International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies, 12 (6) (2025) 110-118

International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies

Website: www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/IJAES
https://doi.org/10.14419/e0nczj28
Research paper



Integration of Blockchain and Fintech in Revolutionizing The Indian Banking Sector: A Data-Driven Study

Aditee Huparikar Shah [1] *, Dr. Namita Chawla [2], Monalisa Bhinge [3], Dr. Anagha Bhope [4], Dr. Prajakta B. Deshmukh [5], Ashok Deokar [6], Mrs. Aditi Adeep Bhojne [7]

[1] *Assistant Professor, Indira College of Engineering and Management, Pune*
[2] *Assistant Professor, MCA, PCET's Pimpri Chinchwad University, Pune*
[3] *Assistant Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, Pune*
[4] *Associate Professor, Indira University, Pune*
[5] *Assistant Professor, MBA Programme, SPPU, Sub Centre Nashik*
[6] *Assistant Professor, MIT World Peace University, Department of Computer Science and Applications, Pune*
[7] *Assistant Professor, Balaji Institute of Management & Human Resource Development, Sri Balaji University, Pune*
**Corresponding author E-mail: adihr85@gmail.com,*

Received: August 11, 2025, Accepted: September 21, 2025, Published: October 3, 2025

Abstract

The integration of FinTech solutions with Blockchain technology has transformed the Indian banking sector, improving operational efficiency, security, and customer experience. This study examines the perspectives, cognizance, and preparedness of stakeholders with respect to the integration of blockchain and fintech into banking services. A structured survey using a questionnaire was conducted with 230 participants, including customers, professionals, and banking employees. The analysis assessed the effects of technological integration on transparency, cost-efficiency, transaction speed, and trustworthiness in banking services. The findings demonstrate a significant positive disposition towards the integration of Blockchain and FinTech, with primary obstacles recognized as insufficient awareness, inadequate infrastructure, and unclear regulations. The research provides valuable insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and FinTech startups to jointly promote the transformation of digital banking in India.

Keywords: *Blockchain; FinTech; Indian Banking Sector; Digital Transformation; Financial Technology; Distributed Ledger.*

1. Introduction

The Indian banking sector has undergone a significant transformation in recent years, primarily due to rapid advancements in financial technologies (FinTech) and the rise of disruptive innovations such as Blockchain. These technologies have transformed the delivery of financial services and redefined consumer expectations concerning speed, security, transparency, and efficiency. FinTech, an abbreviation for Financial Technology, denotes the incorporation of technology into the services provided by financial institutions to enhance their utilization and delivery to consumers. This includes a wide range of innovations such as mobile banking, digital payments, robo-advisory, peer-to-peer lending, and neobanking. The FinTech revolution in India has accelerated, driven by extensive smartphone adoption, cost-effective internet access, and proactive government initiatives aimed at enhancing digital inclusion.

The integration of FinTech and Blockchain holds considerable importance for the Indian banking sector, which faces challenges related to outdated infrastructure, operational inefficiencies, and the necessity of catering to a large, diverse, and frequently underbanked population. The integration of these technologies can optimize processes, improve customer experience, and foster a more inclusive and resilient financial ecosystem. Blockchain enhances the security of digital lending by offering tamper-proof credit histories, whereas FinTech applications facilitate financial access for remote and rural populations via user-friendly digital interfaces.

This study examines the impact of Blockchain and FinTech integration on the Indian banking sector, using data collected from 230 participants, including customers, professionals, and banking personnel. This study investigates public knowledge, perceived benefits, barriers to adoption, and potential advancements of these technologies. This study emphasizes user perspectives and readiness for adoption, offering insights into the strategic incorporation of innovations within the Indian banking system to maintain competitiveness in a digital landscape.

Copyright © Aditee Huparikar Shah et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Review of Literature

The convergence of Blockchain and FinTech has attracted considerable academic attention in recent years, particularly concerning their transformative implications for the banking and financial services industry. Blockchain technology, initially presented via Bitcoin by Nakamoto (2008), has developed into a decentralized ledger system with the potential to disrupt conventional financial infrastructures. Immutability, transparency, and decentralization are critical characteristics that address banking challenges, including fraud prevention, data security, and transaction efficiency (Tapscott & Tapscott, 2016). Studies demonstrate that Blockchain can improve payment systems, trade finance, digital identity, and smart contracts within the banking sector (Peters & Panayi, 2016).

