New mechanism for the cosmological red-shift explaining: non-observation of dark energy, large-number-coincidence and the cosmic coincidence
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Abstract

Accepting Einstein’s General Relativity Theory, that the changes in the gravitational field can propagate at the speed of light, it is proposed here that: before an electron in an atom emits a photon, the energy \((h f_0)\) of the photon was a part of total energy of the atom; contributing to establish the gravitational-field around the atom. As soon as an electron in that atom emits a photon of energy \(h f_0\), and the photon starts moving away from the atom, the gravitational-field around the atom partly reduces, proportional to the photon’s energy \(h f_0\), and this wave of ‘reduced gravitational field’ propagates radially-outwards at the speed of light. And a part of energy of the photon gets spent in “filling” the ‘gravitational potential-well’ produced by its energy, when it was a part of energy of the atom. From the derivation presented here we find that the energy spent by the photon to “fill” the ‘gravitational potential-well’, during its inter-galactic journey manifests as the ‘cosmological red-shift’. And the so called ‘total-mass-of-the-universe’ and ‘radius-of-the-universe’ are just mathematically-equivalent mass and distance arising while converting electrostatic potential-energy into gravitational potential-energy. This is the reason why we find the large-number-coincidence (LNC). And since there is no expansion of the universe, there is no ‘cosmic coincidence’, that why only in this epoch we find the ‘large-number-coincidence’!
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1. Introduction

Alternative mechanism for the cosmological red-shift, proposed here, is not the first one; some 59 scientists have made their attempts. So this writer had proposed a criterion for short listing the likely promising explanations (Tank. Hasmukh K. 2015). At one time, this writer too tried to understand the gravitational-constant \(G\) in terms of total mass \(M_0\) and radius \(R_0\) of the universe (Tank, Hasmukh K. 2011). Then, as the study advanced it was realized that total mass and radius of the universe are just mathematical entities, not the physically real quantities, as described in this latest finding.

Newton’s gravity was ‘instantaneous action at a distance.’ Laplace was the first to think of finite speed of gravity, as early as in 1805. Many scientists predicted different speeds of gravity to explain the perihelion advance of Mercury. Ultimately, Einstein’s proposal, that the speed of gravitational-waves too should be equal to the speed of light, got widely accepted; as it could successfully explain the perihelion advance of Mercury. Accepting Einstein’s theory, that the changes in the gravitational field can propagate at the speed of light, it is proposed here that: before an electron in an atom emitted a photon, the energy \((h f_0)\) of the photon was a part of total energy of the atom; contributing to establish the gravitational-field around the atom. As soon an electron in that atom emits a photon of energy \(h f_0\), and the photon starts moving away from the atom, the gravitational-field around the atom reduces proportional to the energy \(h f_0\), and this wave of ‘reduced gravitational field’ propagates radially outwards at the speed of light.

And a part of energy of the photon gets spent in “filling” the ‘gravitational potential-well’ produced by its energy, when it was a part of energy of the atom. From the derivation presented here we find that the energy spent by the photon to “fill” the ‘gravitational potential-well’, during its inter-galactic journey, manifests as the ‘cosmological red-shift’.

2. The derivation

When an electron in an atom jumps from higher orbit to a lower orbit, the electrostatic potential-energy of the electron becomes more negative; and a photon of energy \(h f_0\), equal to the difference in the potential-energy, gets emitted. Since we intend to consider here the gravitational potential-well produced by the energy of the photon, and “filling” of this potential-well from the energy of the photon, let us express this energy \(h f_0\) in the form of gravitational potential-energy, as follows:

\[
\left(\frac{GM_0}{(h f_0/\hat{c})/R_0}\right) = h f_0
\]  

(1)

Here: \(M_0\) and \(R_0\) are presently unknown mass and radius for us. They will soon become known in this manuscript, as we proceed further.

