aggressiveness, while the lowest value achieved by the company PT. Merck Tbk. Ie -0.04527 which means that this year the company is doing low tax aggressiveness, and the average value in this year is 0,02250.

When viewed from year to year, the development of debt levels in the 58 companies in the manufacturing sector of BEI period 2013-2015 decreased from year to year. This can be seen in Figure 2. Below:

Based on Figure 1, the aggressiveness tax shows the period 2013-2015 fluctuated up and down each year. 2013 has the highest average tax aggressiveness compared to the following year at 0, 03040. In 2014 it decreased to 0.01995. In the year 2015 has increased again to be 0, 02250. This means that in 2013 the company's aggressiveness tax is highest compared to the year after.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of Tax Aggressiveness 20)13-2015
--	----------

			Tahun		
No	Kode	Nama Perusahaan	2013	2014	2015
1	AGII	Aneka Gas Industri Tbk.	0.02178	0.06206	0.01089
2	ALDO	Alkindo Naratama Tbk.	0,08054	0,07608	0,07633
3	AMFG	Asahimas Flat Glass Tbk.	-0,00523	0,01019	0,01278
4	APLI	Asiaplast Industries Tbk.	-0,02509	-0,01944	-0,00537
5	BTON	Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk.	0,02267	0,01000	0,00630
6	CPIN	Charoen Pokphand Indonesia Tbk	0,03596	-0,05332	-0,01296
7	DPNS	Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk.	0,23742	0,00478	0,00679
8	EKAD	Ekadharma International Tbk.	0,00074	0,00238	-0,01287
9	INTP	Indocement Tunggal Prakarsa Tb	0,00480	0,02969	0,03151
10	JPFA	Japfa Comfeed Indonesia Tbk.	0,01045	0,00656	0,03677
11	KDSI	Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk.	0,04217	0,04782	0,00065
12	LION	Lion Metal Works Tbk.	0,01133	0,00629	0,00476
13	LMSH	Lionmesh Prima Tbk.	0,10150	0,00270	-0,01177
14	PICO	Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk	0,01606	0,01119	0,01065
15	SMBR	Semen Baturaja (Persero) Tbk.	0,01519	0,03974	0,02990
16	SMCB	Holcim Indonesia Tbk.	-0,00611	-0,01700	-0,00956
17	SMGR	Semen Indonesia (Persero) Tbk.	0,07749	0,06371	0,04340
18	SRSN	Indo Acidatama Tbk	0,00543	-0,01132	-0,00831
19	TALF	Tunas Alfin Tbk.	-0,00136	0,01333	0,02899
20	ТОТО	Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk.	-0,02710	-0,02747	-0,02410
21	TRST	Trias Sentosa Tbk.	0,01192	-0,01389	-0,00684
22	ASII	Astra International Tbk.	0,10336	0,09961	0,06463
23	AUTO	Astra Otoparts Tbk.	0,05963	0,05594	0,01544
24	BATA	Sepatu Bata Tbk.	0,01119	-0,00985	0,13767
25	INDS	Indospring Tbk.	0,03306	0,01581	-0,00127
26	JECC	Jembo Cable Company Tbk.	-0,008/1	-0,01205	-0,01195
27	KBLI	KMI Wire & Cable Tbk.	-0,02755	-0,02680	-0,01772
28	KBLM	Kabelindo Murni Ibk.	-0,00149	-0,00204	-0,02448
29	NIPS	Nipress 10K.	-0,01409	-0,00728	-0,01049
30	KIC I	Kicky Putra Globalindo Tok	0,00018	0,00376	0,00306
20	SUCO	Supreme Cable Manufacturing	0,00410	-0,00490	0,01882
32	LINIT	Selamat Sempuma Tok.	0,10023	0,08935	0,09137
33	ADES	Akasha Wira International Thk	0,00923	0,01209	0,00233
34		Tiga Dilar Sajahtara Food Thk	0,08955	-0,01382	0,01105
36	CEKA	Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia Thk	0,00927	0,00170	-0.00216
37	DI TA	Delta Diakarta Thk	0.07371	0.08472	0.00747
38	DVIA	Darva-Varia I aboratoria Thk	0.01192	-0.00726	-0.00435
39	GGRM	Gudang Garam Thk	0.00366	0.01259	0,00455
40	HMSP	H M. Sampoerna Tbk	-0.00097	0.00485	0.00357
41	ICBP	Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk	-0.00819	-0.01296	-0.01226
42	INDF	Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk.	0.05747	0.05572	0.04658
43	KAEF	Kimia Farma Tbk.	0,06164	0,05701	0,04536
44	KLBF	Kalbe Farma Tbk.	0,15415	0,15478	0,15476
45	MERK	Merck Tbk.	-0,02450	0,02369	-0,04527
46	MLBI	Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk.	0,25679	0,11412	0,17687
47	MYOR	Mayora Indah Tbk.	0,04646	0,01733	0,08863
48	PYFA	Pyridam Farma Tbk	-0,01773	-0,01930	-0,02406
49	ROTI	Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk.	-0,00402	0,02774	0,02086
50	SIDO	Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido	0,01228	0,05265	0,04792
51	SKBM	Sekar Bumi Tbk.	-0,01027	0,05781	-0,01113
52	SKLT	Sekar Laut Tbk.	0,01854	0,00510	-0,00672
53	SSTP	Siantar Top Tbk.	-0,00445	-0,01258	-0,00781
54	SQBB	Taisho Pharmaceutical Indonesi	0,00632	-0,00830	0,00164
55	TCID	Mandom Indonesia Tbk.	-0,02019	-0,01958	0,20632
56	TSPC	Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk.	0,08521	0,08155	0,07052
57	ULTJ	Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Tra	-0,01918	-0,02605	-0,00840
58	UNVR	Unilever Indonesia Tbk.	0,01218	0,01319	0,01382
Min			-0,02755	-0,05332	-0,04527
Max			0,25679	0,15478	0,20632
Mean			0,03040	0,01995	0,02250