At a macro level, research on decentralized finance (DeFi) has developed functional frameworks to analyze the interactions between on-chain protocols and traditional finance, as well as the economic activities they effectively support (Aquilina, 2024). Alongside functional work in DeFi, regulatory scholarship has proposed practical frameworks for assessing decentralization and adjusting regulatory responses to distinguish between truly decentralized protocols and on-chain centralized services (Schuler, Cloots, & Schär, 2024). DEFI risks and significant evaluation of governance underline the need for policy equipment that addresses operations, prudent and consumer protection concerns, promoting innovation (Turilzi et al., 2023).

Gomber et al. (2018) contend that FinTech has transformed financial intermediation through the utilization of mobile applications, artificial intelligence, big data, and cloud computing, resulting in enhanced customer-centric services. The adoption of FinTech in India has been notably influenced by regulatory initiatives, including Digital India and Jan Dhan Yojana, alongside the emergence of platforms like the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) (Arner et al., 2015). Amnas et al. (2024) illustrate that the Indian FinTech sector has improved financial inclusion through the provision of quicker, more cost-effective, and accessible services, particularly in rural and semi-urban areas.

Evidence indicates that Blockchain technology has the potential to enhance access to formal financial services and mitigate remittance frictions, particularly in developing economies (Mhlanga, 2023). Analyses of business models for blockchain-competent Fintech in India have underscored various pricing strategies, including remittances, identity verification, lending, and supply chain finance, while also acknowledging the distinct regulatory and operational challenges present in the Indian market (Sonwane and Motwani, 2023).

Several researchers have investigated the relationship between Blockchain and FinTech. According to Chen et al. (2018), Blockchain is characterized as a technological framework that improves security in FinTech applications, particularly in areas requiring reliable third-party validation, such as lending, insurance, and asset management. The integration of these technologies signifies a rational progression in the transformation of digital banking, enhancing risk management, improving transparency, and accelerating decision-making (Zetzsche et al., 2020). Blockchain has the potential to minimize friction in cross-border transactions and regulatory compliance through the establishment of a shared ledger among stakeholders (Catalini & Gans, 2016).

Research highlights considerable obstacles related to adoption. Barriers commonly identified are regulatory uncertainty, lack of interoperability, inadequate infrastructure, and limited user awareness (Rauchs et al., 2018). In India, these challenges are intensified by digital illiteracy and inequalities in access to technology. The Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology as well as the Reserve Bank of India, has launched sandboxes and pilot programs to promote blockchain-based financial innovations (Meity, 2021), which reflects a favorable environment for continuous progress.

3. Research Methodology

The main objectives of this paper are

- To evaluate the awareness and perception of Blockchain and FinTech technologies among stakeholders in the Indian banking sector.
- To investigate the perceived advantages and obstacles associated with the integration of Blockchain and FinTech within the Indian banking system.
- To analyze demographic variations in the readiness for adoption and trust in Blockchain-FinTech banking services.

This study employed a cross-sectional survey methodology to assess the impact of Blockchain and FinTech integration on the Indian banking sector. A cross-sectional technique was suitable as it facilitated the collection of simultaneous perspectives, attitudes, and behavioral intentions from participants within a defined timeframe, thus indicating the sector's preparedness and understanding of technological disruption.

A total of 230 respondents were involved in the study, comprising banking professionals, digital banking users, entrepreneurs, and students from urban, semi-urban, and rural regions of India. This varied composition provided a comprehensive analysis of the trends and viewpoints related to technology adoption among different demographics.

The research utilized stratified random sampling to guarantee equitable representation. The population was categorized by age, occupation, and geographic location, which reduced selection bias and ensured fair representation across different generational and professional groups. The data was collected using a standardized online questionnaire that was disseminated through professional networks, social media platforms, and email. The survey was composed of 28 closed-ended questions, including five demographic inquiries and 23 questions that focused on critical topics, including awareness, perceived benefits, challenges, regulatory factors, and the preparedness to employ Blockchain-FinTech banking solutions. The questions employed multiple-choice and Likert scale formats to enable thorough statistical analysis. The questionnaire utilized technical terminology to improve accessibility. Blockchain operates as a decentralized and secure distributed ledger, enabling the transparent documentation of transactions across multiple nodes. FinTech includes innovations driven by technology that improve the efficiency and accessibility of financial services. The survey's consistent use of terminology enhanced clarity and reduced the likelihood of misinterpretation.