Expression-1 describes the gravitational potential-energy at the point-of-emission of the photon. As the photon moves away from this point, by a distance \(D\), the gravitational potential-energy of the photon reduces to the left-hand-side of expression-2, and ener-
gy of the photon accordingly reduces to \( h f \). The difference of energy gets spent in "filling" the gravitational potential-well within the spherical shell of radius \( D \), up to which the gravitational-wave could reach at the speed of light.

\[
\left[ G M_e \left( h f_0 / c^2 \right) / (R_e + D) \right] = h f
\]  

(2)

Since the gravitational-force is \( 10^{-40} \) times weaker than the electric-force, the distance \( R_e \) is expected to be \( 10^{36} \) times the reduction in radial-distance of the electron in orbit. So the conversion of electrostatic potential-energy into gravitational potential-energy is expected to obey the following relation:

(Electric-force). (Distance \( r_1 - r_2 \) = (Gravitational-force) \( 10^{40} \) (\( r_1 - r_2 \))

i.e. \[ |e^2 / r_1^2 - e^2 / r_2^2| \], \( r_1 - r_2 \) = (Gravitational-force), \( 10^{40} \) (\( r_1 - r_2 \))

i.e. \[ R_e = \frac{10^{40}}{(r_1 - r_2)} \]

Now, it will be more convenient to find the ratio, as shown in expression-3, as we intend to discover whether it is equal to the well-known ratio of the 'cosmological red-shift'. So let us find the ratio:

\[
\left[ \frac{\left( G M_e \left( h f_0 / c^2 \right) / R_e \right) - \left( G M_e \left( h f_0 / c^2 \right) / (R_e + D) \right)}{\left( G M_e \left( h f_0 / c^2 \right) / (R_e + D) \right)} \right] = \frac{h f_0 - h f}{h f}
\]  

(3)

i.e. \( \left( R_e + D \right) \left( R_e - D \right) / \left( R_e + D \right) \left( R_e - D \right) \)

\[
= \left( h f_0 - h f \right) / h f
\]  

(4)

i.e. \( D / R_e \) = \( h f_0 - h f \) / \( h f \)

(5)

Comparing this expression-5 with the experimentally-found expression-6 for the 'cosmological red-shift', as per our hypothesis proposed in the introduction, that: ‘The energy of the photon to “fill” the ‘gravitational potential-well’, during its intergalactic journey, manifests as the ‘cosmological red-shift’.”

\[
\left( h f_0 - h f \right) / h f = H_0 D / c
\]  

(6)

From the comparison of expressions- 5 with expression-6 we get the value of the un-known radius \( R_e \) as:

\[ (H_0 D / c) = D / R_e \]

(7)

I.e. \( R_e = c / H_0 \approx R_0 \)

(8)

Where: \( R_0 \) is currently believed to be the ‘radius-of-the-observable-universe’, whereas according to our hypothesis \( R_0 \) is just a distance required to express ‘electrostatic potential-energy’, released in the form of a photon, to express the same energy in the form of ‘gravitational potential-energy’. From the known value of \( R_0 \), one can find out the value of \( M_0 \), which will be equal to the same energy in the form of ‘gravitational potential-energy’. From the known value of \( M_0 \), one can find out the value of \( M_e \), which will be equal to the known mass of the electron, \( m_e \), and using this knowledge one can find out the value of \( R_e \), which is the distance required to express ‘electrostatic potential-energy’ \( h f_0 \) in terms of ‘gravitational potential-energy’. Therefore, it is necessary that ‘observable-mass-of-the-universe’, which is found to be just 5% of \( M_0 \), should match with \( M_0 \) and it is not necessary that there must be ‘dark energy’ equal to 95% of \( M_0 \).

3. Summary

We first expressed the electrostatic potential-energy \( h f_0 \), released by an electron, while jumping from higher-orbit to a lower-orbit, in terms of gravitational potential-energy of the photon. Then, based on our hypothesis, that the part of energy of the photon gets spent in “filling” the ‘gravitational potential-well’ produced by the energy \( h f_0 \), we formed an expression similar to the expression for the ‘cosmological red-shift’. From comparison of our expression with the experimentally-established expression for the ‘cosmological red-shift’ we obtained the unknown mass \( M_e \) and unknown radius \( R_e \); and found that they are currently believed to be the ‘total-mass-of-the-universe’ and ‘radius-of-the-universe’ respectively. Then, based on our hypothesis, that: part of energy of the photon gets spent in “filling” the ‘gravitational potential-well’ produced by the energy \( h f_0 \), we arrived at a conclusion that “total-mass-of-the-universe” \( M_0 \) and “radius-of-the-universe” \( R_0 \) are not the ‘quantities of the really physical world’; rather they are ‘equivalent-theoretical-mass’ and ‘equivalent-radius’ required to express ‘electrostatic potential-energy’ \( h f_0 \) in terms of ‘gravitational potential-energy’. Therefore, it is necessary that ‘observable-mass-of-the-universe’, which is found to be just 5% of \( M_0 \), should match with \( M_0 \) and it is not necessary that there must be ‘dark energy’ equal to 95% of \( M_0 \).
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