Source: company financial statements (data processed).



Fig. 2: Tax Aggresiveness Averages 2013-2015 Graphic.

	Table 3	: Descriptive Stat	istics		
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
The Number of Boards of Commissioners	174	2	15	5	2,609
The Number of Boards of Director	174	2	11	4	1,982
Tax Aggresiveness	174	-,05332	,25679	,0242824	,04958023
Valid N (listwise)	174				

		Table 4: (Coefficientsa			
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		C!-
		В	Std. Error	Beta	ι	Sig.
	(Constant)	-,015	,012		-1,218	,225
1	The Number of Boards of Commissioners	-,005	,002	-,250	-2,944	,004
	The Number of Boards of Director	,010	,002	,403	4,881	,000,
a.	Dependent Variable: tax aggressiveness					

- a) From Table 3. It can be seen that the lowest value of board size and board size is 2 and the highest value of board size is 15 while the highest value of the board size is 11. The size of the board of commissioners has the mean value which is the sum of all data divided by with the amount of data that is equal to 5 and the size of the board of directors has an average of 4. The standard deviation or deviation value of the mean value (size) of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors that is equal to 2,609 and 1,982.
- b) Dependent Variable (Y) used in this research is tax management with book tax different indicator. The sample used is 174 and has the lowest tax rate management -0.05332 and the highest value 0.25679. Where the average value of tax management calculated from the total amount of data divided by the amount of data is equal to 0.0242824. The standard deviation or deviation value of the mean value is 0.04958023.

Based on the results of the data, obtained regression model as follows:

 $Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$

$$Y = -0,015 - 0,005X_1 + 0,010X_2$$

From the regression equation can be explained as follows:

- 1) The constant value of α means that when the size of the board of commissioners (X1) and the size of the board of directors (X2) is absent or zero, the value of tax aggressiveness (Y) is -0.015.
- 2) The regression coefficient $\beta 1$ (board size of commissioner) that is equal to -0,005. The coefficient value of the board of commissioners size has a negative direction, meaning that if

the size of the board of commissioners increases as much as one (assuming other variables remain), it will reduce the value of tax aggresiveness of 0.005.