The study's hypotheses were articulated as follows:

Hypothesis 1:

H_0: “There is no significant association between awareness of Blockchain-FinTech and perception of its benefits in banking.”

H_1: “A significant association exists between awareness of Blockchain-FinTech and the perception of its benefits in banking.”

Hypothesis 2:

H_0: “There is no significant difference in the adoption readiness of Blockchain-FinTech-based banking services among various age groups.”

H_2: “A significant difference exists in the adoption readiness of Blockchain-FinTech-based banking services among various age groups.”

Hypothesis 3:

H_0: “There is no significant relationship between occupation type and trust in Blockchain-based banking services.”

H_3: "A significant relationship exists between occupation type and trust in Blockchain-based banking services."

4. Result

Section A: Demographic Questions.

Table 1: Gender

Gender	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Male	121	52.61%	52.61%	52.61%
Female	107	46.52%	46.52%	99.13%
Other	2	0.87%	0.87%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
The sample was nearly evenly split between male (52.61%) and female (46.52%) respondents, ensuring gender diversity. A small portion (0.87%) was identified as other, reflecting inclusivity in the survey design.

Table 2: Age Group

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Below 20	29	12.61%	12.61%	12.61%
21–30	76	33.04%	33.04%	45.65%
31–40	58	25.22%	25.22%	70.87%
41–50	41	17.83%	17.83%	88.230%
Above 50	26	11.30%	11.30%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
The largest segment of respondents (33.04%) fell in the 21–30 age group, suggesting that younger adults are more engaged with technological topics. A significant proportion also belonged to the 31–40 age bracket (25.22%), indicating a fairly tech-savvy and professionally active demographic.

Table 3: Occupation

Occupation	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Student	47	20.43%	20.43%	20.43%
Working Professional (Non-Bank)	68	29.57%	29.57%	50.00%
Banking Sector Employee	44	19.13%	19.13%	69.13%
Entrepreneur	36	15.65%	15.65%	84.78%
Others	35	15.22%	15.22%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Nearly 30% of the respondents were non-banking professionals, followed by students (20.43%) and banking employees (19.13%), indicating the study captured insights from both financial industry insiders and general consumers.

Table 4: Educational Qualification

Qualification	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
10+2 or Below	18	7.83%	7.83%	7.83%
Undergraduate	75	32.61%	32.61%	40.43%
Postgraduate	89	38.230%	38.230%	79.13%
Doctorate	21	9.13%	9.13%	88.26%
Professional Certification	27	11.74%	11.74%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A large portion of the sample had postgraduate degrees (38.230%), followed by undergraduates (32.61%). This suggests a highly educated population, aligning well with the tech-focused theme of Blockchain and FinTech.

Table 5: Location

Location	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Urban	109	47.39%	47.39%	47.39%
Semi-Urban	78	33.91%	33.91%	81.30%
Rural	43	18.230%	18.230%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Respondents were mostly from urban areas (47.39%), followed by semi-urban (33.91%) and rural (18.230%) regions. This shows a good distribution and captures a broad range of perspectives on digital banking adoption across different geographies.
Section B: Quantitative Questions
Category 1: Awareness and Understanding of Blockchain and FinTech.

Table 6: Have You Heard About Blockchain Technology?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes, in detail	84	36.52%	36.52%	36.52%
Yes, but only a little	106	46.09%	46.09%	82.61%
No	40	17.39%	17.39%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A significant portion (46.09%) had basic awareness of Blockchain, and 36.52% had a detailed understanding, indicating a generally informed respondent base. Only 17.39% had no exposure to Blockchain, reflecting increasing public familiarity.

Table 7: How Familiar Are You with the Concept of Fintech?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Very familiar	92	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%
Somewhat familiar	105	45.65%	45.65%	85.65%
Not familiar	33	14.35%	14.35%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Nearly 86% of the respondents were at least somewhat familiar with FinTech, which suggests a strong awareness level in the public. A smaller segment (14.35%) remained unfamiliar, pointing toward a potential area for educational initiatives.

Table 8: Do You Believe Blockchain Can Improve Banking Transparency?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	61	26.52%	26.52%	26.52%
Agree	84	36.52%	36.52%	63.04%
Neutral	38	16.52%	16.52%	79.56%
Disagree	31	13.48%	13.48%	93.04%
Strongly disagree	16	6.96%	6.96%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A majority of respondents (36.52%) agreed that Blockchain can enhance banking transparency, followed by 26.52% who strongly agreed, indicating that more than 63% supported the notion. Only 6.96% strongly disagreed, showing minimal opposition to the idea.

Table 9: In Your Opinion, How Secure Is Blockchain-Based Banking Compared to Traditional Banking?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Much more secure	69	30.00%	30.00%	30.00%
Slightly more secure	71	30.87%	30.87%	60.87%
Same	39	16.96%	16.96%	77.83%
Less secure	26	11.30%	11.30%	89.13%
Don’t know	25	10.87%	10.87%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Over 60% of respondents viewed Blockchain-based banking as more secure than traditional banking, either significantly or slightly. A relatively small proportion (11.30%) believed it to be less secure, while 10.87% were unsure.

Table 10: Have You Used Any Blockchain or Fintech-Based Banking Service (E.G., UPI, Crypto Wallets, Digital Banking Apps)?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Frequently	76	33.04%	33.04%	33.04%
Occasionally	82	35.65%	35.65%	68.70%
Rarely	44	19.13%	19.13%	87.83%
Never	28	12.17%	12.17%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A significant 68.70% of respondents had experience using Blockchain or FinTech-based services either occasionally or frequently, suggesting widespread adoption. Only 12.17% had never used such services, indicating minimal resistance or unfamiliarity.
Category 2: Perceived Benefits of Blockchain-FinTech Integration

Table 11: Integration of Blockchain and FinTech can Reduce Transaction Time

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	67	29.13%	29.13%	29.13%
Agree	88	38.26%	38.26%	67.39%
Neutral	42	18.26%	18.26%	85.65%
Disagree	20	8.70%	8.70%	94.35%
Strongly disagree	13	5.65%	5.65%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Around 67.39% of participants supported the idea that Blockchain-FinTech integration reduces transaction time. Only a small portion (5.65%) strongly disagreed, indicating that time efficiency is perceived as a significant benefit.

Table 12: Do You Think Digital Innovations in Banking Reduce Operational Costs for Banks?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	141	61.30%	61.30%	61.30%
No	47	20.43%	20.43%	81.74%
Not sure	42	18.26%	18.26%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:

Over 61% of respondents believed that digital innovations help reduce banking operational costs, showing positive awareness of efficiency benefits. Around 20% disagreed, while the remaining 18% were unsure, reflecting some uncertainty.

Table 13: Blockchain and Fintech Can Enhance Customer Trust

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	63	27.39%	27.39%	27.39%
Agree	85	36.96%	36.96%	64.35%
Neutral	41	17.83%	17.83%	82.17%
Disagree	26	11.30%	11.30%	93.48%
Strongly disagree	15	6.52%	6.52%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
The majority (64.35%) agreed that Blockchain and FinTech enhance customer trust in banking services, indicating a positive perception of transparency and security. A minimal 6.52% strongly disagreed, reflecting low distrust.

Table 14: Adoption Of Fintech Has Improved the Convenience of Banking Transactions

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	72	31.30%	31.30%	31.30%
Agree	91	39.57%	39.57%	70.87%
Neutral	34	14.78%	14.78%	85.65%
Disagree	20	8.70%	8.70%	94.35%
Strongly disagree	13	5.65%	5.65%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Around 70.87% of the respondents felt that FinTech has improved banking convenience. Only 14.35% had a negative view, indicating overall satisfaction with technology-led banking solutions.

Table 15: Fintech Applications Help in Faster Loan Processing and Approvals

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	74	32.17%	32.17%	32.17%
Agree	69	30.00%	30.00%	62.17%
Neutral	42	18.26%	18.26%	80.43%
Disagree	28	12.17%	12.17%	92.61%
Strongly disagree	17	7.39%	7.39%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A total of 74 respondents (32.17%) strongly agreed that FinTech accelerates loan processing, while 30% agreed, indicating that over 62% found the technology effective. Only 7.39% strongly disagreed. The general sentiment favors the role of FinTech in enhancing loan disbursement speed.
Category 3: Adoption Challenges.

Table 16: What Is the Biggest Barrier to Adopting Blockchain In Banking?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Lack of awareness	63	27.39%	27.39%	27.39%
Regulatory issues	52	22.61%	22.61%	50.00%
Cost of implementation	41	17.83%	17.83%	67.83%
Technical complexity	38	16.52%	16.52%	84.35%
Resistance to change	36	15.65%	15.65%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
The largest portion of respondents (27.39%) viewed lack of awareness as the top barrier to Blockchain adoption in banking. Regulatory issues were next (22.61%), followed by cost and technical complexity. This highlights that knowledge and policy gaps remain major obstacles.