To determine whether there is any influence of the size of the board of commissioners against aggresiveness tax, first formulate the following hypothesis:

H0-1: $\beta_1 = 0$, the size of the board of commissioners has no effect on tax aggresiveness.

H1: $\beta_1 \neq 0$, the size of the board of commissioners affects tax aggresiveness.

Based on these calculations, obtained the value of $\beta_1 \neq 0$, ie - 0.005 $\neq 0$ then it can be concluded that Ho is rejected. The results of this hypothesis testing shows that the size of the board of commissioners affect the tax aggresiveness (BTD).

3) The regression coefficient $\beta 2$ (board size) is 0.010. The coefficient size of the board of directors obtained has a positive direction, this indicates if the size of the board of directors increases by one (assuming other variables remain), the tax aggresiveness will increase by 0.010.

To determine whether there is an effect of the size of the board of directors to tax aggresiveness, first formulate the following hypothesis:

H0-2: $\beta_2 = 0$, the size of the board of directors has no effect on tax aggresiveness.

H2: $2 \neq 0$, the size of the board of directors affect tax aggresiveness.

Based on these calculations, obtained values $\beta_2 \neq 0$, ie $0,010 \neq 0$ it can be concluded that Ho is rejected. The results of this hypothesis testing shows that the size of the board of directors affect the tax aggressiveness (BTD).

5. Coefficient of determination (R2)

The coefficient of determination (R2) is used to find out how much independent variables explain the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination is between zero and one. If the coefficient of datermination (R2) = 0 means there is no relationship between independent variables with dependent variable, vice versa for the coefficient of determination (R2) = 1 then there is a perfect relationship. Used adjusted R2 as coefficient of determination if regression of free variable more than two.

	Table	e 5: Coeffic	ient of Determination	Model Summary C, D
Model	R	R Square ^b	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.628ª	.395	.380	.04385

From the Table 5. View above the adjusted R square is 0,380 or 38% variable tax aggresiveness (Y) can be explained by Profitability variable, Debt Rate, Board size and Board of Directors. While the rest of 62% influenced by other variables that are not included in this study. One of the objectives of this research is to know the influence of corporate governance on tax aggresiveness. Corporate governance shows relationships between various stakeholders, both internal and external, that are useful in determining the strategic direction and overseeing the performance of the company. In the descriptive analysis can be seen most of the size of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors of the company each year did not increase. The results of the analysis show that the size of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors affect the tax aggresiveness. This is evidenced based on the coefficient value of each board size and board size of -0.005 and 0.010, where the value is $\neq 0$.

The coefficient value of the board of commissioners size has a negative direction, meaning that if the size of the board of commissioners increases as much as one (assuming other variables remain), it will reduce the value of tax aggresiveness of 0.005. While the coefficient value of board of directors that have got positive direction, this shows if the size of the board of directors increases by one (assuming other variables remain), then tax aggresiveness will rise by 0.010. Then the results of this hypothesis testing shows that the size of the board of commissioners affect the tax aggresiveness (BTD).

This is in accordance with the statement of Zulkarnaen (2015) that the increase of commissioners will cause the company performance will be more effective, so the company will do things that are considered important in order to achieve an effectiveness in the company's activities including in the determination of policies related to tax aggresiveness. The size of the board of commissioners and the size of the board of directors each affect the tax aggresiveness. Then it can be said corporate governance effect on tax aggresiveness. This can happen because corporate governance within a company can prevent a company from engaging in aggressive business in tax management. Companies are more cautious as they relate to tax-related rules.