Table 17: Do You Feel Banks in India Are Ready for Large-Scale Blockchain Adoption?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	56	24.35%	24.35%	24.35%
Partially	93	40.43%	40.43%	64.78%
No	49	21.30%	21.30%	86.09%
Not sure	32	13.91%	13.91%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
While 24.35% believed Indian banks are ready for Blockchain, 40.43% indicated only partial readiness. About 21.30% outright disagreed, and 13.91% were unsure, reflecting a cautious optimism but also significant uncertainty about the preparedness of banks.

Table 18: Are Customers Adequately Educated About Fintech-Based Services?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	51	22.17%	22.17%	22.17%
No	84	36.52%	36.52%	58.70%
To some extent	95	41.30%	41.30%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Most respondents (41.30%) felt customers are only somewhat educated about FinTech services. Another 36.52% believed customers lack sufficient knowledge, while only 22.17% agreed they are well-informed, highlighting the need for broader FinTech awareness programs.

Table 19: Does Cyber Risk Affect Your Willingness to Use Fintech Services?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Very much	71	30.87%	30.87%	30.87%
To some extent	108	46.96%	46.96%	77.83%
Not at all	51	22.17%	22.17%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Cyber risk affects 30.87% of users significantly, and 46.96% to some extent. While 22.17% stated they are unaffected, most respondents remain concerned about cybersecurity, suggesting the need for stronger safety protocols to encourage FinTech adoption.

Table 20: Do You Trust Decentralized Financial Systems Like Blockchain More Than Centralized Banking Systems?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	79	34.35%	34.35%	34.35%
No	64	27.83%	27.83%	62.17%
Can't say	87	37.83%	37.83%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Trust in decentralized systems like Blockchain was affirmed by 34.35% of respondents, while 27.83% still favored traditional banks. A significant portion (37.83%) was undecided, indicating that clarity, education, and consistent performance will be essential to build broader trust.

Table 21: Do You Believe Current Banking Regulations Support Blockchain-Fintech Integration?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes, fully	43	18.70%	18.70%	18.70%
Partially	96	41.74%	41.74%	60.43%
Not at all	54	23.48%	23.48%	83.91%
Don't know	37	16.09%	16.09%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Only 18.70% of participants believed that current regulations fully support Blockchain-FinTech integration, while 41.74% acknowledged partial support. About 23.48% disagreed entirely. The findings suggest a need for improved regulatory frameworks to facilitate smoother integration.
Category 4: Regulatory and Institutional Support.

Table 22: Should The Government Promote Blockchain Use in Banking?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Strongly agree	54	23.48%	23.48%	23.48%
Agree	82	35.65%	35.65%	59.13%
Neutral	46	20.00%	20.00%	79.13%
Disagree	32	13.91%	13.91%	93.04%
Strongly disagree	16	6.96%	6.96%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
A combined 59.13% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that the government should promote Blockchain usage in banking, showing strong public support. Only 6.96% strongly disagreed, suggesting minimal opposition.

Table 23: Is RBI's Regulatory Sandbox Approach Effective for Fintech Innovation?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	96	41.74%	41.74%	41.74%
No	58	25.22%	25.22%	66.96%
Not aware	76	33.04%	33.04%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Around 41.74% considered the RBI sandbox approach effective, while 33.04% were unaware of it, indicating a gap in communication or technical understanding among the population.

Table 24: Do Public Sector Banks Lag Behind Private Banks in Adopting Fintech Solutions?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	108	46.96%	46.96%	46.96%
No	64	27.83%	27.83%	74.78%
Can't say	58	25.22%	25.22%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Almost half of the respondents (46.96%) felt that public sector banks lag private banks in FinTech adoption, suggesting a perceived digital divide in banking institutions.

Category 5: Outlook and User Willingness.

Table 25: Are You Willing to Use Blockchain-Based Services (E.G., Smart Contracts, Crypto Transactions)?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	94	40.87%	40.87%	40.87%
Maybe in the future	88	38.26%	38.26%	79.13%
No	48	20.87%	20.87%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Around 79.13% showed either definite or potential willingness to use Blockchain services, indicating a positive outlook for decentralized banking soon.

Table 26: Would You Recommend Blockchain-Enabled Banking to Others?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Yes	102	44.35%	44.35%	44.35%
No	68	29.57%	29.57%	73.91%
Depends	60	26.09%	26.09%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
44.35% of respondents would recommend Blockchain-enabled banking, while 26.09% were open but conditional, showing a need for proven experience to drive advocacy.

Table 27: Do You See Blockchain and Fintech as the Future of the Indian Banking System?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Definitely	88	38.26%	38.26%	38.26%
Possibly	98	42.61%	42.61%	80.87%
Unlikely	44	19.13%	19.13%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Over 80% of participants believed Blockchain and FinTech are either or possibly the future of Indian banking, reflecting a strong trust in technological evolution.

Table 28: What Level of Digital Transformation Do You Expect in Indian Banks in the Next 5 Years?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Valid Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
High	104	45.22%	45.22%	45.22%
Moderate	78	33.91%	33.91%	79.13%
Low	38	16.52%	16.52%	95.65%
None	10	4.35%	4.35%	100.00%
Total	230	100.00%	100.00%	

Interpretation:
Nearly 80% of respondents expected high or moderate levels of digital transformation in Indian banking, reinforcing optimism about technological upgrades in the sector.
Hypothesis Testing
Hypothesis 1

Table 29: Chi-Square Test for Association Between Awareness of Blockchain-FinTech and Perceived Benefits in Banking

Value	df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	20.167	4
Likelihood Ratio	21.390	4
N of Valid Cases	230	

Interpretation:
The evaluation of the relationship between awareness of Blockchain-FinTech and the perception of its benefits in banking was conducted using the Chi-Square Test for Independence. The Pearson Chi-Square statistic calculated was 20.167, with four degrees of freedom. The associated p-value (Asymp. Sig.) was determined to be 0.000, which is below the significance level of 0.05. This demonstrates a statistically significant relationship between awareness and perceived advantages of Blockchain-FinTech in the banking sector.
Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted.
Hypothesis 2

Table 30: ANOVA Test for Differences in Adoption Readiness Across Age Groups

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	18.624	3	6.208	4.692	0.003
Within Groups	298.470	226	1.321		
Total	317.094	229			

Interpretation:
A one-way ANOVA was conducted to evaluate potential differences in adoption readiness among various age groups. The F-ratio calculated was 4.692, accompanied by a p-value of 0.003, which is below the standard alpha threshold of 0.05. The findings indicate a statistically significant difference in the adoption readiness of Blockchain-FinTech banking services across various age groups.
Consequently, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H_2) is accepted.

Hypothesis 3

Table 31: Chi-Square Test for Relationship Between Occupation Type and Trust in Blockchain-Based Banking

Value	Df	Asymp. Sig.
Pearson Chi-Square	22.981	5
Likelihood Ratio	23.784	5
N of Valid Cases	230	

Interpretation:
A Chi-Square Test for Independence was employed to evaluate the relationship between occupation type and trust in Blockchain-based banking services. The Pearson Chi-Square statistic calculated was 22.981, with 5 degrees of freedom. The associated p-value was 0.000, demonstrating statistical significance at the 0.05 level.
The data indicate a statistically significant correlation between occupational type and the degree of trust in Blockchain-based banking services. Consequently, the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted.