The results of this study are in accordance with the statement of Zulkarnaen (2015) that the higher board size will enable them to ensure that management actions are in line with the shareholders' interests of tax management so that the tax payable borne by the company is low. A low tax debt will provide a higher return for shareholders.

However, this result is inversely related to the research conducted by Minnick and Noga (2010) which found no significant relationship between the implementation of corporate governance mechanism with corporate tax management. While Sari (2010), in his research, found that the implementation of corporate governance can limit the aggressive tax action of companies that make tax payments become greater.

References

- Armstrong, Christopher S., Jennifer L. Blouin, and David F. Larcker. (2012). the Incentives for Tax Planning. Journal of Accounting and Economics53.hlm. 391-411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2011.04.001</u>.
- [2] Desai, M. A., Dharmapala, D. (2006). Corporate tax avoidance and high powered incentives. Journal of Financial Economics, 79(1), 145–179. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2005.02.002</u>.
- [3] Fuest, C. and N. Riedel (2009). Tax Evasion and Tax Avoidance in Developing Countries: The Role of International Profit Shifting – Paper Prepared for the World Bank Conference "The Dynamics of Illicit Flows from Developing Countries" on September 14-15, 2009.
- [4] Frank, M., Lynch, L., & Rego, S. (2012). Tax reporting aggressiveness and itsrelation to aggressive financial reporting. The Accounting Review 84, hlm. 467-496
- [5] Hanlon, Michelle, and Joel Slemrod. 2009. "What does tax aggressiveness signal? Evidence from stock price reactions to news about tax shelter involvement." *Journal of Public Economics*, 93(1-2): 126-141. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2008.09.004</u>.
- [6] Irawan, H.P. & Farahmita, A. (2012). Pengaruh Kompensasi Manajemen dan Corporate GovernanceTerhadap Manajemen Pajak Perusahaan, Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisinis, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Indonesia, Depok.
- [7] Kristiyono, Y. (2015). Tahun Lalu Jumlah Kasus Pajak Meningkat 280 Persen. [Online]. Diakses darihttp://www.cnnindonesia.com
- [8] Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. The journal of finance, 52(2), 737-783. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1997.tb04820.x.
- [9] Sudiarta. I Wayan. 2016. Panama Papers dan Penghindaran Pajak, https://www.cnnindonesia.com/ekonomi/20160412112445-79-123307/panama-papers-dan-praktik-penghindaran-pajak/
- [10] Mangoting. Yenni. 1999. Tax Planning : sebuah Pengantar sebagai Alternatif Meminimalkan Pajak, Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan, Vol. 1 No. 1
- [11] Minnick, K. & Noga, T. (2010). Do Corporate Governance Characteristics Influence Tax Management?. Journal of Corporate Finance, 16, hlm. 703-718 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2010.08.005.
- [12] Noor, R., Fadzillah, N. S. M., & Mastuki, N. A. (2010). Corporate Tax Planning: A Study on Corporate Effective Tax Rates of Malaysian Listed Companies. International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance.1 (2).hlm. 189–193. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJTEF.2010.V1.34.
- [13] Prawira, Ida Farida Adi. 2016. Organizational Culture, Law Enforcement, and Indonesian Tax Revenues, International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies, 4(2), 152-156 <u>https://doi.org/10.14419/ijaes.v4i2.6706</u>.
- [14] Rashid, M. Noor. R. Mastuki, N. A. Bardai, B. (2015). Longitudinal Study of Corporate Tax Planning: Analysis on Companies' Tax Expense and Financial Ratios. Pertanika Journal, Sosial Sciences & Humanities 23, hlm. 109-120
- [15] Wahab, N.S. Abdul., & Holland, K. 2012. Tax Planning, Corporate Governance and Equity Value, The British Accounting Review, 44, 111-124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2012.03.005</u>.
- [16] Zulkarnaen, Novriansyah. (2015). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance terhadap Manajemen Pajak. Esensi Jurnal Bisnis dan Manajemen, 5 (1).