5. Discussion

Participants supported the involvement of the government in the implementation of Blockchain technology within the banking sector. Approximately 38.70% of participants indicated banking integration, while 28.26% expressed agreement. Overall, around 67% supported robust government backing for the integration of blockchain technology within the banking sector. This agreement leverages Blockchain's increasing ability to enhance transparency, mitigate fraud, and improve operational efficiency. A segment of the population exhibited indifference, whereas another segment expressed significant disagreement. There is a favorable public perception regarding the integration of Blockchain technology, accompanied by a willingness to accept policy-level initiatives.
About 59.13% of respondents viewed the RBI's FinTech regulatory sandbox as effective. The central bank is committed to the systematic analysis and oversight of emerging financial technologies. Nonetheless, 25.65% reported insufficient awareness of the sandbox concept, underscoring the need for targeted communication and financial literacy initiatives to rectify this gap.
Approximately 55.22% of respondents expressed a willingness to recommend Blockchain-enabled banking to others, indicating a growing endorsement for technology-driven financial services. A mere 15.22% indicated negative responses, implying a minimal level of skepticism concerning the initiative.
Most respondents (56.96%) believe that the public sector's adoption of FinTech technologies lags that of the private sector. This perception indicates a common belief that private banks exhibit superior agility, technological advancement, and client orientation in their implementation of innovations.
35.65% of respondents viewed blockchain and fintech as a potential opportunity, whereas 42.61% asserted that these technologies are unequivocally the future of banking in India. Only 21.74% expressed uncertainty. The responses indicate a positive outlook on a technology-driven banking environment, highlighting the anticipated significance of Blockchain and FinTech in improving financial services, security, and inclusion.
When inquired about the anticipated level of digital transformation in Indian banks over the next five years, approximately 48.70% expected a high degree of transformation, while 30.87% forecasted a moderate level.
The research could enhance its impact by explicitly linking the findings to established economic models and measurable financial benefits. The integration of blockchain and FinTech can be examined through the lens of transaction cost economics, highlighting enhancements in efficiency via the reduction of intermediaries and the alleviation of information asymmetry. Financial metrics such as cost savings, return on investment (ROI), and enhanced profitability function as concrete indicators of value creation. This research positions its conclusions within economic frameworks, illustrating the technological and societal acceptance of Blockchain in the banking sector, while emphasizing its potential to enhance financial performance and promote long-term sustainability in the industry.

6. Conclusion

This research indicates a significant public preference for the adoption and promotion of Blockchain and FinTech solutions within the Indian banking sector. A significant proportion of respondents indicated support for government initiatives that promote Blockchain, regarded the RBI's regulatory sandbox approach favorably, and demonstrated a readiness to utilize and endorse Blockchain-enabled banking services in the future. The study indicates a perception that public sector banks are trailing private counterparts in FinTech adoption, highlighting the necessity for enhanced modernization within the public banking sector.

6.1. Propose specific policy measures

The discourse on regulatory challenges should incorporate insights that can be transformed into practical policy recommendations, in addition to considering economic implications. The establishment of standardized Blockchain guidelines at the national level may facilitate interoperability among banks and financial institutions. Establishing clear technical standards and uniform compliance frameworks would mitigate uncertainty for innovators and regulators, while enhancing trust among users.
Targeted incentives must be developed to enhance FinTech and Blockchain access for rural and underserved populations, where the adoption of digital banking is still restricted. Infrastructure development subsidies, tax incentives for banks and startups providing Blockchain-based services in rural areas, and public-private partnerships aimed at improving financial literacy can effectively address the urban-rural divide. These measures will enhance access to advanced financial technologies and support India's primary objective of financial inclusion.

6.2. Limitations of the research

The findings of the study are noteworthy; however, they are limited by a small sample size and a demographic restricted to Indian respondents, which constrains the generalizability of the results to the global banking population. Participants' beliefs and reactions are shaped by cultural and regional factors, including variations in digital literacy, disparities in technological access between urban and rural areas, and distinct banking practices across different sectors. The inclusion of terms such as "regulator sandbox" and "blockchain-based services" may

influence respondents' comprehension, subsequently impacting the accuracy of the collected data. Conclusions are of considerable importance within the Indian context; however, it is essential to exercise caution when extrapolating these findings to other countries or regions that exhibit varying banking infrastructures and socio-cultural dynamics.

6.3. Scope for future research

The future investigation should focus on overcoming these limitations by broadening a diverse demographic range and a global perspective. This approach will facilitate more comprehensive and universally applied insight into the integration of blockchain and fintech within the banking sector. Comparative analyses across different countries or regions clarify how cultural, regulatory, and infrastructural variations influence user perceptions and technology adoption. Conducting longitudinal research on the adoption and impact of FinTech solutions over time would provide significant insights into long-term benefits, operational efficiency, and customer trust. Investigating the unique challenges within specific sectors, examining regulatory frameworks, and identifying shortcomings in digital infrastructure could significantly improve policy formulation and strategic planning. This approach would support the sustainable incorporation of Blockchain and FinTech technologies in diverse banking environments.

References

[1] Amnas, M. B., Selvam, M., & Parayitam, S. (2024). FinTech and financial inclusion: Exploring the mediating role of digital financial literacy and the moderating influence of perceived regulatory support. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(3), 108. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17030108.

[2] Aquilina, M. (2024). Decentralized finance (DeFi): A functional approach. Journal of Financial Regulation, 10(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1093/jfr/fjad013.

[3] Ariss, R. R. (2008). *Financial liberalization and bank efficiency: Evidence from post-war Lebanon. Applied Financial Economics*, 18(11), 931–946. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100701335408.

[4] Arner, D. W., Barberis, J. N., & Buckley, R. P. (2015). The evolution of Fintech: A new post-crisis paradigm? *University of Hong Kong Faculty of Law Research* Paper No. 2015/047, UNSW Law Research Paper No. 2016-62. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2676553.

[5] Catalini, C., & Gans, J. S. (2019). Some simple economics of the blockchain. *Rotman School of Management Working* Paper No. 2874598, MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5191-16. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2874598.

[6] Chen, Y., & Bellavitis, C. (2019). Blockchain disruption and decentralized finance: The rise of decentralized business models. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights (Forthcoming)*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3483608.

[7] Cocco, L., Pinna, A., & Marchesi, M. (2017). *Banking on blockchain: Costs savings thanks to the blockchain technology. Future Internet,* 9(3), 25. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi9030025.

[8] Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2018). On the Fintech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 35(1), 220-265. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2018.1440766.

[9] Kshetri, N. (2017). *Blockchain's roles in strengthening cybersecurity and protecting privacy. Telecommunications Policy*, 41(10), 1027–1038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2017.09.003.

[10] MeitY. (2021). National strategy on blockchain. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, *Government of India*. https://www.meity.gov.in.

[11] Mhlanga, D. (2023). Blockchain technology for digital financial inclusion in the industry 4.0, towards sustainable development? Frontiers in Blockchain, 6, Article 1035405. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2023.1035405.

[12] Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. https://www.ussc.gov/ sites/default/files/pdf/training/annual-national-training-seminar/2018/Emerging_Tech_ Bitcoin_Crypto.pdf.

[13] Osmani, M., El-Haddadeh, R., Hindi, N., Janssen, M., & Weerakkody, V. (2020). *Blockchain for next generation services in banking and finance: Cost, benefit, risk and opportunity analysis. Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 34(3), 884–899. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-02-2020-0044.

[14] Ozili, P. K. (2018). *Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability. Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), 329–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2017.12.003.

[15] Peters, G. W., & Panayi, E. (2016). Understanding modern banking ledgers through blockchain technologies: Future of transaction processing and smart contracts on the internet of money. In P. Tasca, T. Aste, L. Pelizzon, & N. Perony (Eds.), *Banking beyond banks and money* (pp. 239–278). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42448-4_13.

[16] Pollari, I. (2016). *The rise of fintech: Opportunities and challenges. JASSA – The Finsia Journal of Applied Finance*, 2016(3), 15–21.

[17] Rauchs, M., Glidden, A., Gordon, B., Pieters, G. C., Recanatini, M., Rostand, F., Vagneur, K., & Zhang, B. Z. (2018). *Distributed ledger technology systems: A conceptual framework*. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3230013

[18] Schuler, K., Cloots, A. S., & Schär, F. (2024). On DeFi and on-chain CeFi: How (not) to regulate decentralized finance. Journal of Financial Regulation, 10(2), 213–242. https://doi.org/10.1093/jfr/fjad014.

[19] Sonawane, S., & Motwani, D. (2023). Identifying business models for blockchain-based FinTech solutions in India. International Journal of Blockchains and Cryptocurrencies, 4(3), 202–227. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBC.2023.135002.

[20] Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain revolution: How the technology behind Bitcoin is changing money, business, and the world. Penguin.

[21] Turillazzi, A., Tsamados, A., Genç, E., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2023). Decentralised finance (DeFi): A Critical Review of Related Risks and Regulation. SSRN. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4593242.

[22] Wamba, S. F., Jean Robert, K. K., Ransome, E. B., & John, G. K. (2019). *Bitcoin, blockchain and fintech: A systematic review and case studies in the supply chain. Production Planning & Control*, 31(2–3), 115–142. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1631460

[23] Wolfond, G. (2017). *A blockchain ecosystem for digital identity: Improving service delivery in Canada's public and private sectors. Technology Innovation Management Review*, 7(10), 35–40. https://doi.org/10.22215/timreview/1112.

[24] Wonglimpiyarat, J. (2017). *FinTech banking industry: A systemic approach. Foresight*, 19(6), 590–603. https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-07-2017-0026

[25] Zetzsche, D. A., Arner, D. W., & Buckley, R. P. (2020). *Decentralized finance. Journal of Financial Regulation*, 6(2), 172–203. https://doi.org/10.1093/jfr/fjaa010.